



Article Severe Obesity Defined by Percentiles of WHO and Cardiometabolic Risk in Youth with Obesity

Giuliana Valerio ^{1,*,†},[®], Procolo Di Bonito ^{2,†}, Anna Di Sessa ³, Giada Ballarin ¹, Valeria Calcaterra ⁴, Domenico Corica ⁵, Maria Felicia Faienza ⁶, Francesca Franco ⁷, Maria Rosaria Licenziati ⁸, Claudio Maffeis ⁹, Giulio Maltoni ¹⁰, Emanuele Miraglia del Giudice ³, Anita Morandi ⁹, Enza Mozzillo ¹¹, and Malgorzata Wasniewska ⁵

- ¹ Department of Medical, Movement Sciences and Wellbeing, University of Napoli "Parthenope", 80133 Napoli, Italy; giada.ballarin@uniparthenope.it
- ² Department of Internal Medicine, "S. Maria delle Grazie" Hospital, 80078 Pozzuoli, Italy; procolodibonito53@gmail.com
- ³ Department of Woman, Child and of General and Specialized Surgery, University of Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli", 80138 Napoli, Italy; anna.disessa@unicampania.it (A.D.S.); emanuele.miraglia@unicampania.it (E.M.d.G.)
- ⁴ Department of Internal Medicine, University of Pavia, 27100 Pavia, Italy; valeria.calcaterra@unipv.it
- ⁵ Department of Human Pathology in Adulthood and Childhood, University of Messina, 98122 Messina, Italy; domenico.corica@unime.it (D.C.); malgorzata.wasniewska@unime.it (M.W.)
- ⁶ Pediatric Unit, Department of Precision and Regenerative Medicine and Ionian Area, University of Bari "Aldo Moro", 70124 Bari, Italy; mariafelicia.faienza@uniba.it
- ⁷ Pediatric Department, Azienda Sanitaria Universitaria Friuli Centrale, Hospital of Udine, 33100 Udine, Italy; francesca.franco@asufc.sanita.fvg.it
- ⁸ Neuro-Endocrine Diseases and Obesity Unit, Department of Neurosciences,
- Santobono-Pausilipon Children's Hospital, 80129 Napoli, Italy; mrlicenziati@santobonopausilipon.it
 Department of Surgery, Dentistry, Pediatrics and Gynecology, Section of Pediatric Diabetes and Metabolism,
 - University and Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Integrata of Verona, 37126 Verona, Italy; claudio.maffeis@univr.it (C.M.); anita.morandi@univr.it (A.M.)
- ¹⁰ Pediatric Unit, IRCCS Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria di Bologna, 40138 Bologna, Italy; giulio.maltoni@aosp.bo.it
- ¹¹ Section of Pediatrics, Department of Translational Medical Science, Regional Center of Pediatric Diabetes, University of Naples "Federico II", 80131 Napoli, Italy; mozzilloenza@gmail.com
- * Correspondence: giuliana.valerio@uniparthenope.it
 - [†] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: Background/Objectives: The pediatric definition of severe obesity (OB) depends on the body mass index (BMI) references. We evaluated different BMI-derived metrics of the World Health Organization (WHO) system to define which cut-off is associated with the highest cardiometabolic risk (CMR); Methods: In this multicentric study, data were retrieved for 3727 youths (1937 boys; 2225 children, 1502 adolescents). OB was defined as BMI > 97th percentile (BMI_{97th}), severe OB was defined as BMI > 99th percentile (BMI_{99th}), BMI \geq 120% of the 97th percentile (120% BMI_{97th}), or BMI Z-score > 3 (WHO tables), or BMI \geq the International Obesity Task Force (IOTF) value crossing a BMI of 35 kg/m^2 at the age of 18 (IOTF₃₅). The continuous CMR Z-score (sum of residual standardized for age and sex of waist-to-height ratio, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, triglycerides, and HDL-cholesterol x - 1) and the cluster of at least two CMR factors (hypertension, high triglycerides, low HDL-cholesterol, and high waist-to-height ratio) were calculated. Results: Continuous CMR Z-score was significantly higher both in children or adolescents with severe OB defined by 120% BMI_{97th} compared to BMI_{99th} (p < 0.0001), while it was lower only in adolescents with severe OB defined by 120% BMI_{97th} compared to BMI Z-score >3 (p < 0.0001). Compared to 120% BMI_{97th}, BMI Z-score > 3 and IOTF₃₅ had higher specificity, but lower sensitivity in identifying children and adolescents with clustered CMR factors. Conclusions: The definition of severe OB based on 120% BMI_{97th} is superior to BMI_{99th} but it is inferior to BMI Z score > 3 as far as the association between severe OB and CMR factors is concerned. Pediatricians should take into consideration the implication of the use of different BMI metrics in those countries that recommend the WHO system. WHO BMI Z-score > 3 and IOTF₃₅ can be used interchangeably to predict cardiometabolic risk.



Citation: Valerio, G.; Di Bonito, P.; Di Sessa, A.; Ballarin, G.; Calcaterra, V.; Corica, D.; Faienza, M.F.; Franco, F.; Licenziati, M.R.; Maffeis, C.; et al. Severe Obesity Defined by Percentiles of WHO and Cardiometabolic Risk in Youth with Obesity. *Children* **2024**, *11*, 1345. https://doi.org/10.3390/ children11111345

Academic Editor: Erica A. Eugster

Received: 23 September 2024 Revised: 15 October 2024 Accepted: 31 October 2024 Published: 1 November 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). **Keywords:** body mass index z-score; cardiometabolic risk; severe obesity; international obesity task force; world health organization

1. Introduction

Severe obesity (OB) in adults is defined by a body mass index (BMI) value $\geq 40 \text{ kg/m}^2$ [1]. It has been associated with a high risk of developing type 2 diabetes, hypertension, congestive heart failure, and poor therapeutic success [2]. In such cases, bariatric surgery currently represents the main therapeutic option able to induce significant weight loss and mitigation of cardiometabolic comorbidities including type 2 diabetes [3].

