

VisualBib^(va): A Visual Analytics Platform for Authoring and Reviewing Bibliographies

Antonina Dattolo*
antonina.dattolo@uniud.it
SASWEB Lab, Department of
Mathematics, Computer Science and
Physics
Udine, Italy

Marco Corbatto*
marco.corbatto@uniud.it
SASWEB Lab, Department of
Mathematics, Computer Science and
Physics
Udine, Italy

Marco Angelini*
angelini@diag.uniroma1.it
Sapienza University of Rome
Rome, Italy




Figure 1: An overview of the VisualBib^(va) with its five panels labeled in green.

ABSTRACT

Researchers are daily engaged in bibliographic tasks concerning literature search and review, both in the role of authors of scientific papers and when they are reviewers or evaluators. Current indexing platforms poorly support the visual exploration and comparative metadata analysis coming from subsequent searches. To address these issues, we designed and realized VisualBib^(va), an online visual analytics solution, where a visual environment includes analysis control, bibliography exploration, automatic metadata extraction, and metrics visualization for real-time scenarios. We introduce and discuss here the relevant functions that VisualBib^(va) supports through two usage scenarios related to the creation and the review

of a bibliography. Full details about the VisualBib^(va) design, implementation and evaluation are available in [3]. A fully interactive environment is available at <http://visualbib.uniud.it/> (video demo: <http://bit.ly/3fKuZNg>).

CCS CONCEPTS

• Human-centered computing → Visual analytics; • Information systems → Search interfaces.

KEYWORDS

Information Visualization, Bibliography Evaluation, Bibliography Exploration, Bibliometrics, Visual Analytics

ACM Reference Format:

Antonina Dattolo, Marco Corbatto, and Marco Angelini. 2022. VisualBib^(va): A Visual Analytics Platform for Authoring and Reviewing Bibliographies. In *Proceedings of the 2022 International Conference on Advanced Visual Interfaces (AVI 2022)*, June 6–10, 2022, Frascati, Rome, Italy. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 3 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3531073.3534466>

1 INTRODUCTION

The production of scientific papers is following a rising trend, particularly for scientific disciplines, with an increasing number of

*All authors contributed equally to this research.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).
AVI 2022, June 6–10, 2022, Frascati, Rome, Italy
© 2022 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).
ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-9719-3/22/06.
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3531073.3534466>

works for a researcher to cope with when proposing or reviewing a novel contribution. As a consequence, some bibliographic activities like the construction, refinement, evaluation, and analysis of a bibliographic corpus, are becoming key tasks in many fields [7] and researchers spend a considerable portion of their time on them. Moreover, different users could assign different relevance to a characteristic of a bibliography [4, 6]: an author might be more interested in the building of a bibliography, identifying novel works to include in it, and then evaluating its properties; while a reviewer could be more focused on the properties themselves as a way of assessing its quality. Furthermore, considering that for each of the potentially citable works, there is a plethora of information and indicators that describe its quality [10], managing this information can be a complex activity for a user. This paper presents the characteristics and a demo of VisualBib^(va) [3], a visual analytics platform that supports different user roles in accomplishing bibliographic tasks, ranging from the creation of a bibliography to the evaluation of bibliographic corpora of authors, making sense of their information, and helping users to improve the quality of a bibliography. It collects data from some of the major scientific indexing systems (Elsevier Scopus, OpenCitations, and CrossRef/Orcid) and supports bibliographic analysis, construction, exploration, and review.

2 THE VISUALBIB^(va) SYSTEM

VisualBib^(va) is a single-page Web application, based on W3C standard languages such as HTML5, CSS3, SVG, Javascript ES6; it adopts the D3.js [2] library for data visualization and visual elements management. It implements the 24 system requirements that we collected in the taxonomy of Figure 2: on the left, we show the typical tasks of an author, while on the right are those of reviewers; in the center, the system requirements needed to support the user in those tasks.




Figure 2: A Sankey diagram summarizing the taxonomy of tasks, roles, and system requirements.

An overview of the whole environment can be seen in Figure 1, composed of five main coordinated panels:

SGCP - Sources & General Commands Panel, mainly dedicated to importing citation indexes and management of the created bibliography at the different stages.

BEE - Bibliographic Exploration Environment, the visual core of VisualBib^(va) (Figure 1-center), characterized by a visual representation of a bibliography called narrative view. It mainly

satisfies the requirements of macro-areas of visualization and exploration of a bibliography.

ACE - Analysis Control Environment, the analytical core of VisualBib^(va). It mainly supports analysis and comparison among papers and bibliographies and provides visual means for their execution and judgment. The ACE enables users to apply ordering criteria on authors, papers, subject areas, keywords, tags, and select specific (or sets of) papers.

BME - Bibliographic Metrics Environment, consisting of two radar charts [5, 11], allows for analyzing data about the academic careers of authors and their research outputs, projected on the bibliography under examination.

