

Università degli studi di Udine

Fruit and vegetable waste management and the challenge of fresh-cut salad

 Original

 Availability:

 This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/11390/1107477 since 2020-02-27T15:47:07Z

 Publisher:

 Published

 DOI:10.1016/j.tifs.2017.02.013

 Terms of use:

 The institutional repository of the University of Udine (http://air.uniud.it) is provided by ARIC services. The aim is to enable open access to all the world.

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

Accepted Manuscript

Fruit and vegetable waste management and the challenge of fresh-cut salad

Stella Plazzotta, Lara Manzocco, Maria Cristina Nicoli

PII: S0924-2244(16)30497-6

DOI: 10.1016/j.tifs.2017.02.013

Reference: TIFS 1971

To appear in: Trends in Food Science & Technology

Received Date: 2 November 2016

Revised Date: 16 January 2017

Accepted Date: 17 February 2017

Please cite this article as: Plazzotta, S., Manzocco, L., Nicoli, M.C., Fruit and vegetable waste management and the challenge of fresh-cut salad, *Trends in Food Science & Technology* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2017.02.013.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



1 Fruit and vegetable waste management and the challenge of fresh-cut salad

2 Stella Plazzotta, Lara Manzocco*, Maria Cristina Nicoli

3

4 Department of Agricultural, Food, Environmental and Animal Sciences, University of Udine, Via

5 Sondrio 2/A, 33100 Udine, Italy

6

7 *corresponding author e-mail: <u>lara.manzocco@uniud.it</u>

8 Abstract

9 Background

10 The fruit and vegetable sector generates large amounts of waste. In industrialized countries, **fruit and** 11 **vegetable waste** (FVW) is mainly generated before reaching consumers, due to programmed 12 overproduction and unfulfillment of retailer quality standards. FVW poses environmental problems due 13 to its high biodegradability, represents a loss of valuable biomass and an economic cost for companies. 14 Different reduction, reuse and recycle strategies to tackle FVW have been proposed.

15 Scope and approach

16 This review paper summarizes these strategies, underlying their main advantages and pitfalls. In 17 particular, **fresh-cut salad** waste was considered as a particularly challenging FVW, due to its low 18 concentration of nutrients (e.g. polyphenols, pigments, fiber).

19 Key findings and conclusions

20 Different management strategies can be successfully applied to FVW. Among them, the extraction of 21 specific functional compounds was found to be one of the most studied in the last years. This suggests that FVW can be considered a source of valuable ingredients and products. To maximally exploit these 22 23 FVW potentialities, a rational strategy is required. The latter should be developed using a step-24 procedure including waste characterization, output definition, process design and feasibility study. The 25 application of this procedure to the case of fresh-cut salad waste was presented. Based on the review of 26 currently applied and potential salad waste management strategies, an operational scheme for the 27 development of alternative strategies was proposed. This scheme considers the exploitation of 28 traditional and novel technologies, even applied in combination, for salad waste valorization.

29

30 Key-words: fruit and vegetable waste; fresh-cut salad; waste management; novel technologies

31 Highlights

- 32 Fruit and vegetable waste has high environmental load and represents a company cost
- 33 Reduction, reuse, recycle and energy recovery strategies can be applied to FVW
- 34 Up to 40% of fresh-cut salad get wasted during processing
- 35 Novel technologies can be useful for sustainable fresh-cut salad waste management

36 1. Fruit and vegetable waste (FVW)

Around 89 million tons of food are wasted annually in the European Union (Stenmarck, Jensen, 37 38 Quested, & Moates, 2016) and this value is expected to further increase by 40% in the next 4 years. 39 Moreover, the World and Agriculture Organization calculated that one-third of the edible parts of food intended for human consumption get lost or wasted (FAO, 2011). The term "food loss" identifies the 40 41 decrease in edible food mass throughout the part of the supply chain that specifically leads from raw 42 material to food for human consumption. Food losses, thus, take place at production, post-harvest and 43 processing stages in the food supply chain. Food losses occurring at the end of the food supply chain (retail and final consumption) are rather called "food waste", which relates to retailers' and consumers' 44 45 behavior (Manzocco, Alongi, Sillani, & Nicoli, 2016; Parfitt, Barthel, & Macnaughton, 2010). 46 Moreover, the term "food by-products" has been increasingly used. This term notifies that biomass and 47 waste can be properly treated and converted into valuable marketable products (Galanakis, 2012).

In the fruit and vegetable sector definitions are more controversial. A widely-used term is "fruit and 48 49 vegetable waste" or FVW. The latter has been defined as the inedible parts of vegetables that are 50 discarded during collection, handling, transportation and processing (Chang, Tsai, & Wu, 2006). 51 According to the definitions reported above, it should be defined fruit and vegetable loss rather than 52 waste. Panda, Mishra, Kayitesi, & Ray (2016) affirmed that FVW can be generated in different steps of 53 the food supply chain, from farm to fork, including thus both pre- and post-consumer stages. Similarly, 54 Galanakis (2012) used this term to indicate a specific group of plant food wastes, generated along the 55 entire food supply chain (agricultural production, postharvest handling, storage and consumer phase).

56 In this paper, the term FVW will be used to generally indicate fruit and vegetables from processing 57 plants and production sites which are required or intended to be discarded.

58 2. Main causes of FVW

According to FAO estimation (FAO, 2011) pre-consumer phases are particularly critical in terms of 59 60 FVW generation. To this regard, Segrè & Falasconi (2011), reported that, in Italy, up to 87% of fruit, 61 vegetable and cereals are discarded before reaching consumer. Causes may be different. In developing 62 countries, wastes are mainly generated in agricultural production, post-harvest and distribution stages, due to seasonality that leads to unsaleable gluts and to the absence of proper conservation strategies for 63 64 perishable crops. Wastes in agricultural production dominate also in industrialized countries. In this case, however, they are mostly due to post-harvest evaluation of crops on the basis of quality standards 65 requested by retailers and to programmed overproduction (FAO, 2011; Segrè & Falasconi, 2011). 66

67 3. FVW management

FVW poses disposal and environmental problems, due to its high biodegradability. In addition, it 68 69 represents a loss of valuable biomass and nutrients as well as an economic loss. For these reasons, in 70 the last years, great attention has been focused on the development of policies and methods for its 71 management (Laufenberg, Kunz, & Nystroem, 2003). In general, waste management "is the collection, 72 transport, recovery and disposal of waste, including the supervision of such operations" (2006/12/EC) 73 and the waste management system consists of "the whole set of activities related to handling, disposing 74 or recycling waste materials". Waste management strategies can be classified with respect to the final 75 disposition of waste and ordered according to their priority: minimization and prevention (reduction) of 76 waste generation, recycling and reuse, energy recovery and landfilling. This option list in order of 77 priority is commonly known as waste hierarchy (Demirbas, 2011).

In the past, FVW was mixed into municipal waste streams and sent to landfills or incinerators (without energy recovery) for final disposal (Nawirska & Kwaśniewska, 2005). However, this is not a good option for FVW, due to its high water content which is, in turn, responsible for microbiological instability, formation of off-odors and leachate (Abu-Qudais, 1996; Lin et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2007). On the contrary, FVW has a great potential for reuse, recycling, and energy recovery. To this regard, Table 1 reviews the main strategies recently proposed for reducing and valorizing FVW in industrialized countries.

