### Check for updates

### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Varun Sasidharan Nair, Italian Institute of Technology (IIT), Italy

#### REVIEWED BY

Dhaneshwar Kumar, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIH), United States Ageliki Tsagaratou, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, United States Yusuke Endo, Kazusa DNA Research Institute, Japan

\*CORRESPONDENCE Wayne W. Hancock [whancock@pennmedicine.upenn.edu](mailto:whancock@pennmedicine.upenn.edu)

RECEIVED 06 June 2024 ACCEPTED 08 July 2024 PUBLISHED 02 August 2024

#### CITATION

Christensen LM, Akimova T, Wang L, Han R, Samanta A, Di Giorgio E and Hancock WW (2024) T-regulatory cells require Sin3a for stable expression of Foxp3. Front. Immunol. 15:1444937. [doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1444937](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1444937)

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Christensen, Akimova, Wang, Han, Samanta, Di Giorgio and Hancock. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [\(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# [T-regulatory cells require Sin3a](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1444937/full) [for stable expression of Foxp3](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1444937/full)

Lanette M. Christensen<sup>1</sup>, Tatiana Akimova<sup>1,2</sup>, Liqing Wang<sup>2</sup>, Rongxiang Han<sup>2</sup>, Arabinda Samanta<sup>1</sup>, Eros Di Giorgio<sup>3</sup> and Wayne W. Hancock $1.2*$ 

1 Division of Transplant Immunology, Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA, United States, 2Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, United States, <sup>3</sup>Department of Medicine, University of Udine, Udine, Italy

Histone deacetylases 1 and 2 play a major role in the transcriptional regulation of T-regulatory (Treg) cells via interactions with a myriad of coregulatory factors. Sin3a has been well established as a Hdac1/2 cofactor, while its role within Tregs has not been established. In this study, the effects of conditional deletion of Sin3a within Foxp3+ Tregs were evaluated. Developmental deletion of Sin3a from Foxp3+ Tregs resulted in the rapid onset of fatal autoimmunity. Treg numbers were greatly reduced, while residual Tregs had impaired suppressive function. Mice also showed effector T-cell activation, autoantibody production, and widespread tissue injury. Mechanistically, Sin3a deletion resulted in decreased transcription of Foxp3 with a complete lack of CNS2 CpG demethylation. In addition, Foxp3 protein stability was impaired with an increased ex-Treg population. Thus, Sin3a plays a critical role in the maintenance of Treg identity and function and is essential for the expression and stability of Foxp3.

#### **KEYWORDS**

T-regulatory cell, FOXP3+ Treg, SIN3A, transcription regulation, demethylation, autoimmunity

# Introduction

Fundamental to host immune homeostasis, T-regulatory (Treg) cells constrain immune responses and maintain self-tolerance ([1](#page-15-0)–[6\)](#page-15-0). Forkhead box P3 (Foxp3) is the master transcription factor (TF) of Tregs and controls their phenotype and suppressive function ([1](#page-15-0)–[6\)](#page-15-0). Loss of Foxp3 function results in severe, often lethal autoimmunity, as seen in Scurfy mice and clinically in patients with IPEX (immune dysregulation, polyendocrinopathy, enteropathy, X-linked) syndrome [\(5](#page-15-0)–[7](#page-15-0)). However, while Foxp3 is required, it is not sufficient to regulate the transcriptional profile of Tregs. Other TFs, such as Foxo, Runx1, Ets-1, and Stat5, plus cofactors contribute to the expression of Foxp3 and regulation of the Treg transcriptome ([8\)](#page-15-0), and TFs such as Blimp1, Irf4 [\(9,](#page-15-0) [10\)](#page-15-0), and Mef2d ([11](#page-15-0)) act synergistically with Foxp3 within effector Tregs (eTregs).

In addition to TFs and cofactors, nucleotide and chromatin epigenetic modifications contribute greatly to the expression of Foxp3 and the regulation of the Treg transcriptome. Nucleotide modifications regulate gene transcription through DNA methylation; hypo- or hypermethylated CpG sites of promoter/ enhancer regions result in gene expression or silencing, respectively ([12](#page-15-0)). CpG methylation takes place through DNA methyltransferase (Dnmt) activity, while CpG DNA demethylation occurs primarily through the actions of 10–11 translocation (Tet) methylcytosine dioxygenases [\(12\)](#page-15-0). The extent of CpG methylation is of major importance to Foxp3 expression and the development of the Treg lineage [\(13](#page-15-0)–[15\)](#page-15-0). Enhancers conserved noncoding sequences (CNS1 and CNS2) of Foxp3 become completely demethylated upon Treg lineage commitment, supporting Foxp3 expression and stability, and Tet-mediated epigenetic regulation is essential to the maintenance of Foxp3 expression and Treg phenotype [\(16](#page-15-0)–[19](#page-15-0)).

Chromatin modifications regulate gene transcription via the alteration of nucleosome-forming histones. Histone modifications include methylation, phosphorylation, ubiquitylation, and various forms of acylation, including acetylation and crotonylation. Typically, histone acetylation occurs on lysine residues of histones and relaxes the chromatin (euchromatin formation), thus allowing TF and polymerase access to the DNA. Histone acetyltransferase (HAT) and histone deacetylase (HDAC) enzymes are responsible for acetyl addition or removal, respectively. Various HATs, including Cbp, p300, Tip60, and Pcaf, contribute to transcriptional regulation within Tregs, including that of Foxp3 ([20](#page-15-0)–[24\)](#page-15-0). Likewise, many HDACs are active within Tregs, with most having a direct effect on Foxp3, though with varying functional outcomes [\(25](#page-15-0)). Pharmacologic inhibition of HDAC activity can promote or impair Treg function, depending upon the HDAC target(s)  $(26, 27)$  $(26, 27)$  $(26, 27)$  $(26, 27)$ . HDACs commonly function as members of large multiprotein complexes. HDAC1 and HDAC2 interact with and function within multiple coregulatory complexes, including CoREST, NuRD, and Sin3a. Mass-spec analysis has shown these coregulatory complexes are present within Tregs and are thereby expected to influence their production and/or function [\(25](#page-15-0)). However, the extent to which the Sin3a complex contributes to the regulation of Foxp3 and/or the Treg phenotype has been unknown.

Originally noted as a transcriptional corepressor [\(28,](#page-15-0) [29\)](#page-15-0), Sin3a is now considered a transcriptional coregulator [\(27,](#page-15-0) [30,](#page-15-0) [31](#page-15-0)). The canonical Sin3 coregulatory complex is composed of dimerized switch-independent protein 3a or b (Sin3a/b) scaffolding proteins, along with suppressor of defective silencing 3 (SDS3), sin3aassociated protein p30 (SAP30), FAM60 ([32](#page-15-0)), RBBP4/7, and with enzymatically active components HDAC1/2 [\(33\)](#page-15-0). Sin3a regulates gene transcription by modification of histone acylation specifically via lysine deacetylation ([34](#page-15-0)) and/or decrotonylation ([35](#page-16-0), [36](#page-16-0)). Sin3a is highly conserved throughout mammalian species [\(37\)](#page-16-0) and is required for mammalian embryogenesis ([38](#page-16-0)–[40\)](#page-16-0), progenitor cell differentiation [\(41\)](#page-16-0), T-cell development ([39\)](#page-16-0), and transcriptional responses to hypoxia ([42\)](#page-16-0). The use of Sin3-specific peptide inhibitors, in addition to avermectin, impairs tumor growth in models of triple-negative breast cancer ([43](#page-16-0)), demonstrating that the Sin3 complex may be a useful target for therapeutic development.

In this study, we evaluated the role of the scaffolding protein, Sin3a, in Foxp3+ Tregs. We found that Sin3a regulates the transcription of a large and diverse set of genes, including those specific to Tregs. Importantly, Sin3a was found to support the expression and stability of Foxp3, along with the Treg phenotype and suppressive function. Hence, Sin3a plays a vital role within Tregs and could potentially be targeted in certain contexts, such as decreasing Treg function to thereby boost host immune responses in cancer ([44\)](#page-16-0).

# Methods

### Mice and cell lines

We purchased Ai9(RCL-tdT) (stock No. 007909) and tamoxifen (TAM)-inducible Foxp3cre (stock No. 016961) mice from The Jackson Laboratory, in addition to previously described Foxp3YFP-<sup>cre</sup> ([45](#page-16-0)), CD4<sup>cre</sup> ([46](#page-16-0)), and Sin3a<sup>flox/flox</sup> ([47](#page-16-0)) mice. Sin3a<sup>-/</sup> − Foxp3YFPcre mice and Foxp3YFPcre controls were used 12–15 days after birth due to the early onset of lethal autoimmunity in mice with conditional deletion of Sin3a in their Tregs, whereas inducible knockout (KO) mice were used at 6–8 weeks. Mice were housed and handled in accordance with the IACUC (protocol No. IAC-22– 000561) and The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Research Institute policy. The 293T cell line used in this study was obtained from the ATCC repository (CRL-3216).

### Histology

Sections of formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissues were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and analyzed in a blinded manner by a pathologist.

### Detection of autoantibodies

Cryosections  $(4-5 \mu M)$  of unfixed normal tissues were incubated for 1 h at room temperature (RT) with  $Sin3a^{-1}$ − Foxp3YFPcre sera, washed, incubated for 30 min at RT with FITC-labeled goat antimouse secondary Ab, washed, and mounted. Precharacterized sera from mice with known autoantibodies were used as positive controls, while pooled normal sera and secondary Abs alone were used as negative controls.

### Cell dissociation and cryopreservation

Tissues collected from mice were homogenized using a pestle over 0.4 µm filter fabric, dissociated cells collected in cold PBS, erythrocytes lysed using ddH<sub>2</sub>O, and single-cell suspensions used in same-day experiments or cryopreserved ([48](#page-16-0)). Throughout, mammalian cells were centrifuged at 300×g for 10 min at 4°C, unless otherwise specified. Cell numbers and viability were determined using a Nexcelom Cellometer Auto 2000 cytometer and slides with AOPI viability dye (Nexcelom Bioscience, Lawrence, MA, USA). For cell enumeration and flow cytometry analysis, eight subcutaneous lymph nodes and four mesenteric lymph nodes (LN), the spleen, and the thymus were collected and processed from each mouse. For experiments that utilized bead-isolated or sorted Tregs, the spleen and around 15 LN (secondary lymphoid organs [SLOs]) from each mouse were dissociated, and when necessary, as for Sin3a<sup>-/−</sup>Foxp3<sup>cre</sup> mice, were pooled for processing.

### Flow cytometry

Single-cell suspensions from subcutaneous and mesenteric LN, spleens, and thymi were prepared as described above. Single-cell suspensions of fresh or reconstituted cryopreserved cells were stained with fluorophore-conjugated mAbs listed in [Supplementary Table S1](#page-15-0). Cells were stained with fixable viability dye for 15 min at RT, stained for cell-surface antigens for 45 min at 4°C, and, as necessary, fixed and permeabilized (BD, Pharmingen Transcription Factor Buffer Set, BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol prior to staining cytosolic and/or intranuclear targets for 1 h at 4°C. When staining for chemokine or cytokine production, cells were incubated with phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA), ionomycin, and brefeldin for 3 h prior to fixation. For annexin V staining, cells were incubated in annexin-binding buffer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol and stained with annexin V Ab ([Supplementary Table S1](#page-15-0)). For fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) staining, cells were centrifuged at 1,300 rpm for 5 min and kept in the dark (or limited light) as described in the current FACS staining guidelines ([49](#page-16-0)). Data from stained cells were acquired on a Cytoflex (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA) flow cytometer and analyzed using FlowJo v10 software.