The definition of severe OB in children and adolescents is still a matter of debate and depends on the BMI reference values used. In Canada and several European countries, including Italy, the World Health Organization (WHO) growth reference is used [4]. According to this system, the definition is based either on BMI Z-score > 3 standard deviations (which corresponds to 99.9th percentile) [5] or BMI \geq 99th percentile (as a rounded percentile of the 99.9th) [5,6]. On the contrary, the recent guideline for the treatment of obesity released by the American Academy of Pediatrics recommends using percentages of the 95th percentile of BMI for age and sex (BMI95th) to indicate different levels of severe OB [7]. In fact, the extreme values of BMI Z-score released by the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) are considered less reliable due to sparse reference data and compression > 97th percentile. Namely, the limit of BMI \geq 120% of the CDC 95th percentile (BMI 95_{th}) has been proposed to classify children and adolescents with severe OB [8,9]. In addition, the International Obesity Task Force (IOTF) system provides different age and sex BMI cut-offs to define severe OB, using smooth sex-specific BMI curves, constructed to match the values of 35 kg/m² at 18 years (IOTF₃₅) [10]. Since the cut-offs were developed on large data sets from six countries or regions covering different races/ethnicities, this system can be also used for international comparisons.

The discrepancy in the categorization of severe OB may have consequences either in public health or in clinical practice. For instance, by using different definitions the classification of severe OB in children is heterogeneous, as shown by a recent systematic review with meta-analysis [11]. Therefore, a unified cut-off would provide a better comparison at international level and understanding of the clinical implications. Of note, the indication of bariatric surgery in adolescents is based on percentages of BMI_{95th} (namely BMI \geq 140% of the 95th percentile, or BMI \geq 120% of the 95th percentile with a comorbidity), or on absolute values of 40 and 35 kg/m² [5], which does not have an equivalent in those countries using BMI Z-scores.

It is well known that cardiometabolic risk factors worsen with increasing severity of OB [12]. As far as we know, the empirical evidence for comparing the cardiometabolic risk across different BMI-derived metrics of the WHO tables, namely extended values of BMI over the 97th percentile and BMI Z-scores is lacking. Therefore, we compared individual and clustered cardiometabolic risk factors among different metrics of OB and severe OB, namely 97th percentile, 99th percentile, and BMI \geq 120% of the 97th percentile (120% BMI_{97th}) of the WHO tables in children and adolescents with OB. Furthermore, a comparison of the cardiometabolic variables between youths with BMI Z-score > 3, 120% BMI_{97th}, and IOTF₃₅ was performed.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design and Participants

We retrospectively analyzed the data of children and adolescents retrieved from the database of the CARITALY (CARdiometabolic risk factors in overweight and obese children in ITALY) study, a multicenter cross-sectional study on the behalf of the Obesity study group of the Italian Society for Pediatric Endocrinology and Diabetology [13]. Participants were observed between 2003–2020 in ten Italian centers for the management of pediatric

obesity distributed across different regions. The inclusion criteria were age 6–17 years, BMI > 97th percentile of the WHO tables, and having complete anthropometric, clinical, and biochemical variables in the data set. The exclusion criteria were secondary OB, type 1 or type 2 diabetes, LDL-C \geq 190 mg/dL, TGs \geq 400 mg/dL, any other chronic disease, or chronic use of drugs leading to metabolic disturbances (such as steroids).

2.2. Anthropometric Assessement

In each center, physical examinations were performed by trained pediatricians and pubertal maturation was evaluated according to Tanner staging. Anthropometric parameters were measured following standard procedures [13]. BMI was calculated as weight (kg)/height (m)²; BMI Z-scores were calculated using the WHO AnthroPlus software v.1.0.4 [14]. Waist circumference was measured at the midpoint between the last rib and the iliac crest at minimal respiration when the participant was in a standing position. The waist-to-height ratio (WHtR) was calculated by dividing waist circumference (cm) by height (cm).

2.3. Clinical and Laboratory Evaluations

Blood pressure (BP) was measured three times within two min in the sitting position after 5 min rest through an aneroid sphygmomanometer with an appropriately sized cuff, as elsewhere described [13].

Blood samples for glucose, insulin, triglycerides (TGs), cholesterol, and high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C) were drawn after an overnight fast. These biochemical parameters were analyzed in the centralized laboratory of each center, as elsewhere described [13].

All laboratories belonged to the Italian National Health System and were certified according to International Standards ISO 9000 [15] with semi-annual quality controls and inter-lab comparisons.

2.4. Definition of Obesity and Severe Obesity

Obesity was defined as BMI > 97th percentile (BMI_{97th}). Severe OB was defined according to the following BMI thresholds: 99th percentile (BMI_{99th}), \geq 120% BMI_{97th}, BMI Z-score > 3 of the WHO tables, or BMI > the IOTF value plotted on the sex-related curves crossing a BMI of 35 kg/m² at the age of 18 years (IOTF₃₅).

2.5. Definitions of Cardiometabolic Risk

The continuous metabolic risk score (cCMR) was expressed as Z-score and calculated by linear regression analysis. The cCMR was obtained using the sum of residual standardized for age and sex of WHtR, systolic BP, diastolic BP, TGs (Ln), and HDL-C x -1.