85 3.1. Reduction of FVW

Reduction has the top priority in the waste hierarchy and mostly depend on production practices
(Demirbas, 2011). Some of them cannot be easily modified. For example, agricultural production has

necessarily to be higher than sales forecast, in order to face eventual harvest losses due to natural 88 89 phenomena (Segrè & Falasconi, 2011). On the contrary, some practices can be definitely modified. It has been estimated that huge amounts of fruit and vegetables are wasted because products do not fulfill 90 91 quality standards set by retailers or consumers (Mena, Adenso-Diaz, & Yurt, 2011). This small-sized or 92 misshaped fruit and vegetables are usually defined "substandard". Different strategies have been 93 proposed and implemented to tackle waste of substandard fruit and vegetables. The latter have been 94 traditionally downgraded to the production of alternative fruit and vegetable derivatives (e.g. juices, 95 vinegar) (Grewal, Tewari, & Kalra, 1988). Moreover, an interesting initiative in this direction is being carried out by the campaign "Inglorious Fruit and Vegetables" and the line "No Name® Naturally 96 ImperfectTM, launched in 2015 by the French retailer Intermarchè and the Canadian one Loblaw, 97 respectively. They address the FVW issue by selling substandard fruit and vegetables, while reducing 98 99 costs for consumers (Table 1). In addition, the so defined "food rescue programs" collect perishable 100 food, including fruit and vegetable surplus, and donate it to hungry people.

101 3.2. Reuse of FVW

Reuse indicates the use of waste materials for other purposes without or with minor modification of 102 103 their properties (Manzocco et al., 2016). Reuse strategies for FVW are nowadays limited to soil 104 amendment and animal feed (Table 1). Direct reuse of FVW for soil amendment has been reviewed by 105 Clemente, Pardo, Madejón, Madejón, & Bernal (2015). This practice is based on the ability of organic 106 waste to increase properties of polluted soil by immobilizing trace metals and metalloids, preventing 107 their transfer to groundwater and living organism, and promoting the establishment of plants. However, 108 this reuse strategy is often difficult to put into practice due to the high biological instability of FVW, 109 responsible for pathogen growth risk and off-odors generation (Ajila, Brar, Verma, & Prasada Rao, 110 2012). Fiber content of FVW can be exploited to formulate animal feeds with increased nutritional 111 value (San Martin, Ramos, & Zufía, 2016). However, also this reuse strategy is limited by some 112 drawbacks. The high water content, often exceeding 80%, makes these wastes prone to microbiological contamination. A partial drying is thus usually required. In addition, low protein content and high 113 114 presence of indigestible compounds are not always suitable for animal feed (Clemente et al., 2015). 115 Moreover, composition of vegetable products varies according to season, forcing manufacturers to 116 often change feed formulations (San Martin et al., 2016).

117 3.3. Recycle of FVW

Strategies based on the recovery of waste materials after a major modification of their characteristics are defined as recycle (Williams & Anderson, 2006). Because of its intrinsic characteristics (high content of water and fiber, low protein content), a substantial modification of FVW is usually required to maximally exploit its potentialities. Recycle of FVW offers thus more possibilities than its reuse (Table 1). Recycle strategies for FVW can be divided into strategies in which the whole waste mass is recycled (composting, processing to flour, conversion into water) and strategies in which specific compounds are extracted.

125 Aerobic composting is an ancient eco-friendly method to convert organic waste into organic fertilizer. 126 However, it is well established that anaerobic digestion (§ 3.4) is a more attractive strategy to produce 127 fertilizers from FVW, due to the energy recovery as biogas (Sharma, Testa, Lastella, Cornacchia, & 128 Comparato, 2000). Processing into flour of FVW has been exploited with different purposes. The 129 fibrous structure and the high contact surface of FVW flour has been used to adsorb pollutants such as 130 dyes and heavy metals from water and ground. To this regard, adsorption is due to both physical 131 entrapment into the porous structure of the vegetable and to specific interaction with the functional 132 groups of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin (Azouaou, Sadaoui, & Mokaddem, 2008; Hashem, 133 Abdelmonem, & Farrag, 2007). FVW flour has also been used as an ingredient for the formulation of 134 products rich in functional compounds such as polyphenols and fiber (Ferreira, Santos, Moro, Basto, 135 Andrade, & Gonçalves, 2015). The main advantage of this recycle strategy is that valuable products 136 such as adsorbents and functional flours are obtained from low-cost raw materials. Moreover, after processing to flour, no residual waste has to be disposed of. However, the main issue is the high cost 137 138 required for FVW drying, due to the high water content. As a consequence, the production of FVW flour is affordable only if high value-added ingredients and products are developed (Ratti, 2001). Water 139 140 can also be considered a valuable output of a recycle strategy. To this regard, patented or patentpending systems able to convert organic material into water are already applied in companies, 141 142 supermarkets and restaurants. They are based on the hyper-acceleration of aerobic decomposition 143 through the activity of naturally-occurring microorganisms with enhanced degradation capabilities 144 under tightly controlled environmental conditions (Table 1).

The extraction of specific functional compounds from FVW has been largely studied (Table 1). Bioactive compounds as well as oils, fibers and natural dyes are the main targets of this recycle strategy. Structuring agents, mainly referring to colloidal polymers with interesting gelling or viscosant properties, can also be selectively extracted from FVW (McCann, Fabre, & Day, 2011). These 149 compounds are high value-added ingredients derived from a low-cost, easily-available material. The 150 efficiency and sustainability of their extraction has been significantly increased by the application of 151 novel technologies, which guarantee high extraction rate and yield and by concomitantly reducing the 152 need for organic solvents (Herrero, Plaza, Cifuentes, & Ibáñez, 2010). Some recent studies relevant to 153 bioactive extraction (e.g. carotenoids, essential oils, polyphenols, anthocyanins) from FVW using novel 154 technologies include the use of ultrasounds, supercritical carbon dioxide, microwaves and pulsed 155 electric fields (Amiri-Rigi, Abbasi, & Scanlon, 2016; Baysal, Ersus, & Starmans, 2000; Jacotet-156 Navarro et al., 2016; Rabelo, MacHado, Martínez, & Hubinger, 2016; Zhou, Zhao, & Huang, 2015). For these reasons, extraction of specific compounds from FVW could be an affordable, sustainable and 157 158 even profitable recycle strategy for industries (Galanakis, 2012; Laufenberg et al., 2003). However, it 159 should be considered that novel technologies often require high initial investment and their industrial 160 application is still limited. Moreover, after the extraction process, relatively high amounts of residual 161 waste have to be still disposed of.

162 3.4. Energy recovery from FVW

Energy recovery, also called waste-to-energy, is performed in order to recover the energy contained in 163 164 the waste material (Kothari, Tyagi, & Pathak, 2010). Energy from waste materials can be recovered by 165 several strategies, including thermochemical conversions, such as incineration, pyrolysis and 166 gasification or biochemical strategies, such as anaerobic digestion and fermentation. In the case of 167 FVW, only some of these strategies can be applied (Table 1). In fact, thermochemical conversion 168 strategies are not suitable for waste with high moisture, which is responsible for a really low calorific 169 value (Lin et al., 2011). On the contrary, biochemical conversion strategies are quite efficacious. 170 Anaerobic digestion (AD) has been widely used for organic waste disposal. AD is a method to 171 decompose organic matter using several anaerobic microorganisms under oxygen-free conditions. After 172 the treatment, the end product is represented by biogas (60% methane, 40% carbon dioxide) and 173 digestate (or AD effluent) (Li, Park, & Zhu, 2011; Sheets, Yang, Ge, Wang, & Li, 2015). Biogas can be 174 used to different purposes, including heat, electricity, production of compressed or liquefied natural 175 gas, while the AD digestate, rich in nitrogen, can be used as a fertilizer (Yang, Ge, Wan, Yu, & Li, 2014). However, AD of FVW presents some issues. In fact, FVW is generally characterized by a low 176 177 potential for biogas production, due to low total solid and high volatile solid fraction that is rapidly 178 hydrolyzed during digestion, leading to rapid acidification and inhibition of digestion process. As a 179 consequence, co-digestion of FVW with other organic wastes is increasingly studied and applied