### Fate mapping

Foxp3YFPcre mice were crossed with Ai9(RCL-tdT) (JAX stock No. 007909), and progeny were crossed with Sin3a<sup>flox/flox</sup>. Dissociated cells from thymi and peripheral lymphoid organs were tested for tdT+YFP+ Tregs and tdT+YFP− exTregs using flow cytometry ([Supplementary Figure S17A](#page-15-0)). Ai9 and Foxp3<sup>YFPcre</sup>Ai9 mice were used as controls for comparison with Sin3a<sup>flox/flox</sup>Foxp3<sup>YFPcre</sup>R26tdT KO mice.

### Treg suppression assay

Sin3a<sup>-/-</sup>CD4<sup>cre</sup>, CD4<sup>cre</sup> Tregs, and CD4+CD25+ cells were isolated from SLOs using the CD4+CD25+ Treg Isolation Kit (Miltenyi Biotec, Gaithersburg, MD, USA). When using Sin3a−/ − Foxp3YFPcre or TAM\_Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> Foxp3YFPcre with corresponding controls, Tregs were sorted on Foxp3<sup>YFP+</sup>CD25<sup>PE+</sup> (BD FACSJazz sorter) from CD4+CD25+ bead-isolated cells. Foxp3 purity of

isolated Tregs, determined by FACS, was confirmed to be  $\geq 92\%$ for bead-isolated cells and  $\geq$  97% for sorted cells, while not exceeding 1% difference between comparative groups. SLO responders, labeled with carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester (CFSE) ([50](#page-16-0)), were seeded in 96-well round bottom plates ( $1 \times 10^5$ ) cells/well) with serially diluted Tregs in standard T-cell media (RPMI-1640 with 10% FBS, L-glucosamine, and penicillin/ streptomycin) containing sCD3mAb (1 µg/ml). Cells were coincubated at  $37^{\circ}$ C and  $5\%$  CO<sub>2</sub> for 72 h, and proliferation was determined by flow analysis of CFSE division peaks. Prior to flow cytometry, cells were stained with fixable viability dye (Ghost 510) and then CD4 and CD8a mAbs ([Supplementary Table S1](#page-15-0)). Area under curve (AUC) was calculated ([48\)](#page-16-0) using percent CFSE+ division of each Treg-to-responder cell ratio (1:1, 1:2, 1:4, 1:8, 1:16, and 0:1) per replicate. AUCs were expressed as ratios to CTRs, and ratios from individual experiments were averaged and tested for significance (one-sample t-test with a theoretical mean of 1).

### iTreg conversion assay

CD4+CD25− cells were isolated with a CD4+CD25+ Treg Isolation Kit (Miltenyi Biotec) from Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> CD4cre, Sin3a<sup>−</sup>/ − Foxp3YFPcre, or TAM\_Sin3a<sup>−</sup>/<sup>−</sup> Foxp3YFPcre with corresponding Cre controls. Percent Foxp3 of isolated CD4+CD25− cells, determined by FACS, was confirmed to not exceed 1% difference between comparative groups prior to treatment. CD4+CD25− cells were seeded in round-bottom 96-well plates  $(1 \times 10^5 \text{ cells/well})$ containing interleukin (IL)-2 (25 U/ml), tumor growth factor beta (TGF- $\beta$ ; 3 ng/ml), and CD3/CD28 beads at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for 4 days ([51](#page-16-0)). The percentage of Foxp3+ cells was determined by flow cytometry. Cells were stained using fixable viability dye (Ghost 510), followed by CD45 and CD4 mAbs, fixed, permeabilized, and stained for Foxp3. Cells from Foxp3<sup>YFPcre</sup> mice were not fixed or permeabilized for Foxp3 staining, as they have YFP-tagged Foxp3. Results were recorded on a Cytoflex flow cytometer and analyzed with FlowJo 10.1 software.

### DNA methylation detection

Cells dissociated from SLOs of Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> Foxp3YFPcre and Foxp3YFPcre controls were CD4+ and CD25+ enriched using magnetic bead isolation, then  $Foxp3<sup>YFP</sup> + CD25<sup>PE</sup> + Tregs$  were collected by FACS sorting. DNA was isolated from Foxp3+CD25 + Tregs using the Qiagen DNA Kit as per the manufacturer's protocol. Bisulfite conversion and desulfination of DNA (~ 300 ng/ sample) was done using the Methyl Detection Kit by Active Motif. The CNS2 promoter region (aka TSDR) of foxp3 was amplified using nested primer sets (outer set: "Foxp3\_TSDR outer F" and "Foxp3\_TSDR outer R" and inner set: "Foxp3\_TSDR inner F" and "Foxp3\_TSDR inner R") detailed in [Supplementary Table S2](#page-15-0) and described previously ([52](#page-16-0)). The CNS2 PCR product was verified by and purified from agarose gel (1% agarose,  $1 \times$  Trisacetate-EDTA (TAE), 1 k, and 100 bp ladder, stained with Ethidium

Christensen et al. [10.3389/fimmu.2024.1444937](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1444937)

Bromide (EtBr)), using the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit as per the manufacturer's protocol. The converted CNS2 region was inserted into a TOPO2.1 TA vector using T4 DNA Ligase (Invitrogen) and transformed into TOP10 chemically competent Escherichia coli (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Transformed E. coli were selected from LB agar plates containing ampicillin (100  $\mu$ g/ml) and galactose (40  $\mu$ m/ml). Plasmids were isolated (Invitrogen PureLink Quick Plasmid Miniprep Kit, following the manufacturer's protocol) from overnight cultures, and the presence of CNS2 inserts was confirmed via restriction enzyme digestion with EcoRI (NEB) followed by gel electrophoresis (1% agarose gel, 1× TAE, 1 k, and 100 bp ladder, stained with EtBr). The plasmids (meeting quality control measures of OD260/280  $\geq$ 1.8 and OD260/230  $\geq$  2.0) were then sequenced (Sanger) using both forward (M13 Forward −21) and reverse (M13 Reverse −26) priming per sample [\(Supplementary Table S2\)](#page-15-0) by the DNA Sequencing Facility at the University of Pennsylvania. Sequencing results were analyzed using methylKit packages in RStudio. Throughout these procedures, DNA concentration and quality were measured with a Nanodrop 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), and as necessary, Zymo Research DNA Clean and Concentrator Kit was utilized according to the manufacturer's protocol.

### Coimmunoprecipitation

293T cells were cotransfected with Sin3a and either Foxp3, Hdac1, or Hdac2 plasmids using Lipofectamin 3000, according to manufacturer's protocol. After 48 h, cells were washed with cold PBS and lysed on ice with lysis buffer containing 20 mM Tris-Cl at pH 7.5, 1% Triton-X100, 150 mM NaCl supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktails in addition to 1 mM DTT, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM PMSF (just before lysates preparation). Cells were sonicated for 5 min in an ice bath (50% efficiency), rotated at 4°C for 30 min, and centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 12 min. Proteins were estimated from clear supernatants using BCA reagents, using BSA as a standard. Equal amounts of protein lysates were precleaned with 2 µg of nIgG/tube (mouse or rabbit, depending on the IP antibody) for 1 h. Prewashed 30 µl protein A/G magnetic beads were added to each tube and rotated for 30 min at 4° C. Using a magnetic separator, precleaned lysates were collected, and equal amounts of lysates containing  $\sim$  300 µg total protein immunoprecipitated with 5 µg each of nIgG, Sin3a, Hdac1, Hdac2, or other antibodies for 2 h with rotation at 4°C. Next, 30 µl prewashed magnetic beads were added to each tube and rotated for 1 h at 4°C. The IP beads were separated in the magnetic separator and washed four times with 500 µl of lysis buffer each time. Finally, IP beads were heated at 100°C in 1× sample lysis buffer for 10 min. Immunoprecipitated proteins were separated by 4%–15% SDS-PAGE. Western blots were developed with primary antibody for 2 h, washed four to five times, and then light chain-specific second antibody-conjugated horseradish peroxidase (HRP) was used for development with ECL reagents. In some experiments, antirabbit secondary antibody-HRP conjugates were also used.

## RT-qPCR and RNAseq

RNA was isolated from bead-isolated CD4+CD25+ cells of the  $CD4<sup>cre</sup>$  background or from sorted  $CD25<sup>PE</sup> + Foxp3<sup>YFP</sup> + Tregs$  of the Foxp3YFPcre background using TRIZOL reagent (Ambion, Waltham, MA, United States) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Sin3a<sup>-/</sup> − Foxp3YFPcre and Foxp3YFPcre control Foxp3YFP+CD25PE+ Tregs were sorted (FACS) following CD4+CD25+ enrichment by magnetic bead isolation (Miltenyi). For qPCR, mRNA was converted to cDNA using Reverse Transcriptase (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA, USA) with a BioRad C1000 thermocycler. TaqMan Gene Expression Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) was used with TaqMan primer sets listed in [Supplementary Table S2](#page-15-0) as per manufacturer recommendations. qPCR target amplification was detected using a StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System by Applied Biosystems. Genes commonly used as experimental controls for transcription, such as HPRT and GAPDH, were differentially expressed in Sin3a<sup>-/-</sup> Tregs ([Supplementary Figure](#page-15-0) [S16B\)](#page-15-0); therefore, 18S was utilized. Fold change was determined using the formula  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ , where data were normalized to endogenous 18S and control target expression. Concentrations and quality of mRNA and cDNA were determined using a ThermoScientific Nanodrop 2000, and when necessary, RNA was cleaned and/or concentrated using the Zymo Research RNA Clean and Concentrator Kit according to the manufacturer's protocol.

For RNAseq analysis, RNA was isolated from CD25+FoxP3+ Tregs that were sorted from CD4+CD25+ magnetic bead-enriched cells isolated from Sin3a<sup>-/−</sup>Foxp3<sup>YFPcre</sup> or Foxp3<sup>YFPcre</sup> controls. RNA samples met quality control measures of OD260/280  $\geq$  2.0, OD260/230  $\geq$  2.0, and integrity values  $\geq$  8.0 with a flat baseline (Agilent 5400). mRNA library preparation and sequencing (NovaSeq6000 PE150) were performed by Novogene Corporation Inc., Davis, CA, USA and data were deposited at the NCBI GEO site (accession No. GSE263830). Reads were mapped to the Mus musculus GRCm38/mm10 reference genome using Hisat2 v2.0.5. Read numbers mapped to each gene were quantified using featureCounts v1.5.0-p3, and FPKM was calculated. Differential expression analysis was performed using the DESeq2 R package 1.20.0, and the resulting  $p$ -values were adjusted using Benjamini and Hochberg's approach for controlling the false-discovery rate. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were considered significant when the p-adjusted (padj) value was  $\leq 0.05$  and the log<sub>2</sub> fold change was  $≥ 1$  or  $≤ -1$ . Data analysis, enrichment, and visualization were performed using Microsoft Excel, SnapGene, RStudio [\(53\)](#page-16-0), GSEA\_4.3.0 ([54](#page-16-0)), and/or Cytoscape [\(55](#page-16-0)).