In addition, the cardiometabolic risk was analyzed as a categorical variable, based on a cluster of at least two factors among hypertension, high TGs, low HDL-C, and high WHtR. Hypertension was defined according to the criteria of European Society of Cardiology based on 95th percentile of systolic and/or diastolic BP for age, sex, and height [16]. High TGs was defined by a value above 100 mg/dL in children and 130 mg/dL in adolescents. Low HDL-C was defined by HDL-C levels < 40 mg/dL. High WHtR was defined by a value ≥ 0.65 [17].

2.6. Statistical Analyses

The normal distribution of the variables was assessed by using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Continuous variables with normal distribution were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation. Variables with skewed distribution were log transformed using natural log (Ln) for statistical analyses, but they were presented as median and interquartile range for a better understanding. The sample was stratified into three mutually exclusive groups according to the following BMI cut-offs: BMI_{97th}, BMI_{99th}, and 120%BMI_{97th}. Comparisons among continuous variables were assessed by ANOVA with the post hoc Bonferroni correction.

Qualitative variables were presented as number and percentage (%) and compared using the χ^2 test.

The diagnostic accuracy of either BMI Z-score > 3, 120% BMI_{97th}, or IOTF₃₅ to discriminate the presence of clustered cardiometabolic risk factors was assessed. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was used to evaluate accuracy (area under the curve), specificity, sensitivity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV), using 2×2 tables.

A *p* value < 0.05 was considered for statistical significance. Statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics software, Version 28.0 (Armonk, NY, USA IBM corporation).

3. Results

The sample was represented by 3727 youths (1937 boys and 1790 girls), divided into 2225 children (age < 12 years) and 1502 adolescents (age \geq 12 years). Mean age was 11.2 \pm 2.4 years (range 6–17 years).

The characteristics of the three mutually exclusive categories based on different BMIderived metrics are described in Table 1. Anthropometric, clinical, and biochemical variables progressively increased from the lowest to the highest BMI category, while HDL-C levels decreased (p < 0.0001 for all). No difference among groups was observed only for fasting glucose and total cholesterol.

Table 1. Anthropometric, clinical, and biochemical variables by different BMI-derived metrics among children and adolescents with obesity (BMI_{97th}) and severe obesity (BMI_{97th} or 120% BMI_{97th}).

BMI _{97th}	BMI99th	120%BMI _{97th}	<i>p</i> Value
337	757	2633	
124 (36.8)	339 (44.8)	1474 (56.0)	< 0.0001
42 (12.5)	188 (24.8)	828 (31.4)	< 0.0001
12.4 ± 2.4	11.4 ± 2.5	11.0 ± 2.4	< 0.0001
25.5 ± 2.7	26.5 ± 3.0	31.1 ± 4.6	< 0.0001
2.1 ± 0.1	2.6 ± 0.2	3.5 ± 0.6	< 0.0001
0.57 ± 0.06	0.59 ± 0.05	0.64 ± 0.06	< 0.0001
87.3 ± 7.8	86.5 ± 8.4	86.7 ± 9.1	0.594
13.4 (9.5–19.1)	13.0 (9.1–18.6)	16.4 (11.7–24.1)	< 0.0001
160.1 ± 33.7	156.5 ± 30.6	157.3 ± 30.0	0.492
50.7 ± 12.7	49.3 ± 11.1	47.1 ± 10.6	< 0.0001
75.0 (55.0–103.0)	73.0 (55.0–98.0)	83.0 (62.0-112.0)	< 0.0001
109.8 ± 13.7	109.6 ± 13.0	112.7 ± 14.1	< 0.0001
65.5 ± 10.0	65.2 ± 9.3	67.3 ± 10.0	<0.0001
	$\begin{array}{r} \textbf{BMI}_{97th} \\ 337 \\ 124 (36.8) \\ 42 (12.5) \\ 12.4 \pm 2.4 \\ 25.5 \pm 2.7 \\ 2.1 \pm 0.1 \\ 0.57 \pm 0.06 \\ 87.3 \pm 7.8 \\ 13.4 (9.5-19.1) \\ 160.1 \pm 33.7 \\ 50.7 \pm 12.7 \\ 75.0 (55.0-103.0) \\ 109.8 \pm 13.7 \\ 65.5 \pm 10.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c } \hline BMI_{97th} & BMI_{99th} \\\hline 337 & 757 \\\hline 124 (36.8) & 339 (44.8) \\42 (12.5) & 188 (24.8) \\12.4 \pm 2.4 & 11.4 \pm 2.5 \\25.5 \pm 2.7 & 26.5 \pm 3.0 \\2.1 \pm 0.1 & 2.6 \pm 0.2 \\0.57 \pm 0.06 & 0.59 \pm 0.05 \\87.3 \pm 7.8 & 86.5 \pm 8.4 \\13.4 (9.5-19.1) & 13.0 (9.1-18.6) \\160.1 \pm 33.7 & 156.5 \pm 30.6 \\50.7 \pm 12.7 & 49.3 \pm 11.1 \\75.0 (55.0-103.0) & 73.0 (55.0-98.0) \\109.8 \pm 13.7 & 109.6 \pm 13.0 \\65.5 \pm 10.0 & 65.2 \pm 9.3 \\\hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline BMI_{97th} & BMI_{99th} & 120\% BMI_{97th} \\ \hline 337 & 757 & 2633 \\ 124 (36.8) & 339 (44.8) & 1474 (56.0) \\ 42 (12.5) & 188 (24.8) & 828 (31.4) \\ 12.4 \pm 2.4 & 11.4 \pm 2.5 & 11.0 \pm 2.4 \\ 25.5 \pm 2.7 & 26.5 \pm 3.0 & 31.1 \pm 4.6 \\ 2.1 \pm 0.1 & 2.6 \pm 0.2 & 3.5 \pm 0.6 \\ 0.57 \pm 0.06 & 0.59 \pm 0.05 & 0.64 \pm 0.06 \\ 87.3 \pm 7.8 & 86.5 \pm 8.4 & 86.7 \pm 9.1 \\ 13.4 (9.5-19.1) & 13.0 (9.1-18.6) & 16.4 (11.7-24.1) \\ 160.1 \pm 33.7 & 156.5 \pm 30.6 & 157.3 \pm 30.0 \\ 50.7 \pm 12.7 & 49.3 \pm 11.1 & 47.1 \pm 10.6 \\ 75.0 (55.0-103.0) & 73.0 (55.0-98.0) & 83.0 (62.0-112.0) \\ 109.8 \pm 13.7 & 109.6 \pm 13.0 & 112.7 \pm 14.1 \\ 65.5 \pm 10.0 & 65.2 \pm 9.3 & 67.3 \pm 10.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$