180 (Jiang, Heaven, & Banks, 2012; Shen et al., 2013). As a result, industries usually confer their organic 181 waste to centralized biogas production plants (Kothari et al., 2010), dealing with relatively high 182 collection and transport costs (Dereli, Yangin-Gomec, Ozabali, & Ozturk, 2012; Stürmer, Schmid, & 183 Eder, 2011). Moreover, the improper application of AD digestate has led to serious environmental 184 problems such as over-fertilization and pathogen contamination (Nkoa, 2014). Alternatively, other 185 energy-recovery strategies are being studied to decrease FVW management costs and, desirably, to 186 increase the profitability of by-products. To this regard, microbial fuel cells have been recently applied 187 to vegetable waste. This strategy refers to biologically catalyzed electrochemical systems in which the chemical energy of an organic substrate is converted into electrical energy through redox reactions 188 189 (Pant, Van Bogaert, Diels, & Vanbroekhoven, 2010). However, this strategy is limited to carbohydrate-190 rich wastes (ElMekawy et al., 2015).

191 3.5. Rational management of FVW

FVW can be considered a cheap, readily available feedstock for the potential recovery of energy, water and valuable ingredients/products. To maximally exploit these potentialities, an integrated approach to FVW management should be developed by selecting, and eventually combining, the most efficacious strategies of reuse, recycle and energy recovery. Such approach results from the application of a rational 4 step-procedure.

197 1. Waste characterization: the waste substance is characterized in terms of amount and composition.

- Output definition: based on the key properties identified in step 1, possible final products of FVW
 management can be hypothesized.
- 200 3. Process design: production processes required to obtained the outputs defined in step 2 are
 201 designed.
- Feasibility study: costs, consumer acceptance, environmental sustainability and adherence to legal
 requirements of outputs and relevant processes identified in steps 2 and 3 are evaluated.

Following, the case study of fresh-cut iceberg salad (*Lactuca sativa* var. *capitata*) waste will be considered. After presenting the main issues of fresh-cut salad waste, the described step procedure will be applied to outline an operational scheme including possible waste management strategies.

207 4. A challenging waste: fresh-cut salad

Salad is the most important fresh-cut vegetable, representing 50% of the entire fresh-cut market in
Europe and US (Cook, 2015; Rabobank International, 2010), up to 70% in Italy (Casati & Baldi, 2012).

210 Raw material for the production of fresh-cut salads can be divided in two main categories: whole-head 211 salad (e.g. iceberg salad), representing, in Italy, 60% of the total fresh-cut salad market and baby salads 212 (e.g. rocket salad), that account for the remaining 40% (Casati & Baldi, 2012). The production of fresh-213 cut salads from whole-heads, presents additional criticisms as compared to that of baby salads. In fact, 214 when processing a whole-head salad, cutting operations are required. Cutting is well known to cause 215 physical damage to vegetable tissue, which, in turn, increases the rate of quality depletion during 216 storage. Moreover, while in baby salad processing the whole leaf is harvested and processed, in the 217 case of whole-head salads, the percentage of usable product is significantly lower due to preliminary 218 removal of external leaves and core (Martínez-Sánchez, Luna, Selma, Tudela, Abad, & Gil, 2012). This 219 is responsible for a huge waste production.

220 Currently, similarly to other agricultural wastes, salad waste is exploited as soil conditioner, composted 221 to obtain fertilizers and anaerobically digested to produce biogas (Table 1). However, soil conditioning 222 can absorb only a small amount of salad waste, due to the risk of pathogen development as well as soil 223 and water nitrate enrichment, which is regulated by 91/676/CEE directive. Composting is also critical 224 due to the high volume of this waste and to its microbiological instability. Salad has also been reported to have a really low potential for biogas production, due to its composition, rich in cellulosic material 225 226 and poor in carbohydrates (Zheng, Phoungthong, Lü, Shao, & He, 2013). For this reasons, it has to be transported and co-composted or co-digested with other organic wastes in centralized plants. 227

228 4.1. Rational management of salad waste

229 4.1.1. Waste characterization

230 Quantification

231 Quantification of waste is the first step for its characterization. Data here presented were obtained by 232 analyzing salad waste generation in a large Italian company. The latter employs more than 200 workers, has 23 production lines and 9 washing lines for a total of about 20,000 ton per year of 233 234 processed salad. To this aim, a two-step methodology was developed: (i) identification of unit 235 operations in which waste was generated and (ii) waste quantification. Figure 1 shows the results of the 236 application of this methodology to fresh-cut iceberg salad. Waste generating operations in the salad 237 flow sheet are indicated together with relevant waste amounts, expressed as percentage ratio to total processed salad and their current destination to anaerobic digestion for biogas production. 238

239 Total wasted salad (W) can be calculated as the sum of wastes generated during preliminary cleaning

240 (W_C), three washing stages (W_{W1} , W_{W2} , W_{W3}) and optical selector (W_{OS}) (eq. 1). However, direct waste

weighting was possible only for wastes generated during washing stages and from the optical selector but not for the preliminary cleaning stage, in which external leaves and core were eliminated. In fact, iceberg salad wastes were mixed with those of other vegetables as different raw materials were usually processed in the same day and wastes generated by this preliminary cleaning stage were collected together. In order to quantify waste produced during each production step, an indirect calculation was thus used. Total salad waste (W) was computed as the difference between the amount of total salad accepted after the quality check (S) and the sold one (S_S) (eq. 2).

248

249	$W = W_c + W_{W1} + W_{W2} + W_{W3} + W_{os}$	(eq. 1)
250	$W = S - S_S$	(eq. 2)
251	$W = W_C$	(eq. 3)

252

253 W_C can be thus easily calculated by solving the system of eq. 1 and 2 as it is the only unknown variable. This indirect method was applied to the production of fresh-cut iceberg salad during 3 254 255 production months, in which approximately 800 kg of iceberg salad were daily processed. Data indicate 256 that up to 41% of salad was wasted during a typical fresh-cut iceberg salad process, with removal of 257 external leaves and core stage accounting for nearly the total waste production. Waste production in the 258 following unit operations of washing and optical selection resulted, in fact, negligible. In the case of 259 salad heads eq. 1 can be thus simplify to eq. 3. It should be noted that in the case of baby salads, this 260 simplification is no more correct since removal of external leaves and core is not performed. Waste 261 generated by unit operations of washing and optical selection is thus significant, accounting for 262 approximately the 85% and 15% of total waste respectively.

263

264 Composition analysis

265 Once salad waste is quantified, its characterization requires the analysis of its composition, in order to 266 identify exploitable characteristics and support the choice of proper management options (Laufenberg 267 et al., 2003). Water represents more than 92% of iceberg salad fresh weight. The remaining weight 268 fraction is mostly represented by cellular proteins (1.5%) and fibers (1.3%). Minerals such as 269 potassium, calcium and phosphorus as well as vitamins (ascorbic acid being the most present) are also 270 found (USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference, Release 24), along with health-271 promoting antioxidants polyphenols (Llorach, Martínez-Sánchez, Tomás-Barberán, Gil, & Ferreres, 272 2008). Eventual salad contaminant content should also be considered. For instance, pesticide residues

273 must be lower than the law level (EC/396/2005). Moreover, some vegetables are prone to nitrate 274 accumulation and maximum nitrate content is established by law (EC/563/2002). Compositional data available in the literature, mainly refer to the edible vegetable portion. By contrast, composition may 275 276 vary in the different fractions of iceberg salad. Fresh-cut iceberg salad fractions (edible, core and 277 external leaves) were thus characterized. Table 2 reports data relevant to percentage weight, dry matter, 278 fiber and total polyphenol content of iceberg salad fractions, determined twice on at least four 279 replicated samples of 1 kg salad heads. Dry matter and total dietary fiber were determined using 280 gravimetric method (AOAC, 2000) and AOAC international method (985.29, 1997) respectively; 281 Folin-Ciocalteau method was used for determining the total polyphenol content of lettuce waste 282 aqueous extracts (Llorach, Tomás-Barberán, & Ferreres, 2004). Data suggest that iceberg salad waste 283 fractions (core and external leaves) have an interesting polyphenol content and are particularly rich in 284 fiber, in agreement with both relevant literature and official composition databases (Llorach et al., 285 2004; USDA National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference, Release 24).