### **Statistics**

Statistical analysis and figure creation were done with Excel, RStudio, and/or GraphPad Prism software, unless otherwise stated. Experiments were performed with a minimum of three biological replicates in technical triplicates, unless otherwise specified. Parametric tests were applied if data were normally distributed, and nonparametric tests if not. Data are shown as the mean SEM. A <span id="page-4-0"></span>two-tailed p-value of 0.05 or less was considered to be significant: \*p  $\leq 0.05$ ; \*\* $p \leq 0.01$ ; \*\*\* $p \leq 0.001$ ; and \*\*\*\* $p \leq 0.0001$ .

# Results

### Conditional deletion of Sin3a in Foxp3+ Treg results in rapid development of lethal autoimmunity

To study the role of Sin3a in Treg cells,  $Sin3a^{fl/f}$  mice were crossed with Foxp3<sup>YFPcre</sup>. Mice with homozygous deletion of Sin3a in Foxp3+ Tregs developed severe autoimmunity and died within 15–22 days of birth. These mice were smaller than normal littermates (Figure 1A), with ridged, dry skin and mucous membranes, and underdeveloped ears. Sin3a<sup>-/−</sup>Foxp3<sup>YFPcre</sup> mice had markedly enlarged subcutaneous LNs (sLNs) and smaller spleens than littermate controls, while mesenteric LN (mLNs) were of normal size (Figure 1B); these differences were reflected in the number of cells recovered from dissociated organs (Figure 1C). Sin3a deletion within Tregs led to abundant tissue damage (Figure 1D; [Supplementary Figure S1A\)](#page-15-0). Histopathology of the lungs and livers showed loss of tissue structure, fibrosis, and edema, with immune cell infiltrates. Spleens displayed fibrosis and immune infiltrates, and skin showed tissue thickening, fibrosis, and immune infiltrates. Mice lacking Sin3a in Tregs produced abundant organ-specific autoantibodies including antikeratin Abs (AKA), antiparietal cells Abs (APCA), and antiendomysial Abs (EMA) (Figure 1E), and had heavy proteinuria ([Supplementary Figure S1B\)](#page-15-0). Together, these data showing extensive tissue injury and rapid death indicate

that Sin3a perform a vital role in Treg cells, with consequences for prevention of autoimmunity.

### Mice with conditional Sin3a deletion in Foxp3+ cells have fewer Tregs and more effector T cells

Flow cytometric evaluation of T-cell populations in lymphoid organs shows that, compared to WT controls, Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> mice had more CD8+ T cells in their spleens ([Figure 2A](#page-5-0)), more CD4+ T cells in their thymi [\(Figure 2B](#page-5-0)), and a marked reduction of Foxp3+ Tregs in SLOs ([Figure 2C](#page-5-0)). Relations between control and Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> T-cell numbers differed from FACS percentages in some cases, e.g., subcutaneous LN from  $Sin3a^{-/-}$  mice were much larger (Figure 1B) and had more cells (Figure 1C) than controls. Within the massively enlarged sLN of Sin3a<sup>-/-</sup> mice, the actual number of Tregs did not differ from controls ([Figure 2F](#page-5-0)), while the numbers of CD8+ [\(Figure 2D\)](#page-5-0) and CD4+ ([Figure 2E](#page-5-0)) T cells increased greatly. FoxP3+ Tregs were nearly depleted in the spleens and mLN of Sin3a<sup> $-/-$ </sup> mice [\(Figure 2F](#page-5-0)), and CD4+ T cells were also reduced to near depletion in mLN [\(Figure 2E](#page-5-0)). In addition, within spleens and sLN, the ratios of CD4+ T cells to Tregs were much higher in Sin3a<sup> $-/-$ </sup> mice [\(Figure 2G](#page-5-0)), illustrating a cellular imbalance toward an effector phenotype. T cells from the SLOs of Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> mice were further examined using phenotypic markers for memory, naïve, and effector subpopulations (CD44 and CD62L). In the spleens and sLNs, but not mLNs, of Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> mice, the CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell populations were composed of more effector (CD62Llo) and fewer naïve (CD44+CD62L++) cells as compared to controls ([Supplementary Figures S2C](#page-15-0)–E). This phenotypic skewing of CD4



#### FIGURE 1

Gross pathology (Α) of 12-day-old Sin3a<sup>–/−</sup>Foxp3<sup>YFPcre</sup> (Sin3a<sup>–/−</sup>) and Foxp3<sup>YFPcre</sup> (CTR) mice and secondary lymphoid organs (Β). Number of viable cells in single-cell suspensions of dissociated organs from either Sin3a<sup>-/−</sup> or CTR mice with four to six biological replicates per group, consisting of two to three males and females (C). Histopathology (×100) of formalin-fixed H&E-stained tissues from Sin3a<sup>-/−</sup> mice (D) with CTR tissues included in [Supplementary Figure S1A.](#page-15-0) Indirect immunofluorescent screening for autoantibodies in sera samples from Sin3a<sup>−/−</sup> and CTR mice (E). Autoantibodies: antikeratin Abs (AKA); antiparietal Abs (APCA); and antiendomysial Abs (EMA). Tissue section scale bars represent 100 µ. Symbols representing statistical significance are as follows: \*p ≤ 0.05; \*\*p ≤ 0.01; \*\*\*p ≤ 0.001; and \*\*\*\*p ≤ 0.0001.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

[Supplementary Figure S3A.](#page-15-0) Cell numbers of CD8+CD4− (D), CD4+CD8−Foxp3− (E), and Foxp3+ Tregs (F) calculated using FACS population percentages of cell numbers from corresponding dissociated organs ([Figure 1C\)](#page-4-0). The ratios of CD4+CD8−FoxP3− T cell (Th) to Foxp3+ Treg percentages in secondary lymphoid organs determined by flow cytometry (G). Foxp3 MFI detected by flow cytometry with representative histograms of Sin3a<sup>-/-</sup> (green) and Foxp3<sup>YFPcre</sup> control (purple) from sLNs (H). Representative FCS plots of CD25 and FoxP3 within viable CD45+CD4+ splenocytes with population percentages graphed on the right (I). Western blot analysis of protein isolated from CD25+Foxp3+ FACS-sorted Tregs from pooled SLOs (J). mRNA expression of Sin3a<sup>-/-</sup> CD4+CD25+ bead-isolated cells from pooled SLOs was determined by RT-qPCR and normalized against 18S and control target expression (K). FACS cell population analysis was performed using four to six biological replicates per group, consisting of two to three males and females. Symbols representing statistical significance are as follows: \*p ≤ 0.05; \*\*p ≤ 0.01; \*\*\*p ≤ 0.001; and \*\*\*\*p ≤ 0.0001.

+ and CD8+ T cells from naïve toward effector in SLOs indicated a shift toward an activated effector cell environment.

### Conditional deletion of Sin3a in CD4+ T cells

The very low Treg numbers seen in Sin3a<sup>-/−</sup>Foxp3<sup>cre</sup> mice markedly constrained ex vivo studies. We therefore deleted Sin3a in CD4+ cells, seeking to generate mice in which we could isolate Sin3a-deficient Tregs in sufficient numbers for further studies. The reasoning behind this was that Sin3a deletion from both suppressive and effector CD4+ T lineages would rescue the severe early-onset autoimmunity and fatality of Sin3a<sup>-/−</sup>FoxP3<sup>cre</sup> deletion. Indeed, Sin3a<sup>-/−</sup>CD4<sup>cre</sup> mice did not develop fatal autoimmunity. Rather, both CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell populations were drastically decreased, by percentage and cell numbers, in the SLOs of Sin3a−/ − CD4cre mice ([Supplementary Figures S4A,](#page-15-0) G). Within SLOs, proportions of Foxp3+ cells were markedly increased compared to CD4<sup>cre</sup> controls [\(Supplementary Figure S4A\)](#page-15-0). While incongruent with the percentages of FoxP3+ cells within mice with conditional Sin3a deletion from Foxp3+ Tregs, these results are consistent with a recently published analysis of Sin3a<sup>-/−</sup>CD4<sup>cre</sup> mice ([56\)](#page-16-0). However, when considering cell numbers within these organs, Foxp3+ Tregs were actually reduced in the spleens and sLNs ([Supplementary](#page-15-0) [Figure S4G](#page-15-0)). Tregs and CD4+ cells had reduced naïve and elevated effector subpopulations in the spleens, subcutaneous and mesenteric LNs [\(Supplementary Figure S4D\)](#page-15-0). Naïve CD8+ T cells were also reduced in the SLOs ([Supplementary Figure S4D\)](#page-15-0). Memory CD4+ and CD8+ T cells were drastically increased in the SLOs, while the Treg memory population increased only in the sLNs ([Supplementary Figure S4D\)](#page-15-0). Hence, as in the Foxp3<sup>cre</sup> background, Sin3a<sup>-/−</sup>CD4<sup>cre</sup> mice displayed a cellular imbalance toward an activated immune environment.

### Inducible Sin3a deletion in existing Foxp3+ Treg cells

In further efforts to obtain larger numbers of ex vivo Foxp3+ Tregs lacking Sin3a, we utilized a tamoxifen-inducible Sin3a−/ <sup>-</sup>Foxp3<sup>cre</sup> (Sin3a<sup>-/-</sup>TAM) model. This allowed mice to develop

<span id="page-6-0"></span>normally prior to Sin3a deletion from Foxp3+ Tregs. Following tamoxifen treatment [\(Supplementary Figure S5](#page-15-0)), mice did not succumb to fatal autoimmunity, but like Sin3a<sup>-/−</sup>Foxp3<sup>cre</sup> mice, they had massive sLNs ([Supplementary Figure S6A](#page-15-0)) with pronounced follicles and germinal centers and developed PMN infiltrates and airway cuffing in the lungs [\(Supplementary Figure](#page-15-0) [S6B\)](#page-15-0). T-cell populations were assessed by FACS and had no significant differences in percentages of CD8+, CD4+, or Foxp3+ T cells ([Supplementary Figure S7A](#page-15-0)). However, there was a significant downward shift in Foxp3 fluorescent intensity in Sin3a<sup>-/-</sup>TAM Tregs [\(Supplementary Figure S7A\)](#page-15-0), like those from CD4<sup>cre</sup> [\(Supplementary Figure S4\)](#page-15-0) and Foxp3<sup>cre</sup> ([Figure 2H\)](#page-5-0) backgrounds. T-cell subpopulations were also similar to those of the other two genetic backgrounds studied, whereby CD4+ cells and Foxp3+ Tregs had increased effector and decreased naïve types, especially in sLNs [\(Supplementary Figure S7E](#page-15-0)).