PDE -Paper Details Environment, listing in three different panels (Paper details, Authors details, Bibliography metadata) all the extracted, analyzed or created (meta)data. Given the vast amount of textual information available (e.g., abstracts, paper descriptions) it is organized as a list of expansible contents.

3 DEMO SCENARIO

The demonstration concerns the task of creating the bibliography of the paper by Dattolo et al. referenced in this paper, through VisualBib^(va), and concerns the creation of bibliography about visual analytic systems supporting literature reviews creation and management. The result of this process can be seen in Figure 1. The analysis started from three seed papers: [7], [8], and [1]. We proceeded by importing their cited and citing papers into the VisualBib^(va) environment and collecting a set of candidates to be included. These actions were conducted by evaluating the fitness of each paper for the topic, considering subject areas and keywords, evaluating the delta that each publication provided in the ACE, and comparing their metrics to ones of the overall bibliography in the BME. If after this analysis the paper was deemed suitable, it was tagged according to the taxonomy of classes - Background, Motivation, Uses, Extension, Comparison or Contrast, Future - [9] to make the rationale of the paper explicit in the context of our bibliography. The tags were applied using the corresponding ACE feature.

The import functions allow users to identify the already selected papers and generate a convergent process toward a finite number of papers to evaluate. The exploration of the cited and citing papers was performed using both the BEE (clicking on the four-arrow icon of each paper) and SGCP. Using the BEE, we notice that [1] and [8] mutually cite themselves and the three seed papers share 12 cited and citing papers: six contained in the cited papers and the remaining in the citing ones; in the ACE we tagged these papers (plus the three seed papers) as ‘15 papers in common’, [1] as ‘Background’, [8] as ‘Comparison’, and [7] as ‘Motivation’. Then, in the PDE, we annotated some of these papers as ‘work in progress’. Starting from 12 co-cited papers and three seeds (15 papers), in the ACE we identified six keywords relevant for the number of occurrences (‘Information Visualization’, ‘Visualization’, ‘Citation networks’, ‘Literature reviews’, ‘Scientific literature’, and ‘Interactive visualizations’) which we used as a basis to add new papers. Then, in the BME, we compared the features of this selection of 15 papers to the other cited and citing papers, and we extracted new candidates comparing the overall bibliography to the current selection. So we added, for example, survey [10], selected by numbers of citations greater than 1000.

REFERENCES

- [1] Fabian Beck, Sebastian Koch, and Daniel Weiskopf. 2016. Visual Analysis and Dissemination of Scientific Literature Collections with SurVis. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 22, 1 (2016), 180–189. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TVCG.2015.2467757>
- [2] Michael Bostock, Vadim Ogjevtsevsky, and Jeffrey Heer. 2011. D³ data-driven documents. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 17, 12 (2011), 2301–2309.
- [3] Antonina Dattolo, Marco Corbato, and Marco Angelini. 2022. Authoring and Reviewing Bibliographies: Design and Development of a Visual Analytics Online Platform. *IEEE Access* 10 (2022), 21631–21645. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3153027>
- [4] Ao Dong, Wei Zeng, Xi Chen, and Zhanglin Cheng. 2019. VIStory: Interactive Storyboard for Exploring Visual Information in Scientific Publications. In *Proc. of the 12th Int. Symposium on Visual Information Communication and Interaction (Shanghai, China) (VINCI'2019)*. ACM, Article 12, 8 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3356422.3356430>
- [5] Geoffrey M. Draper, Yarden Livnat, and Richard F. Riesenfeld. 2009. A Survey of Radial Methods for Information Visualization. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 15, 5 (2009), 759–776. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TVCG.2009.23>
- [6] Niklas Elmqvist and Philippas Tsigas. 2007. CiteWiz: A Tool for the Visualization of Scientific Citation Networks. *Information Visualization* 6, 3 (2007), 215–232. <https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.ivs.9500156> arXiv:<https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.ivs.9500156>
- [7] Paolo Federico, Florian Heimerl, Steffen Koch, and Silvia Miksch. 2017. A Survey on Visual Approaches for Analyzing Scientific Literature and Patents. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 23, 9 (2017), 2179–2198. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TVCG.2016.2610422>
- [8] Florian Heimerl, Qi Han, Steffen Koch, and Thomas Ertl. 2016. CiteRivers: Visual Analytics of Citation Patterns. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics* 22, 1 (2016), 190–199. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TVCG.2015.2467621>
- [9] David Jurgens, Srijan Kumar, Raine Hoover, Dan McFarland, and Dan Jurafsky. 2018. Measuring the Evolution of a Scientific Field through Citation Frames. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics* 6 (2018), 391–406.
- [10] Nees Jan van Eck and Ludo Waltman. 2010. Software survey: VOSviewer, a computer program for bibliometric mapping. *Scientometrics* 84 (1 2010), 523–538.
- [11] Georg Von Mayr. 1877. *Die Gesetzmäßigkeit im Gesellschaftsleben*. Vol. 23. K. Oldenbourg.