286

287 *4.1.2. Output definition*

Table 3 reports outputs obtained by currently applied and potential strategies for salad waste management. As previously anticipated (§ 4), current strategies for salad waste management present high costs. Alternative salad waste management strategies are thus required.

To this regard, really few studies are available on alternative salad waste management options other than its use for anaerobic co-digestion (Bouallagui, Lahdheb, Ben Romdan, Rachdi, & Hamdi, 2009; Garcia-Peña, Parameswaran, Kang, Canul-Chan, & Krajmalnik-Brown, 2011; Lin et al., 2011). Neverthelss, different studies conducted on FVW other than salad and on edible salad (not waste) highlight several alternative outputs that can be obtained from salad waste (Table 3).

In particular, the consumption of mixed fruit and vegetable fresh juices has dramatically increased over the last years, due to the high nutritional value of these products (Raybaudi-Massilia, Mosqueda-Melgar, Soliva-Fortuny, & Martín-Belloso, 2009). Different vegetables have been included into juice formulation, including spinach, carrot and celery (Bhardwaj & Pandey, 2016; Pop, Muste, Mureşan, & Jula, 2014). A fresh functional juice containing iceberg salad could be thus a possible output of a salad waste reuse strategy.

302 Moreover, recycling of salad waste could provide large amounts of water as main output. The latter 303 could be of great interest whithin the fresh-cut production process, which is actually particularly water-

304 intensive (Manzocco et al., 2015). Water can actually be obtained by aerobic conversion of waste or by

physical separation. To this regard, salad waste drying could be implemented to concomitantly produce
not only huge amounts of water but also functional flour or natural adsorbents (Ferreira et al., 2015;
Pavlovic, Nikolic, Milutinovic, Dimitrijevic-Brankovic, Siler-Marinkovic, & Antonovic, 2015).
Finally, salad has been recently reported to contain interesting bitter and gelling compounds, as well as
polyphenols (Llorach et al., 2004; Mai & Glomb, 2016; Roversi, Ferrante, & Piazza, 2016). The
production of functional ingredeients based on these compounds could be thus a further output of salad
waste recycle.

312

313 4.1.3. Process design

Once the main output of the waste management strategy is defined, relevant production process should be designed. To obtain products with tailored characteristics, proper processes should be developed, including the eventual application of novel sustainable technologies.

317 Pressure-based technologies such as high static pressure (HP), high pressure homogenization (HPH) 318 and high-pressure carbon dioxide (HP-CO₂) have been proposed for fresh juice production and could 319 thus be exploitable for the production of novel functional juices containing salad (Koutchma, Popovi, Ros-polski, & Popielarz, 2016). Innovative drying technologies such as microwaves (MW) and 320 321 supercritical fluids (SC-F) could offer the possibility of drying salad wastes at low cost, while obtaining 322 a microbiologically pure water (Brown, Fryer, Norton, Bakalis, & Bridson, 2008; Feng, Yin, & Tang, 323 2012). Similarly, ultrasounds (US), supercritical carbon dioxide (SC-CO₂), pulsed electric fields (PEF) 324 and MW could be applied to salad waste for the extraction of bioactives, colorants and gelling 325 materials at mild temperature conditions, to maximally preserve ingredient properties. To this regard, 326 Solana, Boschiero, Dall'Acqua, & Bertucco (2014) and Solana, Mirofci, & Bertucco (2016) produced 327 polyphenols and glucosinate functional extracts, suitable for functional foods and nutraceutical, from 328 rocket salad using supercritical carbon dioxide extraction (Table 3).

- 329
- 330 4.1.4. Feasibility study

To select a strategy for salad waste management, relevant costs must be evaluated. The cost of currently applied salad waste management strategies is directly proportional to waste transport and disposal. According to Figure 1, in a typical Italian company, salad waste is often delivered to a centralized biogas plant. The latter is generally located within a 20-km distance from the production site in order to reduce transport cost. In such a case, management of 1 ton of waste would be associated to an average cost of $0.80 \notin$ and $60 \notin$ for transport and disposal respectively. Based on these data, it can 337 be easily calculated that processing 1 ton of iceberg salad with a 35% waste generation (Figure 1) 338 would cost about 22.00 €. This cost is expected to increase with the distance between production site 339 and disposal center. Waste management cost is also strongly affected by the nature of processed salad. 340 For instance, baby salads are characterized by a waste amount approximately 10-fold lower than that of 341 whole-head salads and, consequently, by a waste management cost around 2.00 €/ton of processed 342 salad. In a typical Italian company, approximately equal amounts of whole-head and baby salads are 343 processed, leading to an average waste management cost of at least 10.00 €/ton of processed salad. It 344 has to be underlined that such salad waste management often represents a net cost for companies, due 345 to the risible or null return in terms of biogas or AD digestate to be used as fertilizer.

346 On the contrary, strategies based on the exploitation of salad waste for producing water and functional 347 foods and ingredients could be actually profitable. In fact, circumstantial considerations about the high 348 water management costs in a typical fresh-cut process suggest that the implementation of a water 349 recovery strategy could result into a significant process cost reduction (Manzocco et al., 2015). 350 Similarly, functional ingredients for food and cosmetics are reported to have high market potential, due 351 to the increase consumer demand for natural healthy products (Huang, Yang, & Wang, 2013). The possibility to use waste derivatives in different industrial sectors also depends on their fulfilment of 352 353 current legislation as well as on availability of a normative framework specifically developed to 354 support the exploitation of these novel ingredients.

Investment costs for implementing new salad waste management strategies (e.g. plants and equipment) could represent an issue for the companies. Nevertheless, a return on the investment has to be expected. Beside tangible profit deriving from improved water management and/or value added ingredients/food production, non tangible benefits could also come from the opportunity the company may have to build an eco-friendly image. In fact, raised public interest about environmental and sustainability issues is expected to greatly drive consumer choices toward foods produced by novel eco-friendly technologies, reducing water consume and waste amounts (Vermeir & Verbeke, 2006).

362

363 4.1.5. An operational scheme to develop possible salad waste management strategies

An integrated operational scheme including possible energy recovery, recycle and reuse strategies for salad waste management is shown in Figure 2. This operational scheme could be used to identify new profitable and sustainable strategies. In particular, once salad waste is quantified, characterized, and outputs defined, sustainable processes, either conventional, such as anaerobic digestion for biogas

- 368 production, or innovative, such as supercritical bioactive extraction, can be considered to develop 369 tailored products.
- 370 The selection of a waste management strategy over another should be driven by the following selection
- 371 criteria:
- 372 investment cost in equipment and human competence;
- 373 return of investment;
- maximal waste exploitation and profitability;
- 375 consumer acceptance and company image upgrade;
- 376 adherence to legal requirements.