While there were differences in the percentages of Foxp3+ Tregs among  $\sin 3a^{-/-}$  mice of Foxp3<sup>cre</sup>, TAM-induced Foxp3<sup>cre</sup>, and CD4<sup>cre</sup> backgrounds, in each case Foxp3 was reduced compared to controls. The CD25/Foxp3 FACS profiles illustrate the depletion of Foxp3 and the shift from CD25+Foxp3+ to CD25+Foxp3− in Sin3a<sup>-/−</sup>Foxp3<sup>cre</sup> mice ([Figure 2H\)](#page-5-0). The great loss of Foxp3 protein within Sin3a<sup>-/-</sup> Tregs as determined by fluorescent intensity was substantiated by Western blot ([Figure 2J](#page-5-0)). In addition, a massive reduction in transcriptional expression of Foxp3 was detected by RT-qPCR with both CD4+CD25+ bead-isolated Tregs of the CD4<sup>cre</sup> background [\(Figure 2K\)](#page-5-0) and CD25+FoxP3+ sorted Tregs of the Foxp3<sup>cre</sup> background [\(Supplementary Figure S14B\)](#page-15-0). Hence, deletion of Sin3a from Tregs resulted in the reduction of Foxp3 protein and altered immune cell populations, leading to varying degrees of immune homeostasis disruption.

### Sin3a is important for Foxp3+ follicular Treg development

Given enlarged lymphoid follicles and germinal centers in sLNs Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> mice [\(Supplementary Figure S6B](#page-15-0)), follicular immune cell populations were evaluated by flow cytometry. There were more follicular T helper (Tfh) cells in the SLOs of  $Sin3a^{-/-}$  mice (Figure 3A), whereas follicular T-regulatory (Tfreg) cells were decreased in spleens and sLNs (Figure 3B). The ratios of Tfh to Tfreg cells were much higher in the spleens and sLNs of Sin3a−/ <sup>−</sup> mice (Figure 3C). Follicular B cells were unchanged (Figure 3D), while marginal zone (MZ) B cells (Figure 3E) and MZ precursor B cells (Figure 3F) were reduced in Sin3a<sup>-/−</sup> spleens and sLNs. In contrast, germinal center (GC) B cells were increased in Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> spleens and sLNs (Figure 3G). While not exclusive to lymphoid follicles, B-regulatory cells were reduced in the sLNs of Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> mice ([Supplementary Figure S10](#page-15-0)). A few other differences were observed in B-cell subtypes [\(Supplementary Figure S9\)](#page-15-0). These results show that Sin3a has a profound effect on the cellular composition of the germinal follicles of SLOs.

### Sin3a is key to the transcriptional regulation of Foxp3+ Treg cells

We used RNAseq to better understand the extent to which Sin3a contributes to transcriptional regulation in Foxp3+ Tregs ([Figure 4](#page-7-0); [Supplementary Figures S14](#page-15-0)–S16). There were hundreds of uniquely expressed genes in Tregs with and without Sin3a ([Figure 4A\)](#page-7-0). Among the genes differentially expressed between  $\sin 3a^{-/-}$  and control Tregs, thousands of genes had enhanced or



#### FIGURE 3

Follicular T-helper cell (CD45+CD4+PD1+CXCR5+FoxP3−) (A) and follicular T-regulatory cell (CD45+CD4+PD1+CXCR5+FoxP3+) (B) populations were determined using flow cytometry (representative FCS plots from the sLNs included in between) and then used to calculate the ratio of Tfh to Tfreg cells (C). The percent of follicular B cells (CD19+B220+CD93−CD21loCD23hi) (D), marginal zone (MZ) B cells (CD19+B220+CD93−CD21hiCD23lo) (E), precursors to MZ B cells CD19+B220+CD93-CD21hiCD23hi) (F), and germinal center B cells (CD19+B220+GL7+FAS+) (G) were determined by flow cytometry; representative FCS plots included in [Supplementary Figure S4.](#page-15-0) Further details concerning gating strategies and representative FCS plots for follicular T- and B-cell subsets are included in [Supplementary Figures S8A,](#page-15-0) B, [S9A](#page-15-0)–F, respectively. FACS cell population analysis was performed using four to six biological replicates per group, consisting of two to three males and females. Symbols representing statistical significance are as follows: \*p ≤ 0.05; \*\*p ≤ 0.01; \*\*\*p ≤ 0.001; and \*\*\*\*p  $\leq$  0.0001.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>



RNAseq analysis of sorted CD25+Foxp3+ Tregs from Sin3a<sup>−/−</sup>Foxp3<sup>YFPcre</sup> or Foxp3<sup>YFPcre</sup> control mice. Gene coexpression between groups is depicted in a Venn diagram (A). DEGs of Sin3a<sup>-/-</sup> vs. CTR Tregs with  $\log_2$  fold change|≥ 1 and padj ≤ 0.05 in a volcano plot (B), and selected DEGs depicted by heatmap with log<sub>2</sub> fold change (FC) in the left column with the color scale to the right (C). GSEA output using DEGs of Sin3a<sup>-/-</sup> versus CTR Tregs (NES: − 1.338; p-value: 0.006; FDR: 0.156) (D). RNAseq 3D PC plot [\(Supplementary Figure S15A\)](#page-15-0), fpmk distribution violin plot ([Supplementary Figure S15B](#page-15-0)), and total DEG heatmap ([Supplementary Figure S16A\)](#page-15-0) are included in the [Supplementary Materials.](#page-15-0) RNAseq was performed using six pooled biological replicates per group, three males and three females.

reduced expression (Figures 4B, C; [Supplementary Figure S16\)](#page-15-0), showing that Sin3a contributes to both positive and negative transcriptional regulation within Tregs, i.e., Sin3a acts as a coregulator in Treg cells and is not simply a corepressor.

Transcriptional expression of  $Foxp3$  was reduced in Sin3a<sup>-/-</sup> Tregs (Figure 4C; [Supplementary Figure S16B](#page-15-0)), consistent with reduced Foxp3 protein in Tregs as detected by flow cytometry ([Figures 2C,](#page-5-0) H, I; [Supplementary Figures S4H](#page-15-0), [S7A](#page-15-0)) and Western <span id="page-8-0"></span>blot ([Figure 2J\)](#page-5-0). RNAseq also showed Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> Tregs had reduced expression of multiple TFs that contribute to the induction and stability of Foxp3 expression, including Foxo1, Fos, Jun, Ets-1, Rel, Runx1, Helios (Ikzf2), Eos (Ikzf4), Bcl-11b, and Bach2 ([Figure 4C;](#page-7-0) [Supplementary Figure S16B](#page-15-0)), as well as CD4, Tnfrsf18 (GITR), and Cxcr5 [\(Figure 4C;](#page-7-0) [Supplementary Figure S16B\)](#page-15-0). In contrast, factors produced by effector Tregs such as perforin, granzyme B, and IL-10 had greatly increased transcript levels ([Figure 4C\)](#page-7-0).

While transcriptional expression of Foxp3 and other Tregspecific factors was reduced in the absence of Sin3a, markers of other lineages and immune cell subtypes were enhanced, including T-bet, Blimp1, IRF4, CD63, CD8a, and CD8b1 ([Figure 4C;](#page-7-0) [Supplementary Figure S16](#page-15-0)). CD8a was the most significantly altered DEG (ranked by padj value), and this was confirmed by increased CD8a protein in Foxp3+ Tregs via FACS [\(Supplementary](#page-15-0) [Figure S2F](#page-15-0)). Other CD8+ T-cell-associated factors such as CD160, EOMES, and Batf3 were also increased in transcriptional expression ([Figure 4C](#page-7-0); [Supplementary Figure S16B](#page-15-0)). Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) of DEGs revealed that CD4 and CD8 T cell differentiation ([Figure 4D](#page-7-0)) and Th17 cell differentiation ([Supplementary Figure S15M\)](#page-15-0) were impacted by the absence of Sin3a. Myeloid lineage and inflammatory mediators were also increased in Tregs lacking Sin3a, including GM-CSF (Csf2), Batf2, NFAT5, CD14, CD33, and CD36. Conversely, the majority of DEGs of B-cell-associated factors such as CD5, CD37, CD38, and CD79a/b (B-cell receptor [BCR]) were reduced [\(Supplementary](#page-15-0) [Figure S16B](#page-15-0)). Together, these results display a markedly dysregulated transcriptional profile of Foxp3+ Treg cells, specifically favoring proinflammatory effector mechanisms.

Enrichment analysis of DEGs identified immune processes and hematopoiesis to be significantly altered by the Sin3a deletion ([Supplementary Figure S15D\)](#page-15-0). Likewise, GSEA revealed many signaling pathways involved in immune processes, such as NODlike, NOTCH, MAPK, tumor necrosis factor (TNF), RIG I-like, and Toll-like signaling ([Supplementary Figures 15E](#page-15-0)–K), were impacted. DEGs that were reduced or enhanced in Sin3a<sup>-/−</sup> Tregs were cross-

referenced with ATAC-seq data from an open-access repository, and the top four results from each query are included in Table 1. DEGs with reduced expression in Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> Tregs best-overlapped chromatin availability of Tregs. DEGs with enhanced expression in Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> Tregs best-overlapped chromatin availability of dendritic cells (DC), followed by monocytes. These results show that Sin3a supports transcriptional activation of Treg-specific factors and repression of alternative hematopoietic cell types. Hence, the Sin3a scaffolding protein plays a pivotal role in the control of the Treg transcriptional profile.

### Sin3a is essential for Treg cell suppressive function

Sin3a deletion in Tregs resulted in the development of autoimmunity. In addition to the marked reduction in FoxP3 and peripheral Treg numbers, loss of function was also evaluated. Tregs that lack Sin3a were therefore tested for their ability to suppress the proliferation of lymphoid responder cells ex vivo. Sin3a<sup>-/-</sup> Tregs from Foxp3<sup>cre</sup>, TAM-induced Foxp3<sup>cre</sup>, and CD4<sup>cre</sup> backgrounds were all severely impaired in their abilities to suppress the proliferation of CD4+, CD8+, and total SLO responder cells ([Figure 5A](#page-9-0)). Treatment with the Sin3a inhibitor, Selamectin, also reduced CTR Treg suppressive function in a dose-dependent manner ([Supplementary Figure S13](#page-15-0)). In addition, Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> Tregs from peripheral lymphoid sights had higher CD69 activation in FoxP3cre ([Figure 5B\)](#page-9-0), CD4<sup>cre</sup> ([Supplementary Figure S4B](#page-15-0)), and TAM-induced FoxP3cre ([Supplementary Figure S7B](#page-15-0)). Likewise, a marker of cell division, Ki-67, was increased in splenic Tregs of Sin3a<sup>-/-</sup>Foxp3<sup>cre</sup> ([Figure 5C\)](#page-9-0) and SLOs of Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> TAM ([Supplementary Figure](#page-15-0) [S7B\)](#page-15-0). In addition, transcription of the Ki-67 gene,  $mki67$ , was increased in peripheral Tregs lacking Sin3a ([Supplementary](#page-15-0) [Figure S16B](#page-15-0)). These data show that without Sin3a, Tregs are activated but lack suppressive function.