Data are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation, median (IQ range), n (%). Abbreviations: BMI_{97th}, BMI > 97th percentile; BMI_{99th}, BMI > 99th percentile; 120% BMI_{97th}, BMI \geq 120% of the 97th percentile; BP, blood pressure; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; WHtR, waist-to-height ratio.

Mean values of cCMR Z-score stratified in the three BMI categories are shown in Figure 1 separately by age group (children, panel (a); adolescents, panel (b)). Differences between categories were significant for all groups (p < 0.0001), either in children or adolescents.

Comparisons among groups with severe OB classified by BMI Z-score > 3, 120% BMI_{97th}, or IOTF₃₅ are shown separately by age group in Table 2 (children) and Table 3 (adolescents). The prevalence of severe OB as defined by the cut-off of 120% BMI_{97th} was significantly higher than that observed by using BMI Z-score > 3 or IOTF₃₅, both in children (74.8% vs. 60.5% or 57.7%) and adolescents (64.4% vs. 37.7% or 39.7%), *p* < 0.01. No significant difference was found between BMI Z-score > 3 or IOTF₃₅ definitions.



Figure 1. Continuous cardiometabolic risk Z-score in children (**a**) and adolescents (**b**) by different BMI-derived metrics. Abbreviations: BMI_{97th} , BMI > 97th percentile; BMI_{99th} , BMI > 99th percentile; 120% BMI_{97th}, $BMI \ge 120\%$ of the 97th percentile.

Table 2. Comparison among children with severe obesity classified by 120% BMI_{97th} , BMI Z-score > 3, or IOTF₃₅.

	120% BMI _{97th}	BMI Z-Score > 3	IOTF ₃₅
n = 2225	1665 (74.8%)	1346 (60.5%)	1284 (57.7%)
Male gender, n (%)	882 (53.0) ^a	765 (56.8) ^b	600 (46.7)
Age (years)	9.5 ± 1.5 ^c	9.3 ± 1.5	9.3 ± 1.6
$BMI, kg/m^2$	29.1 ± 3.4 ^c	29.5 ± 3.6	29.6 ± 3.6
BMI Z-score	3.6 ± 0.6 c	3.8 ± 0.5	3.8 ± 0.6
WHtR	0.64 ± 0.06 c	0.65 ± 0.06	0.65 ± 0.06
Glucose, (mg/dL)	86.0 ± 8.6	85.8 ± 8.6	85.6 ± 8.7
Insulin, (µUI/mL)	14.7 (10.5–20.8)	14.9 (10.7–21.0)	15.0 (10.8–21.5)
Cholesterol, (mg/dL)	158.4 ± 29.2	158.2 ± 29.1	157.7 ± 29.3
HDL-C (mg/dL)	48.1 ± 10.7	47.9 ± 10.7	47.5 ± 10.7
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	81.0 (61.0–109.0)	82.0 (61.0-109.0)	82.0 (62.0–111.1)
Systolic BP (mmHg)	109.1 ± 13.1	108.9 ± 13.4	108.6 ± 13.4
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	65.4 ± 9.5	65.4 ± 9.6	65.4 ± 9.6
cCMR Z-score	0.49 ± 2.65 d	0.66 ± 2.70	0.76 ± 2.62

Data are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation, median (IQ range), n (%). Abbreviations: 120% BMI_{97th}, BMI \geq 120% of the 97th percentile; BP, blood pressure; cCMR, continuous cardiometabolic risk; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; IOTF, International Obesity Task Force; IOTF₃₅, IOTF value plotted on the sex-related curves crossing a BMI of 35 kg/m² at the age of 18 years; WHtR, waist-to-height ratio. ^a p < 0.01, 120% BMI_{97th} vs. IOTF₃₅; ^b p < 0.01, BMI Z-score > 3 vs. IOTF₃₅; ^c p < 0.01, 120% BMI_{97th} vs. BMI Z-score > 3 and IOTF₃₅; ^d p < 0.01, 120% BMI_{97th} vs. IOTF₃₅.