377 Based on the peculiar characteristics of the company and the environment in which it operates, 378 different management strategies, even in combination, should be identified by proper economic 379 evaluation and life cycle analysis. For instance, companies growing different vegetables and processing different products, including fresh-cut salads, juices and/or preserves, could find economically 380 381 advantageous to set up an internal biogas plant where different organic material are profitably 382 converted into biogas and fertilizers. By contrast, for a company mainly producing fresh-cut salads, implementing on-site extraction of bioactive compounds, colorants and gelling agents could be more 383 384 sustainable and even profitable. Residual waste could be either anaerobically digested or dried. In the 385 first case, energy would be recovered starting from a material already exploited for its high value 386 compounds. In the second one, novel sustainable drying technologies could be applied. After a proper 387 purification treatment, water could be reintroduced in the production process and the residual flour, rich 388 in fiber, used as functional ingredient for food and animal feed or as a pollutant adsorber.

389

390 5. Conclusions

391 Management of fruit and vegetable waste (FVW) is an important issue that requires to be adressed by 392 modern society, due to the environmental impact and the high value of this waste. Among FVW, salad 393 waste is particularly challenging due to its high water content. Nevertheless, different waste 394 management strategies could be efficaciously applied. Among them, the ideal strategy maximally 395 exploits salad waste as a source of both value added compounds, such as polyphenols and fiber, and 396 water. This would reduce the environmental impact of salad waste and even turn it into a profitable 397 material for the company. To achieve this goal, traditional strategies such as anaerobic digestion and 398 composting could be combined with novel sustainable technologies, including those based on 399 supercritical fluids, ultrasounds and pressure. However, the development of such waste management 400 strategies should be economically sustainable for the companies and in agreement with law 401 requirements. Based on this considerations, an integrated approach involving researchers, companies 402 and legislator seems to be crucial to develop cost-effective and environmentally sustainable strategies 403 for salad waste management.

404

405 Acknowledgement

406 This research was supported by Ministero dell'Istruzione, dell'Università e della Ricerca (Prot. 957/ric
407 28/12/2012) "Long Life, High Sustainability". Project 2012ZN3KJL.

408

409 **References**

410 Abu-Qudais, M. (1996). Fluidized-bed combustion for energy production from olive cake. *Energy*,
411 21(3), 173–178.

Ajila, C. M., Brar, S. K., Verma, M., & Prasada Rao, U. G. S. (2012). Sustainable solutions for agro
processing waste management: An Overview. In A. Malik & E. Grohmann (Eds.), *Environmental Protection Strategies for Sustainable Development* (pp. 65–109). Springer Netherlands.

415 Amiri-Rigi, A., Abbasi, S., & Scanlon, M. G. (2016). Enhanced lycopene extraction from tomato 416 industrial waste using microemulsion technique: Optimization of enzymatic and ultrasound pre-417 treatments. *Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies*, *35*, 160–167.

Annadurai, G., Juang, R. S., & Lee, D. J. (2002). Use of cellulose - based wastes for adsorption of
dyes from aqueous solutions. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 92(3), 263–274.

420 Ayala-Zavala, J. F., Rosas-Domínguez, C., Vega-Vega, V., & González-Aguilar, G. a. (2010).

Antioxidant enrichment and antimicrobial protection of fresh-cut fruits using their own byproducts:
Looking for integral exploitation. *Journal of Food Science*, 75(8), R175–R181.

423 AOAC (1997). Official methods of analysis. Washington, DC: Association of Official Analytical
424 Chemists.

425 AOAC (2000). Official methods of analysis. Washington, DC: Association of Official Analytical 426 Chemists.

- 427 Azouaou, Sadaoui, & Mokaddem. (2008). Removal of cadmium from aqueous solution by 428 adsorption on vegetable wastes. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, 8(24), 4638–4643.
- Baysal, T., Ersus, S., & Starmans, D. A. J. (2000). Supercritical CO2 extraction of β-carotene and
 lycopene from tomato paste waste. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 48(11), 5507–5511.

- Bechtold, T., Mussak, R., Mahmud-Ali, A., Ganglberger, E., & Geissler, S. (2006). Extraction of
 natural dyes for textile dyeing from coloured plant wastes released from the food and beverage
 industry. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 86(2), 233–242.
- Bhardwaj, R. L., & Pandey, S. (2016). Juice blends A way of utilization of under-utilized fruits,
 vegetables, and spices : A review. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 8398, 563–570.
- Bouallagui, H., Lahdheb, H., Ben Romdan, E., Rachdi, B., & Hamdi, M. (2009). Improvement of
 fruit and vegetable waste anaerobic digestion performance and stability with co-substrates addition. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *90*(5), 1844–1849.
- Brown, Z. K., Fryer, P. J., Norton, I. T., Bakalis, S., & Bridson, R. H. (2008). Drying of foods using
 supercritical carbon dioxide Investigations with carrot. *Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies*, 9(3), 280–289.
- Bustamante, J., van Stempvoort, S., García-Gallarreta, M., Houghton, J. A., Briers, H. K., Budarin,
 V. L., ... Clark, J. H. (2016). Microwave assisted hydro-distillation of essential oils from wet citrus
 peel waste. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *137*, 598–605.
- 445 Casati, D., & Baldi, L. (2012). Il confezionato tira nonostante la crisi. *Terra E Vita*, 27, 34–37.
- 446 Chang, J. I., Tsai, J. J., & Wu, K. H. (2006). Composting of vegetable waste. *Waste Management &*
- 447 Research: The Journal of the International Solid Wastes and Public Cleansing Association, ISWA,
 448 24(4), 354–362.
- Choi, I. S., Cho, E. J., Moon, J. H., & Bae, H. J. (2015). Onion skin waste as a valorization resource
 for the by-products quercetin and biosugar. *Food Chemistry*, *188*, 537–542.
- Choy, S. Y., Wang, K., Qi, W., Wang, B., Chen, C.L., & Wang, J.Y. (2015). Co-composting of
 horticultural waste with fruit peels, food waste, and soybean residues. *Environmental Technology*, *36*(11), 1448–1456.
- 454 Clemente, R., Pardo, T., Madejón, P., Madejón, E., & Bernal, M. P. (2015). Food byproducts as 455 amendments in trace elements contaminated soils. *Food Research International*, *73*, 176–189.
- Council Directive (CEE) 91/676 concerning the protection of waters against pollution caused by nitrates
 from agricultural sources. *Official Journal of the European Communities*, L375/1–8.
- Daifullah, A. A. M., & Girgis, B. S. (1998). Removal of some substituted phenols by activated
 carbon obtained from agricultural waste. *Water Research*, *32*(4), 1169–1177.
- de Oliveira, A. C., Valentim, I. B., Silva, C. A., Bechara, E. J. H., de Barros, M. P., Mano, C. M., &
- 461 Goulart, M. O. F. (2009). Total phenolic content and free radical scavenging activities of methanolic
- 462 extract powders of tropical fruit residues. *Food Chemistry*, *115*(2), 469–475.

Demirbas, A. (2011). Waste management, waste resource facilities and waste conversion processes. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 52(2), 1280–1287.

Dereli, R. K., Yangin-Gomec, C., Ozabali, A., & Ozturk, I. (2012). The feasibility of a centralized
biogas plant treating the manure produced by an organized animal farmers union in Turkey. *Water Science and Technology*, 66(3), 556–563.

- 468 Directive of the European Parliament and Council (EC) 12/2006 on waste. *Official Journal of European*469 *Community*, L114/9-21.
- Elain, A., Le Grand, A., Corre, Y. M., Le Fellic, M., Hachet, N., Le Tilly, V., ... Bruzaud, S. (2016).
 Valorisation of local agro-industrial processing waters as growth media for polyhydroxyalkanoates
 (PHA) production. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 80, 1–5.