<span id="page-9-0"></span>

#### FIGURE 5

Foxp3+ Treg dysfunction in the absence of Sin3a. Treg suppression assays performed with Sin3a<sup>-/−</sup> Foxp3<sup>YFPcre</sup> (A, top), TAM-induced Sin3a<sup>-/</sup> −Foxp3<sup>YFPcre</sup> CD25+FoxP3+ FACS-sorted Tregs (**A**, middle), or Sin3a<sup>-/−</sup>CD4<sup>cre</sup> CD4+CD25+ bead-isolated Tregs (**A**, bottom) with control splenic responders. Suppression assay representative CFSE histograms of CD4+ responder division in ratios with serially diluted Tregs are on the left and the area under the curve (AUC) is calculated from the percent CFSE division on the right (A). Percent CD69+ activation (B) and Ki-67+ division (C) of CD4+Foxp3+ Tregs (center-left), CD4+CD8−Foxp3− T cells (center-right), and CD8+CD4− T cells (right) with representative FCS plots from sLNs included on the left. Plots (FCS) that represent spleen, mLN, and thymus data are included in [Supplementary Figures S3B](#page-15-0)-D. Cells from spleens, sLNs, or mLNs of Sin3a<sup>–/−</sup>Foxp3<sup>YFPcre</sup> mice or controls were treated with PMA, ionomycin, and Brefeldin A for 3 h *in vitro,* then CD45+CD4+FoxP3+ (D, left) Tregs and CD45+CD4+Foxp3− T cells (D, right) were evaluated for cytokine production by flow cytometry. Representative cytokine FCS plots from Tregs (**Supplementary Figure S11A**) and CD4+ T cells (**Supplementary Figure S11B**) are included in the **[Supplementary Materials](#page-15-0)**. Cytokine<br>and chemokine receptors differentially expressed by Sin3a<sup>–/–</sup> versus Fo heatmap with log<sub>2</sub> fold change (FC) in the left column with the color scale on the right (E). Symbols representing statistical significance are as follows: \*p  $\leq$  0.05; \*\*p  $\leq$  0.01; \*\*\*p  $\leq$  0.001; and \*\*\*\*p  $\leq$  0.0001.

In the near absence of suppressive Tregs within Sin3a−/ − Foxp3cre mice, CD4+ T cells in peripheral lymphoid tissues had higher CD69+ activation than those in controls (Figure 5B). This was also true of CD4+ cells within the  $CD4<sup>cre</sup>$  [\(Supplementary](#page-15-0) [Figure S4C](#page-15-0)) and TAM-induced Foxp3<sup>cre</sup> [\(Supplementary Figure](#page-15-0) [S7C](#page-15-0)) backgrounds. Peripheral CD4+ and CD8+ cells in Sin3a<sup>-/</sup> − FoxP3cre displayed more Ki-67 in the spleens and mLNs (Figure 5C). These results reveal that activated peripheral Tregs lacking Sin3a were unable to suppress effector T lymphocyte activation and proliferation.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>To further examine the functional properties of Tregs lacking Sin3a, cytokine production was evaluated. Compared to controls, splenic Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> Tregs produced more granzyme B, interferon gamma (IFN- $\gamma$ ), and TNF- $\gamma$  [\(Figure 5D\)](#page-9-0), and transcription of *ifng* and grzb was increased, as determined by RNAseq ([Figure 5E\)](#page-9-0) and RT-qPCR ([Supplementary Figure S14A](#page-15-0)). Many other cytokines were also increased in  $\text{Sin}3a^{-/-}$  Tregs [\(Figure 5E;](#page-9-0) [Supplementary](#page-15-0) [Figure S16\)](#page-15-0), including interleukins 3, 4, 5, 7, 10, 13, 15, 21, and 22 ([Figure 5E](#page-9-0); [Supplementary Figure S16B](#page-15-0)), as well as expression of perforin and GrzA ([Figure 4C](#page-7-0)). Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> Tregs from the spleens and sLNs had increased CCR5, CCR6, and CCR7, while mLN Tregs had increased CCR2, CCR5, and CCR7 [\(Supplementary Figure S7\)](#page-15-0). Levels of chemokine receptor transcripts in Foxp3+ Tregs varied, as CCR1, CCR2, and CCR5 had increased expression in Sin3a<sup>-/-</sup> Tregs, while expression of CCR6, CCR7, CCR9, and CCR10 was reduced [\(Figure 5E;](#page-9-0) [Supplementary Figure S16B](#page-15-0)). The observed differences in chemokine receptor expression and increases in cytokine production, especially that of IFN-g, support that residual peripheral Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> Tregs display hyperactivated, proinflammatory features.

Along with increased cytokine production in Tregs, CD4+ ([Figure 5D](#page-9-0)) and CD8+ [\(Supplementary Figure S11C\)](#page-15-0) T cells from the same tissues produced higher cytokine levels. CD4+ T cells from

spleens and sLNs of Sin3a<sup>-/-</sup> mice produced more GrzB and IFN- $\gamma$ , and the spleens also produced more TNF-g [\(Figure 5D](#page-9-0)). CD8+ T cells from SLOs of Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> mice produced more GrzB and IFN-g, while CD8+ T cells from spleens and sLNs produced more TNF- $\gamma$ ([Supplementary Figure S11C](#page-15-0)). These results demonstrate an environment where activated and dividing peripheral T effectors are not being suppressed by hyperactivated and dysfunctional Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> Tregs.

### Sin3a helps maintain Foxp3 protein stability in Treg cells

Sin3a<sup>-/-</sup>Foxp3<sup>cre</sup> mice had drastically depleted Foxp3+ Treg populations in their SLOs, while thymic populations remained similar or even higher than those in controls. To assess whether the low numbers of peripheral Foxp3+ Tregs were caused by the loss of Foxp3, resulting in the generation of exTregs, we utilized a R26\_tdT lineage tracing model, illustrated in [Supplementary Figure](#page-15-0) [S17A.](#page-15-0) When Foxp3<sup>YFP-cre</sup>R26tdT mice were compared to those with Sin3a deletion (Sin3a<sup>flox/flox</sup>Foxp3<sup>YFP-cre</sup>R26tdT), mice with Sin3a deletion had higher percentages of R26+Foxp3− exTregs in the SLOs and thymi (Figure 6A). These data show that the



#### FIGURE 6

The fate of peripheral Tregs lacking Sin3a. The percentage of R26tdT+Foxp3− (exTregs) within CD45+CD4+ cells in Sin3a<sup>-/−</sup> or control mice (A) with representative.fcs plots of the sLNs included to the right. Diagram of the genetics and flow-cytometry analysis of Treg fate mapping using the R26<sup>STOP</sup>tdTomatoFoxp3<sup>YFPcre</sup> model ([Supplementary Figure S17A](#page-15-0)). The same samples were assessed for apoptotic cell death via flow cytometry staining for annexin V (AnxV) and Ghost-viability dye within CD45+CD4+R26+ cells (B) with representative FCS plots of sLNs to the right. The percent AnxV+Ghost– apoptotic CD4+CD25+ cells from Sin3a<sup>−/−</sup>CD4<sup>cre</sup> or control lymphoid organs determined by FACS **(C)** with representative FCS plots from sLNs to the right. FCS plots which represent spleen, mLNs, and thymus data are included in [Supplementary Figures S17B,](#page-15-0) [C.](#page-15-0) The ratio of percent Foxp3+ cells of KO to CTR following iTreg conversion **(D)** with Sin3a<sup>−/−</sup>Foxp3<sup>cre</sup> (top), TAM-induced Sin3a<sup>−/−</sup>Foxp3<sup>cre</sup> (middle), or Sin3a<sup>−/</sup> ⊤CD4<sup>cre</sup> (bottom) with corresponding controls, representative FCS plots included to the right. Symbols representing statistical significance are as follows: \*p  $\leq$  0.05; \*\*p  $\leq$  0.01; \*\*\*p  $\leq$  0.001; and \*\*\*\*p  $\leq$  0.0001.

reduction of peripheral Foxp3+ Tregs in Sin3a<sup>-/−</sup>FoxP3<sup>cre</sup> mice was at least partially due to the loss of Foxp3, resulting in an expanded exTreg population.

Apoptotic cell death was also assessed as a potential contributor to the depletion of peripheral Foxp3+ Tregs in  $\sin 3a^{-/-}$  mice by FACS staining with annexin V (AnxV). Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> Tregs from the sLNs displayed increased levels of AnxV binding in both Sin3a−/ <sup>−</sup>tdTR26Foxp3YFP<sup>cre</sup> ([Figure 6B](#page-10-0)) and Sin3a<sup>-/−</sup>CD4<sup>cre</sup> [\(Figure 6C\)](#page-10-0) mice. RNAseq analysis of peripheral Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> Tregs revealed many differentially expressed genes associated with the regulation of apoptosis. Proapoptotic factors such as caspases 3 and 12, Bak1, FasL, Apaf1, and cytochrome c were increased in transcript expression, while antiapoptotic factors like Bcl2, Noxa1, and Mcl1 were decreased ([Supplementary Figure S14](#page-15-0)). There were, however, some pro-apoptotic factors with deceased expression, one of which was Bcl2l11 (aka Bim), as verified by RT-qPCR [\(Supplementary](#page-15-0) [Figure S14A](#page-15-0)). This is of interest because Mcl1 and Bim have been described as the dominant anti- and proapoptotic factors (respectively) in Tregs ([57](#page-16-0), [58](#page-16-0)). Overall, proapoptotic factors with increased expression outnumbered those with decreased expression, and vice versa. GESA-enriched DEG data illustrated a significant skew of expression variation in the p53 pathway [\(Supplementary](#page-15-0) [Figure S15P](#page-15-0)) and ferroptosis ([Supplementary Figure S15O](#page-15-0)). Thus, it is likely that one or more forms of programmed cell death contribute to peripheral depletion of Tregs, particularly in the sLNs of Sin3a<sup>-/−</sup>Foxp3<sup>cre</sup> mice, in addition to exTreg formation.

In addition to exploring Foxp3 loss and apoptosis as reasons for the lack of peripheral Tregs in the absence of Sin3a, peripheral CD4 +CD25− cells were tested for the ability to induce Foxp3 production following IL-2, TGF-b, and CD3/28 stimulation. Deletion of Sin3a from the Foxp3<sup>cre</sup>, TAM-induced Foxp3<sup>cre</sup>, and CD4<sup>cre</sup> backgrounds rendered CD4+CD25− cells practically incapable of Foxp3 induction ([Figure 6D](#page-10-0); [Supplementary Figure S18A](#page-15-0)). Furthermore, selamectin treatment of CTR CD4+CD25− cells reduced Foxp3 induction in a dose-dependent manner ([Supplementary Figure S18B](#page-15-0)). Together, these data suggest that peripheral reduction of Foxp3+ Tregs in Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> mice is due to the combinatorial effects of programmed cell death, Foxp3 instability, and impaired Foxp3 induction.

### Sin3a supports Foxp3 expression within Treg cells

Among the four Foxp3 regulatory regions, CNS2 (aka TSDR) has been specifically associated with the stability of Foxp3 expression [\(59\)](#page-16-0). We questioned whether CNS2 accessibility due to CpG methylation was altered in Tregs lacking Sin3a, thereby destabilizing Foxp3 expression. Bisulfite conversion and sequencing of the CNS2 region of Foxp3+ Tregs revealed that in the absence of Sin3a, CNS2 remained nearly completely methylated while all CpG sites of the control CNS2 were demethylated ([Figure 7A](#page-12-0)). The stark difference in CpG methylation of CNS2 between Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> and control Foxp3+ Tregs suggests a massive alteration in methyltransferase activity. Tet enzymes (Tet1, Tet2, and Tet3) are DNA methyltransferases that contribute to the demethylation of Foxp3 regulatory regions [\(15](#page-15-0), [60](#page-16-0)). RNAseq analysis showed that Tet1 and Tet3, but not Tet2, had reduced expression in Sin3a<sup> $-/-$ </sup> Tregs ([Figure 7C](#page-12-0)), which was confirmed by RT-qPCR [\(Supplementary Figure 14B](#page-15-0)). The expression of Dmnt1, a methyltransferase known to methylate CNS2 [\(15\)](#page-15-0), was increased in Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> Tregs ([Figure 7C\)](#page-12-0).