120% BMI _{97th}	BMI Z-Score > 3	IOTF ₃₅
968 (64.4%)	567 (37.7%)	597 (39.7%)
592 (61.2)	334 (58.9)	335 (56.1)
13.5 ± 1.4	13.6 ± 1.3	13.6 ± 1.3
34.5 ± 4.4 a	37.0 ± 3.9	36.8 ± 3.8
3.3 ± 0.53 a	3.6 ± 0.50	3.5 ± 0.50
0.65 ± 0.07 $^{\mathrm{a}}$	0.67 ± 0.07	0.67 ± 0.07
87.9 ± 9.8	87.5 ± 10.2	87.8 ± 10.1
19.7 (14.4–29.2)	21.4 (15.3–32.3)	21.4 (15.7–32.2)
154.9 ± 30.0	155.9 ± 31.2	155.1 ± 30.6
45.5 ± 10.1	44.7 ± 10.0	44.6 ± 9.7
87.0 (65.0–115.0)	88.0 (65.0–117.0)	87.0 (65.0–117.0)
118.9 ± 13.5	120.1 ± 13.8	120.3 ± 13.7
70.6 ± 10.2	71.4 ± 10.4	71.6 ± 10.5
$0.83\pm2.59~^{\mathrm{a}}$	1.47 ± 2.60	1.43 ± 2.59
	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{120\% BMI}_{97th} \\ & 968 (64.4\%) \\ & 592 (61.2) \\ & 13.5 \pm 1.4 \\ & 34.5 \pm 4.4 ^{a} \\ & 3.3 \pm 0.53 ^{a} \\ & 0.65 \pm 0.07 ^{a} \\ & 87.9 \pm 9.8 \\ & 19.7 (14.4\text{-}29.2) \\ & 154.9 \pm 30.0 \\ & 45.5 \pm 10.1 \\ & 87.0 (65.0\text{-}115.0) \\ & 118.9 \pm 13.5 \\ & 70.6 \pm 10.2 \\ & 0.83 \pm 2.59 ^{a} \end{array}$	120% BMI 968 (64.4%)BMI Z-Score > 3968 (64.4%)567 (37.7%)592 (61.2)334 (58.9)13.5 \pm 1.413.6 \pm 1.334.5 \pm 4.4 a37.0 \pm 3.93.3 \pm 0.53 a3.6 \pm 0.500.65 \pm 0.07 a0.67 \pm 0.0787.9 \pm 9.887.5 \pm 10.219.7 (14.4–29.2)21.4 (15.3–32.3)154.9 \pm 30.0155.9 \pm 31.245.5 \pm 10.144.7 \pm 10.087.0 (65.0–115.0)88.0 (65.0–117.0)118.9 \pm 13.5120.1 \pm 13.870.6 \pm 10.271.4 \pm 10.40.83 \pm 2.59 a1.47 \pm 2.60

Table 3. Comparison between adolescents with severe obesity classified by 120% BMI_{97th} , BMI Z-score > 3, or $IOTF_{35}$.

Data are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation, median (IQ range), n (%). Abbreviations: 120% BMI_{97th}, BMI \geq 120% of the 97th percentile; BP, blood pressure; cCMR, continuous cardiometabolic risk; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol; IOTF, International Obesity Task Force; IOTF₃₅, IOTF value plotted on the sex-related curves crossing a BMI of 35 kg/m² at the age of 18 years; WHtR, waist-to-height ratio. ^a p < 0.01, 120% BMI_{97th} vs. BMI Z-score > 3 and IOTF₃₅.

Children with severe OB defined by 120% BMI_{97th} showed lower values of BMI, BMI Z-score, and WHtR than those defined by BMI Z-score > 3 and IOTF₃₅, while they showed no difference with regard to individual cardiometabolic risk factors, except for a lower cCMR Z-score compared to IOTF₃₅ (p < 0.01) (Table 2). Similar findings were found in adolescents with severe OB defined by 120% BMI_{97th}, in whom cCMR Z-score was significantly higher than that found in the other two groups (Table 3).

Similarly, the prevalence of clustered cardiometabolic risk factors was lower in adolescents with severe OB classified by 120% BMI_{97th} compared to BMI Z-score > 3 or IOTF₃₅ (p < 0.001), while no substantial difference was found among the three classification methods in children (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Proportion of youths with a cluster of cardiometabolic risk factors by 120% BMI_{97th} (white bars), BMI Z-score > 3 (grey bars), and IOTF₃₅ (black bars) in children and adolescents. Abbreviations: 120% BMI_{97th}, BMI \geq 120% of the 97th percentile; IOTF₃₅, IOTF value plotted on the sex-related curves crossing a BMI of 35 kg/m² at the age of 18 years.

AUC, sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV of 120% BMI_{97th} , BMI Z-score > 3, or $IOTF_{35}$ defined categories of severe OB for predicting clustered cardiometabolic risk are synthetized in Table 4. The AUC values ranged between 0.60 and 0.65 in children, and 0.62 and 0.66 in adolescents. Compared to 120% BMI_{97th} , BMI Z-score > 3 and $IOTF_{35}$ had higher specificity and PPV, but lower sensitivity and NPV in identifying children and adolescents with clustered cardiometabolic risk factors.

Table 4. Performance of 120% BMI_{97th}, BMI Z-score > 3, and IOTF₃₅ in relation to a cluster of cardiometabolic risk factors.

	AUC	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV
Children					
120% BMI _{97th}	0.60 (0.58-0.63)	0.90 (0.89-0.92)	0.30 (0.29-0.32)	0.31 (0.29-0.32)	0.90 (0.89-0.92)
BMI Z-score > 3	0.63 (0.60-0.65)	0.80 (0.78-0.81)	0.46 (0.44-0.48)	0.33 (0.31-0.35)	0.87 (0.85-0.88)
IOTF ₃₅	0.65 (0.62–0.67)	0.79 (0.78–0.81)	0.50 (0.48-0.52)	0.35 (0.33-0.37)	0.88 (0.86-0.89)
Adolescents					
120% BMI _{97th}	0.62 (0.59-0.65)	0.81 (0.79-0.83)	0.43 (0.40-0.45)	0.37 (0.35-0.40)	0.85 (0.83-0.86)
BMI Z-score > 3	0.66 (0.63-0.69)	0.60 (0.57-0.62)	0.72 (0.69-0.74)	0.47 (0.44-0.49)	0.81 (0.79-0.83)
IOTF ₃₅	0.65 (0.62–0.68)	0.61 (0.58–0.63)	0.69 (0.67–0.71)	0.45 (0.43–0.48)	0.81 (0.79–0.83)

Abbreviations: 120% BMI_{97th}, BMI \geq 120% of the 97th percentile, IOTF₃₅, IOTF value plotted on the sex-related curves crossing a BMI of 35 kg/m² at the age of 18 years; AUC, area under curve; PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value.