ElMekawy, A., Srikanth, S., Bajracharya, S., Hegab, H. M., Nigam, P. S., Singh, A., ... Pant, D.
(2015). Food and agricultural wastes as substrates for bioelectrochemical system (BES): The
synchronized recovery of sustainable energy and waste treatment. *Food Research International*, *73*,
213–225.

477 FAO. (2011). Global food losses and food waste - Extent, causes and prevention. Rome.

Feng, H., Yin, Y., & Tang, J. (2012). Microwave drying of food and agricultural materials : Basics
and heat and mass transfer modeling. *Food Engineering Reviews*, *4*, 89–106.

- 480 Ferreira, M. S. L., Santos, M. C. P., Moro, T. M. A., Basto, G. J., Andrade, R. M. S., & Gonçalves,
- 481 E. C. B. A. (2015). Formulation and characterization of functional foods based on fruit and vegetable

482 residue flour. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 52(2), 822–830.

- Galanakis, C. M. (2012). Recovery of high added-value components from food wastes:
 Conventional, emerging technologies and commercialized applications. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 26(2), 68–87.
- 486 Garcia-Peña, E. I., Parameswaran, P., Kang, D. W., Canul-Chan, M., & Krajmalnik-Brown, R.
- 487 (2011). Anaerobic digestion and co-digestion processes of vegetable and fruit residues: Process and
 488 microbial ecology. *Bioresource Technology*, *102*(20), 9447–9455.
- 489 Grewal, H. S., Tewari, H. K., & Kalra, K. L. (1988). Vinegar production from substandard fruits.
 490 *Biological Wastes*, 26(1), 9–14.
- 491 Górnaś, P., & Rudzińska, M. (2016). Seeds recovered from industry by-products of nine fruit
 492 species with a high potential utility as a source of unconventional oil for biodiesel and cosmetic and
 493 pharmaceutical sectors. *Industrial Crops and Products*, *83*, 329–338.

- 494 Górnaś, P., Soliven, A., & Segliņa, D. (2015). Seed oils recovered from industrial fruit by-products 495 are a rich source of tocopherols and tocotrienols: Rapid separation of $\alpha/\beta/\gamma/\delta$ homologues by RP-496 HPLC/FLD. *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology*, *117*(6), 773–777.
- Han, S. K., & Shin, H. S. (2004). Biohydrogen production by anaerobic fermentation of food waste. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 29(6), 569–577.
- Hashem, M. A., Abdelmonem, R. M., & Farrag, T. E. (2007). Human hair as a biosorbent to uptake
 some dyestuffs from aqueous solutions. *Alexandria Engineering Journall*, *1*, 1–9.
- Herrero, M., Plaza, M., Cifuentes, A., & Ibáñez, E. (2010). Green processes for the extraction of
 bioactives from Rosemary: Chemical and functional characterization via ultra-performance liquid
 chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry and in-vitro assays. *Journal of Chromatography A*, *1217*(16), 2512–2520.
- Himanen, M., & Hänninen, K. (2011). Composting of bio-waste, aerobic and anaerobic sludges –
 Effect of feedstock on the process and quality of compost. *Bioresource Technology*, *102*(3), 2842–
 2852.
- Huang, H., Yang, B. B., & Wang, C. (2013). Advances in the extraction of natural ingredients by
 high pressure extraction technology. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, *33*(1), 54–62.
- Jabeen, N., Majid, I., Nayik, G. A., & Yildiz, F. (2015). Bioplastics and food packaging: A review. *Cogent Food & Agriculture*, 1(1), 1117749.
- Jacotet-Navarro, M., Rombaut, N., Deslis, S., Fabiano-Tixier, A. S., Pierre, F. X., Bily, A., & Chemat, F. (2016). Towards a "dry" bio-refinery without solvents or added water using microwaves and ultrasound for total valorization of fruit and vegetable by-products. *Green Chemistry*, *18*, 3106– 3115.
- Jiang, Y., Heaven, S., & Banks, C. J. (2012). Strategies for stable anaerobic digestion of vegetable
 waste. *Renewable Energy*, 44(June 2016), 206–214.
- 518 Kim, S. (2004). Feasibility of biohydrogen production by anaerobic co-digestion of food waste and 519 sewage sludge. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, *29*(15), 1607–1616.
- 520 Kothari, R., Tyagi, V. V., & Pathak, A. (2010). Waste-to-energy: A way from renewable energy 521 sources to sustainable development. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *14*(9), 3164–3170.
- 522 Koutchma, T., Popovi, V., Ros-polski, V., & Popielarz, A. (2016). Effects of ultraviolet light and
- 523 high-pressure processing on quality and health-related constituents of fresh juice products.
- 524 *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, *15*, 844–867.
- 525 Larrauri, J. (1999). New approaches in the preparation of high dietary fibre powders from fruit by-

526 products. Trends in Food Science & Technology, 10, 3–8.

- Laufenberg, G., Kunz, B., & Nystroem, M. (2003). Transformation of vegetable waste into value added products: (A) the upgrading concept; (B) practical implementations. *Bioresource Technology*, 87(2), 167–198.
- Li, Y., Park, S. Y., & Zhu, J. (2011). Solid-state anaerobic digestion for methane production from organic waste. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *15*(1), 821–826.
- Lin, C. S. K., Pfaltzgraff, L. A., Herrero-Davila, L., Mubofu, E. B., Abderrahim, S., Clark, J. H., ...
 Luque, R. (2013). Food waste as a valuable resource for the production of chemicals, materials and
 fuels. Current situation and global perspective. *Energy & Environmental Science*, 6(2), 426–464.
- Lin, J., Zuo, J., Gan, L., Li, P., Liu, F., Wang, K., ... Gan, H. (2011). Effects of mixture ratio on anaerobic co-digestion with fruit and vegetable waste and food waste of China. *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 23(8), 1403–1408.
- Llorach, R., Martínez-Sánchez, A., Tomás-Barberán, F. A., Gil, M. I., & Ferreres, F. (2008).
 Characterisation of polyphenols and antioxidant properties of five lettuce varieties and escarole. *Food Chemistry*, *108*, 1028–1038.
- Llorach, R., Tomás-Barberán, F. A., & Ferreres, F. (2004). Lettuce and chicory byproducts as a
 source of antioxidant phenolic extracts. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 52(16), 5109–
 5116.
- Lukić, B., Huguenot, D., Panico, A., Fabbricino, M., Van Hullebusch, E. D., & Esposito, G. (2016).
 Importance of organic amendment characteristics on bioremediation of PAH-contaminated soil. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 23(15), 15041–15052.
- 547 Mai, F., & Glomb, M. A. (2016). Structural and sensory characterization of novel sesquiterpene 548 lactones from Iceberg lettuce. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 64(1), 295–301.
- 549 Manzocco, L., Alongi, M., Sillani, S., & Nicoli, M. C. (2016). Technological and consumer 550 strategies to tackle food wasting. *Food Engineering Reviews*.
- 551 Manzocco, L., Ignat, A., Anese, M., Bot, F., Calligaris, S., Valoppi, F., & Nicoli, M. C. (2015).
- 552 Efficient management of the water resource in the fresh-cut industry : Current status and perspectives.
- 553 Trends in Food Science & Technology, 46(2), 286–294.
- 554 Martínez-Sánchez, A., Luna, M. C., Selma, M. V., Tudela, J. A., Abad, J., & Gil, M. I. (2012).
- 555 Baby-leaf and multi-leaf of green and red lettuces are suitable raw materials for the fresh-cut industry.
- 556 *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, 63(1), 1–10.
- 557 McCann, T. H., Fabre, F., & Day, L. (2011). Microstructure, rheology and storage stability of low-