ChIP-seq analysis (ChIP-Atlas) showed colocalization of FoxP3 with Sin3a in Tregs with H-H peak intensities with a STRING binding score of 500 [\(61\)](#page-16-0), suggesting these molecules may interact as regulatory cofactors. Upon the co-IP pulldown of Sin3a and Foxp3, Sin3a did indeed interact with Foxp3 [\(Figure 7B](#page-12-0)), though there remains a possibility that other molecules contribute to this interaction, as benzonase treatment was not used during protein preparation. These data suggest Foxp3 and Sin3a may contribute to the regulation of the Treg transcriptional profile via direct or indirect interaction (likely accompanied by other cofactors), consistent with our overall data showing that Sin3a is required for the transcriptional regulation and maintenance of Foxp3 expression and Treg suppressive function.

# **Discussion**

Foxp3+ Treg cells have an essential role in immune homeostasis as a result of two main functions: restriction of T effector cell activity and maintenance of self-tolerance. Both functions were impaired by Sin3a depletion in Foxp3+ Tregs. The pathology associated with severe and fatal autoimmunity observed in mice with conditional deletion of Sin3a in Foxp3+ Tregs closely resembled that of Scurfy mice, which have dysfunctional Tregs due to a frameshift mutation of Foxp3 [\(5,](#page-15-0) [7\)](#page-15-0). Treg suppression of Tcell proliferation in vitro was largely abrogated by the Sin3a deletion ([Figure 5A;](#page-9-0) [Supplementary Figure S13\)](#page-15-0). In addition, mice with Sin3a deletion in Foxp3+ Tregs had fewer naïve and more activated (CD69+) effector T lymphocytes ([Figure 5C](#page-9-0); [Supplementary Figure](#page-15-0) [S3E](#page-15-0)), suggesting a lack of suppressive constraint by Tregs. Failure of self-tolerance was evident in Sin3a<sup>-/-</sup>Foxp3<sup>cre</sup> mice by systemic tissue damage ([Figure 1](#page-4-0); [Supplementary Figure S1](#page-15-0)) and abundant autoantibody generation ([Figure 1E\)](#page-4-0). Thus, Sin3a is crucial for Treg immunosuppressive functions.

When Sin3a deletion in Foxp3+ Tregs was induced following normal pre- and postnatal development [\(Supplementary Figure S5\)](#page-15-0), evidence of autoimmunity developed in sLNs and lungs ([Supplementary Figures S6A,](#page-15-0) B). Though the percentage of Foxp3+ Tregs was unchanged ([Supplementary Figure S7A](#page-15-0)), Foxp3 protein levels decreased ([Supplementary Figure S7A](#page-15-0)), as did Treg suppressive function ([Figure 5A](#page-9-0)), and sLN of these mice had less naïve and more activated (CD69+) effector T cells [\(Supplementary Figures S7C,](#page-15-0) E). One explanation for why the induction of Sin3a deletion did not provoke the severity of autoimmunity present in Sin3a<sup>-/−</sup>Foxp3<sup>cre</sup> is that the role of Sin3a within Foxp3+ Tregs is of vital importance during fetal and postnatal development. It is also likely the case that TAM-induced Sin3a deletion does not achieve full deletion in all Foxp3+ Tregs. Factors that could contribute to this include the variability in tamoxifen uptake and processing among cell and tissue types. Continued thymic production of Foxp3+ Tregs

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

CpG site methylation of the CNS2 promoter region of the foxp3 gene in CD25+Foxp3+ Tregs (A), where empty circles represent nonmethylated CpG and filled circles represent methylated CpG,and each row depicts an individual sample. Individual samples represent pooled DNA isolated from sorted CD25+Foxp3+ Tregs from SLOs of Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> or Foxp3YFPcre mice. Co-IP of Sin3a and FoxP3 in transfected 293T cells (B). DEGs determined by RNAseq of Sin3a<sup>-j−</sup> versus Foxp3<sup>cre</sup> control CD25+Foxp3+ Tregs that are associated with Tet1 within the STRING network are displayed as circular nodes colored on a scale according to log<sub>2</sub> fold change (FC) and organized using Cytoscape MSC clustering (C). RNAseq was performed using six biological replicates per group, three males and three females.

subsequent to tamoxifen treatment may also contribute to a mixture of dysfunctional Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> Tregs and functional Sin3a+ Tregs. Consistent with this, female heterozygous Sin3a<sup>-/+</sup>Foxp3<sup>cre</sup> mice did not develop fatal autoimmunity.

While the vast majority of our data supports that Foxp3 is reduced in the absence of Sin3a, the percentage of Foxp3+ Tregs within the CD4+ population was greatly increased in Sin3a<sup>-/</sup> − CD4cre SLOs ([Supplementary Figure S4A\)](#page-15-0), as also noted in the recent study by Perucho et al. ([56](#page-16-0)). However, the interpretation of data regarding FoxP3+ Tregs from a CD4<sup>cre</sup> KO background should consider the impact of KO within the other T lymphocyte lineages. Sin3a deletion from the CD4<sup>cre</sup> background results in Sin3a deletion from that and all subsequent T lymphocyte lineages, including CD8 T, NK T, Th17, and Treg cells. Sin3a is present within such a T-cell lineage, and thus its absence would likely impact each of these cell types. Hence, it is difficult to draw conclusions regarding Tregs with respect to other CD4-lineage T lymphocyte populations in CD4<sup>cre</sup>

KO mice. Rather, Sin3a<sup>-/−</sup>Foxp3<sup>cre</sup> mice were utilized for the analysis of cellular populations, where the percentage of Foxp3+ cells ([Figures 2C](#page-5-0), [3B](#page-6-0)) and the number of Foxp3+ Tregs ([Figure 2F\)](#page-5-0) were depleted. Our data are supported by the reduction of Foxp3 protein [\(Figures 2H,](#page-5-0) J) and transcript expression [\(Figures 2K,](#page-5-0) [4C;](#page-7-0) [Supplementary Figures S14](#page-15-0), [S15B\)](#page-15-0) and the increase of exTregs ([Figure 6A](#page-10-0)) present in  $\sin 3a^{-/-}$  mice. Together, these provide a consensus that Foxp3 is indeed diminished in Sin3a-deficent Tregs.

Phenotypic changes in the CD4-lineage populations of Sin3a−/ − CD4cre mice likely explain why they did not develop fatal autoimmunity like Sin3a<sup>-/−</sup>Foxp3<sup>cre</sup> mice despite having dysfunctional Tregs. Evidence of this within the CD4 and CD8 Tcell populations is included in the [Supplementary Materials,](#page-15-0) where the CD4 and CD8 T-cell populations shifted to increased effector and memory phenotypes and reduced naïve T cells ([Supplementary](#page-15-0) [Figure S4D\)](#page-15-0). The CD4 T cells from Sin3a<sup>-/−</sup>CD4<sup>cre</sup> mice had higher percentages of Ki-67+ division and CD69+ activation <span id="page-13-0"></span>([Supplementary Figure S4C\)](#page-15-0) compared to controls. Overall, the CD8 and especially the CD4 T cells in Sin3a<sup>-/−</sup>CD4<sup>cre</sup> mice mainly showed an activated effector phenotype, similar to Sin3a<sup>-/−</sup>Foxp3<sup>cre</sup> CD4 T-cell populations and consistent with reduced suppression by dysfunctional Tregs. However, unlike in Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> FoxP3cre, the percentages ([Supplementary Figure S4A](#page-15-0)) and numbers ([Supplementary Figure S4G\)](#page-15-0) of CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in the SLOs of Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> CD4cre mice were greatly reduced, providing an explanation as to why these mice do not develop fatal autoimmunity.

An inconsistency remains, however, that Sin3a<sup>-/−</sup>CD4<sup>cre</sup> mice maintained the ability to induce Foxp3 expression peripherally ([Supplementary Figure S4A](#page-15-0)) while Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> Foxp3cre ([Figures 2C,](#page-5-0) [F\)](#page-5-0) did not. Induction of Foxp3 expression is facilitated through the CNS1 enhancer, which is dispensable for Foxp3 expression stability ([62\)](#page-16-0). Demethylation of CNS1 can occur via Dnmt3 (Dnmt3a and/or Dnmt3b) activity [\(13,](#page-15-0) [63](#page-16-0)), which has not been linked to Sin3a and is not differentially expressed in Tregs lacking Sin3a ([Supplementary](#page-15-0) [Figure S16A\)](#page-15-0). Thus, in the absence of Sin3a, CNS2 remains methylated [\(Figure 7A\)](#page-12-0), and Dnmt3 demethylation of CNS1 allows induction but not stability of Foxp3. This would presumably occur in Tregs of both CD4<sup>cre</sup> and Foxp3<sup>cre</sup> backgrounds, the difference in Sin3a<sup>-/−</sup>CD4cre mice being the reduction and phenotypic variation of T-cell populations. This



#### FIGURE 8

Proposed mechanism of Sin3a in T-regulatory cells. In a normal T-regulatory (Treg) cell, Sin3a facilitates CpG demethylation of CNS2 (TSDR) of the Foxp3 gene via TET1 and/or TET3, thereby supporting the stability of FoxP3 expression and lineage-specific factors (A). In T-regulatory cells lacking Sin3a CpG demethylation of the Foxp3 enhancer region, CNS2 does not occur, resulting in Foxp3 depletion and Treg dysregulation (B). Tregassociated transcription factors are depicted as yellow ovals and backgrounds, while those associated with alternate lymphoid lineages are represented as grey ovals and backgrounds. Genes with enhanced expression in the absence of Sin3a are represented by red text, while those with reduced expression are represented by blue text.

corresponds with the concept that Sin3a is important to the maintenance of Foxp3 expression through CNS2 demethylation.

Hypomethylation of the Foxp3 enhancers CNS1 and CNS2 is required for optimal Foxp3 expression initiation and stability. The role of methyltransferase Dnmt1 in CpG methylation of the Foxp3 enhancers is well established ([13](#page-15-0), [52](#page-16-0)). Previous reports in other cell types have found that Sin3a regulates CpG methylation indirectly by DNMT1 repression and TET1 activation [\(38,](#page-16-0) [64](#page-16-0)). In addition, "genome-wide" Dnmt1-mediated CpG methylation occurred in the absence of Sin3a [\(38](#page-16-0)). In Tregs lacking Sin3a, expression of Dnmt1 was dramatically increased, along with increased expression of two Dnmt1 [\(Figure 7C](#page-12-0)) cofactors, PCNA and UHRF1 [\(Supplementary](#page-15-0) [Figure S16B\)](#page-15-0). While the increase of Dnmt1and its cofactors likely contribute to the hypermethylation of CNS2, its continued state of hypermethylation suggests another layer of dysregulation. During T lymphocyte hematopoiesis the CNS2 region is methylated in CD4 T cells and must undergo CpG demethylation upon Treg lineage commitment ([65](#page-16-0)). Therefore, a failure in de novo CpG demethylation may also be involved in Tregs lacking Sin3a.