4. Discussion

The present study provided the empirical evidence that cardiometabolic risk factors increased with rising degrees of OB, as defined by BMI-derived metrics from the WHO system; specifically, the threshold of 120% BMI_{97th} had a stronger association with clustered risk factors compared to BMI_{99th} in children and adolescents. Furthermore, compared to 120% BMI_{97th}, BMI Z-score > 3 was associated with worse adiposity measures both in children and adolescents, although neither definition was significantly associated with individual cardiometabolic risk factors. On the contrary, adolescents with severe OB defined by BMI Z-score > 3 had worse cCMR scores and higher prevalence of clustered cardiometabolic risk factors than those defined by BMI \geq 120% of 97th percentile. No difference was found between BMI Z-score > 3 and IOTF₃₅, another classification used for international comparisons.

Severe OB affects a large number of children in Europe [18]. Between 2007–2013, the prevalence of severe OB in 6- to 9-year-old school children from 21 countries of the WHO European Region ranged from 1.0% to 5.5% (WHO definition), with the highest levels (above 4%) in Southern Europe, including Italy.

As it emerged from a recent systematic review on the global prevalence of severe OB in childhood, several definitions have been used in children and adolescents [11]. In particular, BMI \geq 120% of the 95th percentile, as proposed by the CDC and endorsed by the American Academy of Pediatrics [7], and BMI \geq 35 kg/m² were the most frequently used. Other definitions relied upon the cut-off of age- and gender-specific 99th percentile from the CDC or WHO standards, BMI > 3 SDs from the WHO system, or cut-off values according to the IOTF system.

The drawbacks of using BMI Z-score for very high BMIs from the CDC system led some countries to adopt the 120% of the 95th percentile of BMI. On the contrary, the BMI Z-score is still in use in those countries that adhered to the WHO definition, since it does not suffer from the limitations associated with CDC [4]. In fact, although both CDC and WHO used the same database from the US National Center for Health Statistics [19], some manipulations were performed to build the WHO standards: measurements taken after 1973 were truncated to avoid the obesity epidemic and 3% of children with 'unhealthy' weights for heights were excluded. In addition, the SD23 restriction of the LMS (Lambda, Mu, and Sigma) method (i.e., the standard deviation at each age was fixed to the distance

between ± 2 SDs and ± 3 SDs) was applied for data beyond the limits of the observed values (i.e., between -3 SDs and 3 SDs) [4].

Previous cross-sectional studies reported that the prevalence of several cardiometabolic risk factors, such as dyslipidemia, hypertension, type 2 diabetes, and fatty liver disease was higher i8 individuals with severe OB compared to mild OB with any of the definitions used [12,20–22]. In agreement with previous studies, anthropometric, clinical, and individual cardiometabolic risk factors (except for fasting glucose and total cholesterol) progressively worsened from the lowest to the highest BMI category, as represented by 120% BMI_{97th}. In particular, the cCMR score was greatly higher both in children and adolescents with severe OB defined by 120% BMI_{97th} compared to BMI_{99th}. These results confirm a previous study conducted by our group in a different cohort of youths with OB, where the definition of severe OB slightly varied from the definition adopted in the present study (respectively, 120% BMI_{95th} instead of 120% BMI_{97th}) [23].

To make the situation more complicated, the WHO definition of severe OB can be alternatively based on BMI_{99th} or BMI_{99.9th} (equivalent to three standard deviations). Therefore, we also compared anthropometric, clinical, and individual cardiometabolic risk factors between 120% BMI_{97th} and BMI Z-score > 3. Although the prevalence of severe OB was significantly higher when the cut-off of 120% BMI_{97th} was compared to BMI Z-score > 3 both in children (69.7% vs. 56.4%) and adolescents (63.9% vs. 37.4%), both systems appeared to be interchangeable in children, without a particular superiority of one to another in stratifying individuals at cardiometabolic risk. Instead, in adolescents, worse cCMR scores and higher prevalence of clustered cardiometabolic risk factors were found in individuals with severe OB defined by BMI Z-score > 3 compared to 120% BMI_{97th}. Interestingly, no difference was found between BMI Z-score > 3 and IOTF₃₅ in the anthropometric, clinical, and biochemical variables, or in the cCMR Z-score.

The modest AUC values (0.60–0.66) obtained by ROC analysis indicate a relatively low discriminatory power for BMI Z-score > 3, 120% BMI_{97th}, and IOTF₃₅ in predicting cardiometabolic risk factors (AUCs ranging from 0.60 for 120% BMI_{97th} in children to 0.66 for BMI Z-score > 3 in adolescents) (Table 4). Remarkably, it was quite similar to that reported by Ball et al. in a large sample of children and adolescents enrolled in the Canadian Pediatric Weight Management Registry (AUC 0.62 for BMI Z-score and 0.64 for 120% BMI_{97th}) [24]. This finding confirms the limited utility of any BMI-derived metrics as proxy measures of cardiometabolic outcomes in youth with severe OB. However, even other anthropometric measurements of abdominal adiposity, such as waist circumference or WHtR, exhibited similar performance in discriminating youths with cardiometabolic risk factors [25]. In our sample, BMI Z-score > 3 and IOTF₃₅ had higher specificity and PPV, but lower sensitivity and PPN than 120% BMI_{97th} in identifying individuals with clustered cardiometabolic risk factors, especially in adolescents. Therefore, both WHO BMI Z-score > 3 and IOTF₃₅ cut-offs can be used as most stringent criteria for the definition of cardiometabolic outcome associated to severe OB.