- fat yoghurt structured by carrot cell wall particles. *Food Research International*, 44(4), 884–892.
- 559 Mena, C., Adenso-Diaz, B., & Yurt, O. (2011). The causes of food waste in the supplier-retailer 560 interface: Evidences from the UK and Spain. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *55*(6), 648–658.
- 561 Nawirska, A., & Kwaśniewska, M. (2005). Dietary fibre fractions from fruit and vegetable 562 processing waste. *Food Chemistry*, *91*(2), 221–225.
- 563 Nkoa, R. (2014). Agricultural benefits and environmental risks of soil fertilization with anaerobic
 564 digestates: A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, *34*(2), 473–492.
- Panda, S. K., Mishra, S. S., Kayitesi, E., & Ray, R. C. (2016). Microbial-processing of fruit and
 vegetable wastes for production of vital enzymes and organic acids: Biotechnology and scopes. *Environmental Research*, 146, 161–172.
- Pant, D., Van Bogaert, G., Diels, L., & Vanbroekhoven, K. (2010). A review of the substrates used
 in microbial fuel cells (MFCs) for sustainable energy production. *Bioresource Technology*, *101*(6),
 1533–1543.
- Parfitt, J., Barthel, M., & Macnaughton, S. (2010). Food waste within food supply chains:
 quantification and potential for change to 2050. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences*, 365(1554), 3065–81.
- Pavlovic, M., Nikolic, I., Milutinovic, M., Dimitrijevic-Brankovic, S., Siler-Marinkovic, S., &
 Antonovic, D. (2015). Plant waste materials from restaurants as the adsorbents for dyes. *Hemijska Industrija*, 69(6), 667–677.
- 577 Piccinno, F., Hischier, R., Seeger, S., & Som, C. (2015). Life cycle assessment of a new technology
 578 to extract, functionalize and orient cellulose nanofibers from food waste. ACS Sustainable Chemistry
 579 and Engineering, 3(6), 1047–1055.
- Pop, A., Muste, S., Mureşan, C., & Jula, S. (2014). Studies on juice quality obtained from
 pomegranate and various vegetables additions. *Bulletin UASVM Food Science and Technology*, *71*(1),
 3–5.
- Rabelo, R. S., MacHado, M. T. C., Martínez, J., & Hubinger, M. D. (2016). Ultrasound assisted
 extraction and nanofiltration of phenolic compounds from artichoke solid wastes. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 178, 170–180.
- Ratti, C. (2001). Hot air and freeze-drying of high-value foods: A review. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 49(4), 311–319.
- 588 Raybaudi-Massilia, R. M., Mosqueda-Melgar, J., Soliva-Fortuny, R., & Martín-Belloso, O. (2009).
- 589 Control of pathogenic and spoilage microorganisms in fresh-cut fruits and fruit juices by traditional and

- alternative natural antimicrobials. Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety, 8(3),
 157–180.
- Regulation (EC) 396/2005 of the European Parliament and of the Council on maximum residue levels of
 pesticides in or on food and feed of plant and animal origin and amending Council Directive 91/414/EEC.
- Regulation of European Commission (EC) 563/2002 amending Regulation (EC) 466/2001 setting
 maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs. *Official Journal of the European Communities*,
 L86/5–6.
- Roversi, T., Ferrante, A., & Piazza, L. (2016). Mesoscale investigation of the structural properties of
 unrefined cell wall materials extracted from minimally processed salads during storage. *Journal of Food Engineering*, *168*(March), 191–198.
- Roversi, T., Radaelli, M., & Piazza, L. (2015). Self-healing cell wall particles gels: a rheological
 investigation. *Chemical Engineering Transactions*, 43, 73–78.
- San Martin, D., Ramos, S., & Zufía, J. (2016). Valorisation of food waste to produce new raw
 materials for animal feed. *Food Chemistry*, *198*, 68–74.
- Schneider, F. (2013). The evolution of food donation with respect to waste prevention. *Waste Management*, 33(3), 755–763.
- 606 Segrè, A., & Falasconi, L. (2011). *Il libro nero dello spreco in Italia: il cibo*. Milan: Ambiente
 607 Edizioni.
- 608 Sharma, V. K., Testa, C., Lastella, G., Cornacchia, G., & Comparato, M. P. (2000). Inclined-plug-609 flow type reactor for anaerobic digestion of semi-solid waste. *Applied Energy*, *65*(1–4), 173–185.
- Sheets, J. P., Yang, L., Ge, X., Wang, Z., & Li, Y. (2015). Beyond land application: Emerging
 technologies for the treatment and reuse of anaerobically digested agricultural and food waste. *Waste Management*, 44, 94–115.
- 613 Shen, F., Yuan, H., Pang, Y., Chen, S., Zhu, B., Zou, D., ... Li, X. (2013). Performances of 614 anaerobic co-digestion of fruit & vegetable waste (FVW) and food waste (FW): Single-phase vs. two-615 phase. *Bioresource Technology*, *144*, 80–85.
- Solana, M., Boschiero, I., Dall'Acqua, S., & Bertucco, A. (2014). Extraction of bioactive enriched
 fractions from Eruca sativa leaves by supercritical CO2 technology using different co-solvents. *Journal of Supercritical Fluids*, *94*, 245–251.
- Solana, M., Mirofci, S., & Bertucco, A. (2016). Production of phenolic and glucosinolate extracts
 from rocket salad by supercritical fluid extraction: Process design and cost benefits analysis. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 168, 35–41.

- Stenmarck, Å., Jensen, C., Quested, T., & Moates, G. (2016). *Estimates of European food waste levels.* FUSIONS EU Project–Reducing food waste through social innovation.
- 624 Stürmer, B., Schmid, E., & Eder, M. W. (2011). Impacts of biogas plant performance factors on 625 total substrate costs. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, *35*(4), 1552–1560.
- Vermeir, I., & Verbeke, W. (2006). Sustainable food consumption: Exploring the consumer
 "attitude Behavioral intention" gap. *Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics*, *19*(2), 169–
 194.
- Williams, P. J., & Anderson, P. A. (2006). Technical bulletin: Operational cost savings in dairy
 plant water usage. *International Journal of Dairy Technology*, 59(2), 147–154.
- Yang, L., Ge, X., Wan, C., Yu, F., & Li, Y. (2014). Progress and perspectives in converting biogas
 to transportation fuels. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 40, 1133–1152.
- Chang, R., El-Mashad, H. M., Hartman, K., Wang, F., Liu, G., Choate, C., & Gamble, P. (2007).
 Characterization of food waste as feedstock for anaerobic digestion. *Bioresource Technology*, *98*(4),
 929–935.
- Zheng, W., Phoungthong, K., Lü, F., Shao, L. M., & He, P. J. (2013). Evaluation of a classification
 method for biodegradable solid wastes using anaerobic degradation parameters. *Waste Management*, *33*(12), 2632–40.
- Chou, Y., Zhao, X., & Huang, H. (2015). Effects of pulsed electric fields on anthocyanin extraction
 yield of blueberry processing by-products. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*, *39*(6), 1898–
 1904.
- Zini, E., & Scandola, M. S. (2011). Green composites: an overview. *Polymers and Polymer Composites*, 16(2), 1906–1915.
- 644

645 Web references

- Cook, R. (2015). Trends in the Marketing of Fresh Produce and Fresh-cut Products, (Department of
 Agriculture and Resource Economic, University of California Davis).
 https://arefiles.ucdavis.edu/uploads/filer_public/2014/10/08/freshcut20140926finalnewmarycook.pdf.
- 649 Accessed 24.10.16.
- EcoDigesterTM. Digesting food waste into liquid. <u>http://www.eco-wiz.com/ecoDigester.php.</u>
 Accessed 24.10.16.
- 652 Enviro Pure Systems. Food waste elimination at the source.
- 653 <u>http://www.enviropuresystems.com/index.php.</u> Accessed 24.10.16.