Demethylation of Foxp3 enhancers occurs predominantly through the actions of Tet enzymes [\(15,](#page-15-0) [16](#page-15-0)). Tet2 and Tet3 are required for Treg stability and function through CpG demethylation of CNS2 [\(18,](#page-15-0) [19,](#page-15-0) [66](#page-16-0), [67\)](#page-16-0). Both Tet1 and Tet3 have a conserved singlehelix Sin3a-interacting domain (SID) ([68](#page-16-0)), which interacts with the PAH1 domain of Sin3a ([40,](#page-16-0) [69](#page-16-0)-[72\)](#page-16-0). Tet1 and Tet3 both have DNAbinding ability [\(73,](#page-16-0) [74](#page-16-0)), whereas Tet2 lacks a SID, though there was a recent report of a Sin3a/Tet2 interaction [\(75\)](#page-16-0). Tet2 was previously implicated in the demethylation of CNS2 while involved with another large protein regulatory complex [\(76\)](#page-16-0), but the evidence supporting Sin3a/Tet1 and Sin3a/Tet3 outweighs that of Sin3a/Tet2 in contributing to CNS2 demethylation. Hence, we propose a mechanistic model (which needs validation) in which Sin3amediated Tet1 and Tet3 activity are critical for sustained Foxp3 expression by CpG demethylation of CNS2 ([Figure 8A](#page-13-0)).

RNAseq analysis of Foxp3+ Tregs (Sin3a<sup>-/-</sup> vs. Foxp3<sup>cre</sup> CTR) revealed vast differential expression of genes spanning diverse functional associations. Significantly, the Sin3a deletion affected genes specifically associated with the Treg phenotype. In the absence of Sin3a, expression of markers important to Tregs, including CD4, GITR, Helios, and Foxp3, was reduced, as were transcription factors involved in Foxp3 activation and phenotype (Runx1, Est-1, Rel, Jun, Fos, Bach2, and Foxo1), whereas factors associated with eTregs, such as Blimp1, IRF4, GrzB, Prf1, and IL-10, had increased expression. In addition, there were many genes typically expressed by other immune cells, lymphoid and myeloid, that were increased in Sin3a-deficient Tregs, including T-bet, CD8a, and IFN-g [\(Figure 8B](#page-13-0)). Thus, Sin3a is crucial to the regulation of the transcriptional profile of Foxp3+ Tregs. This conclusion is strengthened by the cooccurrence of DEGs downregulated in Sin3a−/<sup>−</sup> Tregs and the ATAC-accessible genes of Tregs [\(Table 1\)](#page-8-0). Sin3a likely acts synergistically with Foxp3 to achieve Treg transcriptional identity. Most importantly, Sin3a supports Treg identity and function through Foxp3 expression stability via CNS2 demethylation.

The coregulator, Sin3a, plays a pivotal role in the regulation of transcriptional expression within Foxp3+ Tregs. This regulation spans a vast and diverse variety of functional networks, including those intimately linked to T-regulatory cell phenotypes. A central component of this was the extreme reduction of Foxp3 in Tregs that lacked Sin3a and the reduction of peripheral Foxp3+Tregs in Sin3s<sup>-/-</sup>Foxp3<sup>cre</sup> mice. This peripheral depletion of Foxp3+ Tregs was likely caused by the loss of Foxp3 expression and ex-Treg formation due to CNS2 CpG-methylation, along with cell death, as seen particularly in sLNs. Sin3a deletion from Foxp3+ Tregs rendered the cells hyperactivated, proinflammatory, and lacking suppressive function. Hence, Sin3a is crucial for the stability of Foxp3, the control of effector activation, and the maintenance of Treg function.

# Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: GSE263830 (GEO).

# Ethics statement

Ethical approval was not required for the studies on humans in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements because only commercially available established cell lines were used. The animal study was approved by IACUC Children's Hospital of Philadelphia. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

### Author contributions

LC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization, Writing – original draft. TA: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Project administration, Writing – review & editing. LW: Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. RH: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. AS: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. ED: Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. WH: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported in part by funding to WH from the Fred and Suzanne Biesecker Pediatric Liver Center at The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia.

# <span id="page-15-0"></span>Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

# References

1. Kasprowicz DJ, Smallwood PS, Tyznik AJ, Ziegler SF. Scurfin (FoxP3) controls Tdependent immune responses in vivo through regulation of CD4+ T cell effector function. J Immunol. (2003) 171:1216–23. doi: [10.4049/jimmunol.171.3.1216](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.171.3.1216)

2. Khattri R, Cox T, Yasayko SA, Ramsdell F. An essential role for Scurfin in CD4 +CD25+ T regulatory cells. Nat Immunol. (2003) 4:337–42. doi: [10.1038/ni909](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni909)

3. Rudensky AY. Regulatory T cells and foxp3. Immunol Rev. (2011) 241:260–8. doi: [10.1111/j.1600-065X.2011.01018.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-065X.2011.01018.x)

4. Bennett CL, Christie J, Ramsdell F, Brunkow ME, Ferguson PJ, Whitesell L, et al. The immune dysregulation, polyendocrinopathy, enteropathy, X-linked syndrome (IPEX) is caused by mutations of FOXP3. Nat Genet. (2001) 27:20–1. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/83713) [83713](https://doi.org/10.1038/83713)

5. Brunkow ME, Jeffery EW, Hjerrild KA, Paeper B, Clark LB, Yasayko SA, et al. Disruption of a new forkhead/winged-helix protein, scurfin, results in the fatal lymphoproliferative disorder of the scurfy mouse. Nat Genet. (2001) 27:68–73. doi: [10.1038/83784](https://doi.org/10.1038/83784)

6. Wildin RS, Ramsdell F, Peake J, Faravelli F, Casanova JL, Buist N, et al. X-linked neonatal diabetes mellitus, enteropathy and endocrinopathy syndrome is the human equivalent of mouse scurfy. Nat Genet. (2001) 27:18–20. doi: [10.1038/83707](https://doi.org/10.1038/83707)

7. Hadaschik EN, Wei X, Leiss H, Heckmann B, Niederreiter B, Steiner G, et al. Regulatory T cell-deficient scurfy mice develop systemic autoimmune features resembling lupus-like disease. Arthritis Res Ther. (2015) 17:35. doi: [10.1186/s13075-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13075-015-0538-0) [015-0538-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13075-015-0538-0)

8. Colamatteo A, Carbone F, Bruzzaniti S, Galgani M, Fusco C, Maniscalco GT, et al. Molecular mechanisms controlling Foxp3 expression in health and autoimmunity: From epigenetic to post-translational regulation. Front Immunol. (2019) 10:3136. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2019.03136](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.03136)

9. Cretney E, Xin A, Shi W, Minnich M, Masson F, Miasari M, et al. The transcription factors Blimp-1 and IRF4 jointly control the differentiation and function of effector regulatory T cells. Nat Immunol. (2011) 12:304–11. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2006) [ni.2006](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2006)

10. Bankoti R, Ogawa C, Nguyen T, Emadi L, Couse M, Salehi S, et al. Differential regulation of effector and regulatory T cell function by Blimp1. Sci Rep. (2017) 7:12078. doi: [10.1038/s41598-017-12171-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-12171-3)

11. Di Giorgio E, Wang L, Xiong Y, Akimova T, Christensen LM, Han R, et al. MEF2D sustains activation of effector Foxp3+ Tregs during transplant survival and anticancer immunity. J Clin Invest. (2020) 130:6242–60. doi: [10.1172/JCI135486](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI135486)

12. Moore LD, Le T, Fan G. DNA methylation and its basic function. Neuropsychopharmacology. (2013) 38:23–38. doi: [10.1038/npp.2012.112](https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2012.112)

13. Lal G, Zhang N, van der Touw W, Ding Y, Ju W, Bottinger EP, et al. Epigenetic regulation of Foxp3 expression in regulatory T cells by DNA methylation. J Immunol. (2009) 182:259–73. doi: [10.4049/jimmunol.182.1.259](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.182.1.259)

14. Helmin KA, Morales-Nebreda L, Torres Acosta MA, Anekalla KR, Chen SY, Abdala-Valencia H, et al. Maintenance DNA methylation is essential for regulatory T cell development and stability of suppressive function. J Clin Invest. (2020) 130:6571-87. doi: [10.1172/JCI137712](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI137712)

15. Nair VS, Song MH, Ko M, Oh KI. DNA demethylation of the Foxp3 enhancer is maintained through modulation of ten-eleven-translocation and DNA methyltransferases. Mol Cells. (2016) 39:888–97. doi: [10.14348/molcells.2016.0276](https://doi.org/10.14348/molcells.2016.0276)

16. Yang R, Qu C, Zhou Y, Konkel JE, Shi S, Liu Y, et al. Hydrogen sulfide promotes Tet1- and Tet2-mediated Foxp3 demethylation to drive regulatory T cell differentiation and maintain immune homeostasis. Immunity. (2015) 43:251–63. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2015.07.017) [j.immuni.2015.07.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2015.07.017)

17. Yue X, Trifari S, Äijö T, Tsagaratou A, Pastor WA, Zepeda-Martínez JA, et al. Control of Foxp3 stability through modulation of TET activity. J Exp Med. (2016) 213:377–97. doi: [10.1084/jem.20151438](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20151438)

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1444937/full#supplementary-material)fimmu.2024. [1444937/full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1444937/full#supplementary-material)

18. Yue X, Lio C-WJ, Samaniego-Castruita D, Li X, Rao A. Loss of TET2 and TET3 in regulatory T cells unleashes effector function. Nat Commun. (2019) 10:2011. doi: [10.1038/s41467-019-09541-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09541-y)

19. Nakatsukasa H, Oda M, Yin J, Chikuma S, Ito M, Koga-Iizuka M, et al. Loss of TET proteins in regulatory T cells promotes abnormal proliferation, Foxp3 destabilization and IL-17 expression. Int Immunol. (2019) 31:335–47. doi: [10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/intimm/dxz008) [intimm/dxz008](https://doi.org/10.1093/intimm/dxz008)

20. Li B, Samanta A, Song X, Iacono KT, Bembas K, Tao R, et al. FOXP3 interactions with histone acetyltransferase and class II histone deacetylases are required for repression. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. (2007) 104:4571–6. doi: [10.1073/pnas.0700298104](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0700298104)

21. Liu Y, Bao C, Wang L, Han R, Beier UH, Akimova T, et al. Complementary roles of GCN5 and PCAF in Foxp3+ T-regulatory cells. Cancers (Basel). (2019) 11:554. doi: [10.3390/cancers11040554](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers11040554)

22. Liu Y, Wang L, Han R, Beier UH, Akimova T, Bhatti T, et al. Two histone/ protein acetyltransferases, CBP and p300, are indispensable for Foxp3+ T-regulatory cell development and function. Mol Cell Biol. (2014) 34:3993-4007. doi: [10.1128/](https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.00919-14) [MCB.00919-14](https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.00919-14)