Our study is not exempt from having some limitations. Youths with OB were recruited in pediatric obesity services; therefore, findings cannot be extended to the general population. However, it should be underlined that previous studies that analyzed nationally representative data also demonstrated that severe OB was associated with an increased prevalence of cardiometabolic risk factors compared to overweight and milder forms of OB [12]. Moreover, other factors that might influence cardiometabolic risk, such as genetics, perinatal and early life determinants, lifestyle habits, and fat distribution were not assessed in the present study. In addition, the investigation was limited to cardiometabolic risk factors, without considering the respiratory, musculoskeletal, or psychosocial complications associated with severe OB. Lastly, the cross-sectional design of the study does not allow to establish causality between BMI metrics and cardiometabolic outcomes.

5. Conclusions

Our results show that within the WHO system, the definition of severe OB based on 120% of 97th percentile is superior to BMI_{99th} but it is inferior to BMI Z score > 3 as far as the association between severe OB and cardiometabolic risk factors is concerned. The definition based on BMI Z-score > 3 has a discriminatory advantage over of the 120% BMI_{97th} for identifying severely obese children at increased cardiometabolic risk, particularly in adolescents. However, the higher sensitivity of the 120% BMI_{97th} definition in identifying a higher number of children and adolescents with severe OB at expense of lower specificity may provide advantages in terms of early cardiovascular prevention.

Pediatricians should take the into consideration the implication of the use of BMI_{99th} or BMI Z-score > 3 in those countries that recommend using the WHO growth reference. Within the limitation of using BMI, BMI Z-score > 3 can be used as a more stringent criterion for the prediction of cardiometabolic outcome associated to severe OB also when compared to $BMI \ge 120\%$ of the 97th percentile. WHO BMI Z-score > 3 and $IOTF_{35}$ can be used interchangeably to predict cardiometabolic risk.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, G.V. and P.D.B.; methodology, G.V., P.D.B. and G.B.; formal analysis, P.D.B.; investigation, A.D.S., V.C., D.C., M.F.F., F.F., M.R.L., C.M., G.M., E.M.d.G., A.M., E.M. and M.W.; data curation, G.V., P.D.B. and G.B.; writing—original draft preparation, G.V., P.D.B. and A.D.S.; writing—review and editing, G.V., P.D.B., A.D.S., G.B., V.C., D.C., M.F.F., F.F., M.R.L., C.M., G.M., E.M.d.G., A.M., E.M. and M.W.; supervision, G.V. and P.D.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli" (reference number 834/2016) for youths observed from 2003–2013 and by the Ethics Committee of the AORN Santobono-Pausilipon (reference number 22877/2020) for youths observed from 2016 to 2020.

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy concerns.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- Expert Panel Members; Jensen, M.D.; Ryan, D.H.; Donato, K.A.; Apovian, C.M.; Ard, J.D.; Comuzzie, A.G.; Hu, F.B.; Hubbard, V.S.; Jakicic, J.M.; et al. Executive Summary: Guidelines (2013) for the Management of Overweight and Obesity in Adults: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Obesity Society Published by the Obesity Society and American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Based on a Systematic Review from the The Obesity Expert Panel, 2013. *Obesity* 2014, 22 (Suppl. S2), S5–S39. [CrossRef]
- Alfaris, N.; Alqahtani, A.M.; Alamuddin, N.; Rigas, G. Global Impact of Obesity. *Gastroenterol. Clin. N. Am.* 2023, 52, 277–293. [CrossRef]
- 3. Elmaleh-Sachs, A.; Schwartz, J.L.; Bramante, C.T.; Nicklas, J.M.; Gudzune, K.A.; Jay, M. Obesity Management in Adults: A Review. JAMA 2023, 330, 2000–2015. [CrossRef]
- De Onis, M.; Onyango, A.W.; Borghi, E.; Siyam, A.; Nishida, C.; Siekmann, J. Development of a WHO Growth Reference for School-Aged Children and Adolescents. *Bull. World. Health Organ.* 2007, 85, 660–667. [CrossRef]
- Dietitians of Canada; Canadian Paediatric Society; College of Family Physicians of Canada; Community Health Nurses of Canada; Secker, D. Promoting Optimal Monitoring of Child Growth in Canada: Using the New WHO Growth Charts. *Can. J. Diet. Pract. Res.* 2010, *71*, e1–e3. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Valerio, G.; Maffeis, C.; Saggese, G.; Ambruzzi, M.A.; Balsamo, A.; Bellone, S.; Bergamini, M.; Bernasconi, S.; Bona, G.; Calcaterra, V.; et al. Diagnosis, Treatment and Prevention of Pediatric Obesity: Consensus Position Statement of the Italian Society for Pediatric Endocrinology and Diabetology and the Italian Society of Pediatrics. *Ital. J. Pediatr.* 2018, 44, 88. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hampl, S.E.; Hassink, S.G.; Skinner, A.C.; Armstrong, S.C.; Barlow, S.E.; Bolling, C.F.; Avila Edwards, K.C.; Eneli, I.; Hamre, R.; Joseph, M.M.; et al. Clinical Practice Guideline for the Evaluation and Treatment of Children and Adolescents with Obesity. *Pediatrics* 2023, 151, e2022060640. [CrossRef]