Inglorious fruit and vegetables by Intermarchè. <u>http://itm.marcelww.com/inglorious/.</u> Accessed
24.10.16.

656 More products, more locations: no name® Naturally ImperfectTM produce line expanded to meet 657 customer demand. <u>http://media.loblaw.ca/English/media-centre/press-releases/press-release-</u> 659 locitie (2016) (a_{1} and b_{2} and b_{3} and b_{4} and b_{4}

 $\underline{details/2016}/\underline{More-products-more-locations-no-name-Naturally-Imperfect-produce-line-expanded-to-name-Naturally-Imperfect-produce-line-expand$

659 <u>meet-customer-demand/default.aspx.</u> Accessed 24.10.16.

National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference Release 28. Basic Report: 11252, Lettuce,
iceberg (includes crisphead types), raw. <u>https://ndb.nal.usda.gov/ndb/foods/show/3002?manu=&fgcd</u>.
Accessed 24.10.16.

Rabobank International. (2010). The EU Fresh-cut Fruits and Vegetables Market. Fresh
convenience conference. London. Rabobank International. (2010). The EU Fresh-cut Fruits and
Vegetables Market. Fresh convenience conference. London. Accessed 24.10.16.

666 Waste to water. <u>http://www.wastetowater.com.au/</u>. Accessed 24.10.16.

667

669 Figure captions

- 670 Figure 1. Fresh-cut iceberg salad waste (W) flow in a typical Italian company. Waste-generating
- operations are identified (C, W1, W2, W3 and OS). Waste amounts are expressed as percentage ratio to
- 672 total salad accepted after the quality check (S).
- 673 COLOR IN PRINT IS NOT REQUIRED
- 674 **Figure 2.** Operational scheme for fresh-cut salad waste management, based on characherisation, output
- 675 definition, process design and feasibility study.
- 676 COLOR IN PRINT IS NOT REQUIRED

Table 1. Main stra	tegies of FVW managem	ent according to di	fferent authors.
Strategy	Output ACCER	Waste origin NUSC	References
Reduction			
Alternative processing of substandard items	Fruit and vegetable derivatives	Substandard apple and grapes	Grewal et al., 1988
Market of substandard items	Low cost fruit and vegetables	Substandard fruit and vegetables	http://itm.marcelww.com/inglorious/ media.loblaw.ca/English/media-centre/press- releases/press-release-details/2016/More-products- more-locations-no-name-Naturally-Imperfect- produce-line-expanded-to-meet-customer- demend/default_acay
Food rescue programs	Fruit and vegetables distributed to hungry people	Fruit and vegetable surplus	Schneider, 2013
Reuse			
Direct use	Products for soil amendment	Olive, mushrooms	Clemente et al., 2015
Minor changes (partial dehydration, trimming)	Fiber-enriched animal feed	Mixed fruit and vegetables	San Martin et al., 2016
Recycle			
Composting Processing into flour	Fertilizers Green and low cost adsorbents for pollutants in wastewaters	Mixed fruit and vegetables Orange, citrus, banana, olive, apricot	Chang et al., 2006; Choy, Wang, Qi, Wang, Chen, & Wang, 2015 Annadurai, Juang, & Lee, 2002; Azouaou et al., 2008; Daifullah & Girgis, 1998; Pavlovic et al., 2015
Conversion into water	Flour rich in antioxidants, phenols, minerals and fiber Water for industrial facilities	Tropical fruit, orange Mixed fruit and vegetables	de Oliveira et al., 2009; Ferreira et al., 2015; Larrauri, 1999 http://www.eco-wiz.com/ecoDigester.php http://www.enviropuresystems.com/index.php http://www.wastetowater.com.au/
Extraction of specific compounds	Bioactive extracts		
	Flavonoids and bio- sugars Antioxidants and antimicrobials	Onions Fresh-cut fruit	Choi, Cho, Moon, & Bae, 2015 Ayala-Zavala, Rosas-Domínguez, Vega-Vega, & González-Aguilar, 2010
	Oils		
	Essential oils Oils for food, biodiesel, pharmaceutical and cosmetic sectors	Citrus fruit Watermelon, melon, red currant, pomegranate, grape, apple	Bustamante et al., 2016 Górnaś, Soliven, & Segliņa, 2015; Górnaś & Rudzińska, 2016
	Fiber extracts		
	Reinforced biopolymers	Banana	Zini & Scandola, 2011
	Bioplastics	Pineapple, banana	Elain et al., 2016; Jabeen, Majid, Nayik, & Yildiz, 2015
	Cellulose nanofibers Dietary fiber	Carrot Apple, cherry, chokeberry, black currant pear carrot	Piccinno, Hischier, Seeger, & Som, 2015 Nawirska & Kwaśniewska, 2005
	Natural dyes	Raspberries, black carrots, currants, onions	Bechtold, Mussak, Mahmud-Ali, Ganglberger, & Geissler, 2006
	Structuring agents	Apple, carrot	McCann et al., 2011; Roversi et al., 2016; Roversi, Radaelli, & Piazza, 2015
Energy Recovery			
Anaerobic digestion	Biogas Fortilizers	Mixed fruit and	Han & Shin, 2004; Kim, 2004; Lin et al., 2013; Shan et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2007
Bio-electrochemical systems	Electrical energy	Carbohydrate-rich vegetables	ElMekawy et al., 2015

Table 2. Main characteristics of iceberg salad fractions.

		ΑССЕРТЕВ ΜΑ	NIJSCRIPT	
Exaction	Relative weight	Dry matter	Fiber	Total polyphenols
Fraction	(g/100 g fw)	(g/100 g fw)	(g/100 g dw)	(mg GAE eq/g dw)
Edible	55.8 ± 2.3	5.6 ± 0.6	20.2 ± 1.2	2.4 ± 0.3
Core	31.7 ± 3.1	6.8 ± 0.8	32.8 ± 0.9	2.1 ± 0.2
External leaves	11.8 ± 5.4	5.1 ± 0.4	28.9 ± 1.0	1.9 ± 0.6

4 ^{fw, dw} data expressed on fresh weight (fw) or dry weight (dw) basis.

Table 3. Outputs of applied and potential strategies suggested in the literature for salad waste management.

Output	ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT References
Applied strategies	
Soil conditioner	Lukić, Huguenot, Panico, Fabbricino, Van Hullebusch, & Esposito, 2016
Fertilizer	Himanen & Hänninen, 2011
Biogas	Garcia-Peña et al., 2011; Lin et al., 2011
Potential strategies	
Functional juice	Pop et al., 2014
Water for industrial facilities	Brown et al., 2008
Functional flour	Ferreira et al., 2015
Green adsorbents for dyes	Pavlovic et al., 2015
Bitter compounds	Mai & Glomb, 2016
Structuring agents	Roversi et al., 2016
Polyphenolic extracts	Llorach et al., 2004; Solana et al., 2016
	A S



Figure 1.



Figure 2.

Highlights

Fruit and vegetable waste has high environmental load and represents a company cost

Reduction, reuse, recycle and energy recovery strategies can be applied to FVW

Up to 40% of fresh-cut salad get wasted during processing

Novel technologies can be useful for sustainable fresh-cut salad waste management