- Flegal, K.M.; Wei, R.; Ogden, C.L.; Freedman, D.S.; Johnson, C.L.; Curtin, L.R. Characterizing Extreme Values of Body Mass Index-for-Age by Using the 2000 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Growth Charts. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2009, *90*, 1314–1320. [CrossRef]
- 9. Dietz, W.H. Time to Adopt New Measures of Severe Obesity in Children and Adolescents. *Pediatrics* 2017, 140, e20172148. [CrossRef]
- 10. Cole, T.J.; Lobstein, T. Extended International (IOTF) Body Mass Index Cut-Offs for Thinness, Overweight and Obesity. *Pediatr. Obes.* **2012**, *7*, 284–294. [CrossRef]
- 11. Pinhas-Hamiel, O.; Hamiel, U.; Bendor, C.D.; Bardugo, A.; Twig, G.; Cukierman-Yaffe, T. The Global Spread of Severe Obesity in Toddlers, Children, and Adolescents: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Obes. Facts.* **2022**, *15*, 118–134. [CrossRef]
- 12. Skinner, A.C.; Perrin, E.M.; Moss, L.A.; Skelton, J.A. Cardiometabolic Risks and Severity of Obesity in Children and Young Adults. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2015**, *373*, 1307–1317. [CrossRef]
- Di Bonito, P.; Valerio, G.; Pacifico, L.; Chiesa, C.; Invitti, C.; Morandi, A.; Licenziati, M.R.; Manco, M.; Giudice, E.M.D.; Baroni, M.G.; et al. Impact of the 2017 Blood Pressure Guidelines by the American Academy of Pediatrics in Overweight/Obese Youth. *J. Hypertens.* 2019, *37*, 732–738. [CrossRef]
- 14. Available online: https://www.who.int/tools/growth-reference-data-for-5to19-years/application-tools (accessed on 15 October 2024).
- 15. Available online: https://www.iso9000.it/ (accessed on 15 October 2024).
- 16. De Simone, G.; Mancusi, C.; Hanssen, H.; Genovesi, S.; Lurbe, E.; Parati, G.; Sendzikaite, S.; Valerio, G.; Di Bonito, P.; Di Salvo, G.; et al. Hypertension in Children and Adolescents. *Eur. Heart J.* **2022**, *43*, 3290–3301. [CrossRef]
- 17. Saydah, S.; Bullard, K.M.; Imperatore, G.; Geiss, L.; Gregg, E.W. Cardiometabolic Risk Factors among US Adolescents and Young Adults and Risk of Early Mortality. *Pediatrics* **2013**, *131*, e679–e686. [CrossRef]
- 18. Spinelli, A.; Buoncristiano, M.; Kovacs, V.A.; Yngve, A.; Spiroski, I.; Obreja, G.; Starc, G.; Pérez, N.; Rito, A.I.; Kunešová, M.; et al. Prevalence of Severe Obesity among Primary School Children in 21 European Countries. *Obes. Facts.* **2019**, *12*. [CrossRef]
- Turck, D.; Michaelsen, K.F.; Shamir, R.; Braegger, C.; Campoy, C.; Colomb, V.; Decsi, T.; Domellöf, M.; Fewtrell, M.; Kolacek, S.; et al. World Health Organization 2006 Child Growth Standards and 2007 Growth Reference Charts: A Discussion Paper by the Committee on Nutrition of the European Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition. *J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr.* 2013, 57, 258–264. [CrossRef]
- Nur Zati Iwani, A.K.; Jalaludin, M.Y.; Roslan, F.A.; Mansor, F.; Md Zain, F.; Hong, J.Y.H.; Zin, R.M.W.M.; Yahya, A.; Ishak, Z.; Selamat, R.; et al. Cardiometabolic Risk Factors among Children Who Are Affected by Overweight, Obesity and Severe Obesity. *Front. Public Health* 2023, 11, 1097675. [CrossRef]
- 21. Bendor, C.D.; Bardugo, A.; Pinhas-Hamiel, O.; Afek, A.; Twig, G. Cardiovascular Morbidity, Diabetes and Cancer Risk among Children and Adolescents with Severe Obesity. *Cardiovasc. Diabetol.* **2020**, *19*, 79. [CrossRef]
- 22. Zabarsky, G.; Beek, C.; Hagman, E.; Pierpont, B.; Caprio, S.; Weiss, R. Impact of Severe Obesity on Cardiovascular Risk Factors in Youth. *J. Pediatr.* **2018**, *192*, 105–114. [CrossRef]
- 23. Valerio, G.; Maffeis, C.; Balsamo, A.; Del Giudice, E.M.; Brufani, C.; Grugni, G.; Licenziati, M.R.; Brambilla, P.; Manco, M. Childhood Obesity Group of the Italian Society of Pediatric Endocrinology and Diabetology Severe Obesity and Cardiometabolic Risk in Children: Comparison from Two International Classification Systems. *PLoS ONE* 2013, *8*, e83793. [CrossRef]
- Ball, G.D.C.; Sharma, A.K.; Moore, S.A.; Metzger, D.L.; Klein, D.; Morrison, K.M.; CANadian Pediatric Weight Management Registry (CANPWR) Investigators. Measuring Severe Obesity in Pediatrics Using Body Mass Index-Derived Metrics from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and World Health Organization: A Secondary Analysis of CANadian Pediatric Weight Management Registry (CANPWR) Data. *Eur. J. Pediatr.* 2023, 182, 3679–3690. [CrossRef]
- Zong, X.; Kelishadi, R.; Kim, H.S.; Schwandt, P.; Matsha, T.E.; Mill, J.G.; Whincup, P.H.; Pacifico, L.; López-Bermejo, A.; Caserta, C.A.; et al. Utility of Waist-to-Height Ratio, Waist Circumference and Body Mass Index in Predicting Clustered Cardiometabolic Risk Factors and Subclinical Vascular Phenotypes in Children and Adolescents: A Pooled Analysis of Individual Data from 14 Countries. *Diabetes. Metab. Syndr.* 2024, *18*, 103042. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.