23. Liu Y, Wang L, Predina J, Han R, Beier UH, Wang LC, et al. Inhibition of p300 impairs Foxp3<sup>+</sup> T regulatory cell function and promotes antitumor immunity. Nat Med. (2013) 19:1173–7. doi: [10.1038/nm.3286](https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3286)

24. Xiao Y, Nagai Y, Deng G, Ohtani T, Zhu Z, Zhou Z, et al. Dynamic interactions between TIP60 and p300 regulate FOXP3 function through a structural switch defined by a single lysine on TIP60. Cell Rep. (2014) 7:1471–80. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2014.04.021) [j.celrep.2014.04.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2014.04.021)

25. Rudra D, deRoos P, Chaudhry A, Niec RE, Arvey A, Samstein RM, et al. Transcription factor Foxp3 and its protein partners form a complex regulatory network. Nat Immunol. (2012) 13:1010–9. doi: [10.1038/ni.2402](https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2402)

26. Tao R, de Zoeten EF, Ozkaynak E, Chen C, Wang L, Porrett PM, et al. Deacetylase inhibition promotes the generation and function of regulatory T cells. Nat Med. (2007) 13:1299–307. doi: [10.1038/nm1652](https://doi.org/10.1038/nm1652)

27. Christensen LM, Hancock WW. Nuclear coregulatory complexes in tregs as targets to promote anticancer immune responses. Front Immunol. (2022) 13:909816. doi: 10.3389/fi[mmu.2022.909816](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.909816)

28. Fleischer TC, Yun UJ, Ayer DE. Identification and characterization of three new components of the mSin3A corepressor complex. Mol Cell Biol. (2003) 23:3456–67. doi: [10.1128/MCB.23.10.3456-3467.2003](https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.23.10.3456-3467.2003)

29. Grzenda A, Lomberk G, Zhang JS, Urrutia R. Sin3: master scaffold and transcriptional corepressor. Biochim Biophys Acta. (2009) 1789:443–50. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagrm.2009.05.007) [j.bbagrm.2009.05.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagrm.2009.05.007)

30. Silverstein RA, Ekwall K. Sin3: a flexible regulator of global gene expression and genome stability. Curr Genet. (2005) 47:1–17. doi: [10.1007/s00294-004-0541-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00294-004-0541-5)

31. Adams GE, Chandru A, Cowley SM. Co-repressor, co-activator and general transcription factor: the many faces of the Sin3 histone deacetylase (HDAC) complex. Biochem J. (2018) 475:3921–32. doi: [10.1042/BCJ20170314](https://doi.org/10.1042/BCJ20170314)

32. Smith KT, Sardiu ME, Martin-Brown SA, Seidel C, Mushegian A, Egidy R, et al. Human family with sequence similarity 60 member A (FAM60A) protein: a new subunit of the Sin3 deacetylase complex. Mol Cell Proteomics. (2012) 11:1815–28. doi: [10.1074/mcp.M112.020255](https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M112.020255)

33. Clark MD, Marcum R, Graveline R, Chan CW, Xie T, Chen Z, et al. Structural insights into the assembly of the histone deacetylase-associated Sin3L/Rpd3L corepressor complex. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. (2015) 112:E3669–3678. doi: [10.1073/](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1504021112) [pnas.1504021112](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1504021112)

34. Hassig C, Fleischer TC, Billin AN, Schreiber SL. and Ayer, DE. Histone deacetylase activity is required for full transcriptional repression by mSin3A. Cell. (1997) 89:341–7. doi: [10.1016/S0092-8674\(00\)80214-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80214-7)

<span id="page-16-0"></span>35. Jiang G, Li C, Lu M, Lu K, Li H. Protein lysine crotonylation: past, present, perspective. Cell Death Dis. (2021) 12:703. doi: [10.1038/s41419-021-03987-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-021-03987-z)

36. Liu N, Konuma T, Sharma R, Wang D, Zhao N, Cao L, et al. Histone H3 lysine 27 crotonylation mediates gene transcriptional repression in chromatin. Mol Cell. (2023) 83:2206–2221.e2211. doi: [10.1016/j.molcel.2023.05.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2023.05.022)

37. Vidal M, Gaber RF. RPD3 encodes a second factor required to achieve maximum positive and negative transcriptional state in Saccaromyces cervisiae. Mol Cell Biol.  $(1991)$  11:6317–27. doi: [10.1128/mcb.11.12.6317-6327.1991](https://doi.org/10.1128/mcb.11.12.6317-6327.1991)

38. Zhao P, Li S, Wang H, Dang Y, Wang L, Liu T, et al. Sin3a regulates the developmental progression through morula-to-blastocyst transition via Hdac1. FASEB J. (2019) 33:12541–53. doi: [10.1096/fj.201901213R](https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.201901213R)

39. Cowley SM, Iritani BM, Mendrysa SM, Xu T, Cheng PF, Yada J, et al. The mSin3A chromatin-modifying complex is essential for embryogenesis and T-cell development. Mol Cell Biol. (2005) 25:6990–7004. doi: [10.1128/MCB.25.16.6990-](https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.25.16.6990-7004.2005) [7004.2005](https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.25.16.6990-7004.2005)

40. Zhu F, Zhu Q, Ye D, Zhang Q, Yang Y, Guo X, et al. Sin3a–Tet1 interaction activates gene transcription and is required for embryonic stem cell pluripotency. Nucleic Acids Res. (2018) 46:6026–40. doi: [10.1093/nar/gky347](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky347)

41. Yao C, Carraro G, Konda B, Guan X, Mizuno T, Chiba N, et al. Sin3a regulates epithelial progenitor cell fate during lung development. Development. (2017) 144:2618– 28. doi: [10.1242/dev.149708](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.149708)

42. Tiana M, Acosta-Iborra B, Puente-Santamaria L, Hernansanz-Agustin P, Worsley-Hunt R, Masson N, et al. The SIN3A histone deacetylase complex is required for a complete transcriptional response to hypoxia. Nucleic Acids Res. (2018) 46:120–33. doi: [10.1093/nar/gkx951](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx951)

43. Terranova-Barberio M, Thomas S, Ali N, Pawlowska N, Park J, Krings G, et al. HDAC inhibition potentiates immunotherapy in triple negative breast cancer. Oncotarget. (2017) 8:114156–72. doi: [10.18632/oncotarget.v8i69](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.v8i69)

44. Cavassani KA, Carson WFT, Moreira AP, Wen H, Schaller MA, Ishii M, et al. The post sepsis-induced expansion and enhanced function of regulatory T cells create an environment to potentiate tumor growth. Blood. (2010) 115:4403–11. doi: [10.1182/](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2009-09-241083) [blood-2009-09-241083](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2009-09-241083)

45. Rubtsov YP, Rasmussen JP, Chi EY, Fontenot J, Castelli L, Ye X, et al. Regulatory T cell-derived interleukin-10 limits inflammation at environmental interfaces. Immunity. (2008) 28:546–58. doi: [10.1016/j.immuni.2008.02.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2008.02.017)

46. Lee PP, Fitzpatrick DR, Beard C, Jessup HK, Lehar S, Makar KW, et al. A critical role for Dnmt1 and DNA methylation in T cell development, function, and survival. Immunity. (2001) 15:763–74. doi: [10.1016/S1074-7613\(01\)00227-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1074-7613(01)00227-8)

47. Dannenberg JH, David G, Zhong S, van der Torre J, Wong WH, Depinho RA. mSin3A corepressor regulates diverse transcriptional networks governing normal and<br>neoplastic growth and survival. Genes Dev. (2005) 19:1581–95. doi: [10.1101/gad.1286905](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1286905)

48. Akimova T, Levine MH, Beier UH, Hancock WW. Standardization, evaluation, and area-under-curve analysis of human and murine Treg suppressive function. Methods Mol Biol. (2016) 1371:43–78. doi: [10.1007/978-1-4939-3139-2\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-3139-2_4)

49. Cossarizza A, Chang HD, Radbruch A, Abrignani S, Addo R, Akdis M, et al. Guidelines for the use of flow cytometry and cell sorting in immunological studies (third edition). Eur J Immunol. (2021) 51:2708–3145. doi: [10.1002/eji.202170126](https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.202170126)

50. Golovina TN, Mikheeva T, Suhoski MM, Aqui NA, Tai VC, Shan X, et al. CD28 costimulation is essential for human T regulatory expansion and function1. J Immunol. (2008) 181:2855–68. doi: [10.4049/jimmunol.181.4.2855](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.181.4.2855)

51. Siska PJ, Jiao J, Matos C, Singer K, Berger RS, Dettmer K, et al. Kynurenine induces T cell fat catabolism and has limited suppressive effects in vivo. EBioMedicine. (2021) 74:103734. doi: [10.1016/j.ebiom.2021.103734](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2021.103734)

52. Wang L, Liu Y, Beier UH, Han R, Bhatti TR, Akimova T, et al. Foxp3+ Tregulatory cells require DNA methyltransferase 1 expression to prevent development of lethal autoimmunity. Blood. (2013) 121:3631–9. doi: [10.1182/blood-2012-08-451765](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2012-08-451765)

53. Team RC. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing (2021).

54. Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. (2005) 102:15545–50. doi: [10.1073/pnas.0506580102](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0506580102)

55. Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, et al. Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. Genome Res. (2003) 13:2498–504. doi: [10.1101/gr.1239303](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.1239303)

56. Perucho L, Icardi L, Di Simone E, Basso V, Agresti A, Vilas Zornoza A, et al. The transcriptional regulator Sin3A balances IL-17A and Foxp3 expression in primary CD4 T cells. EMBO Rep. (2023) 24:e55326. doi: [10.15252/embr.202255326](https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.202255326)

57. Teh CE, Robbins AK, Henstridge DC, Dewson G, Diepstraten ST, Kelly G, et al. MCL-1 is essential for survival but dispensable for metabolic fitness of FOXP3 (+) regulatory T cells. Cell Death Differ. (2020) 27:3374–85. doi: [10.1038/s41418-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41418-020-0585-1) [020-0585-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41418-020-0585-1)

58. Chougnet CA, Tripathi P, Lages CS, Raynor J, Sholl A, Fink P, et al. A major role for Bim in regulatory T cell homeostasis. J Immunol. (2011) 186:156–63. doi: [10.4049/](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1001505) iimmunol.1001505

59. Floess S, Freyer J, Siewert C, Baron U, Olek S, Polansky J, et al. Epigenetic control of the foxp3 locus in regulatory T cells. PloS Biol. (2007) 5:e38. doi: [10.1371/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0050038) [journal.pbio.0050038](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0050038)

60. Nair VS, Oh KI. Down-regulation of Tet2 prevents TSDR demethylation in IL2 deficient regulatory T cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. (2014) 450:918–24. doi: [10.1016/j.bbrc.2014.06.110](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2014.06.110)

61. Oki S, Ohta T, Shioi G, Hatanaka H, Ogasawara O, Okuda Y, et al. ChIP-Atlas: a data-mining suite powered by full integration of public ChIP-seq data. EMBO Rep. (2018) 19:e46255. doi: [10.15252/embr.201846255](https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201846255)

62. Zheng Y, Josefowicz S, Chaudhry A, Peng XP, Forbush K, Rudensky AY. Role of conserved non-coding DNA elements in the Foxp3 gene in regulatory T-cell fate. Nature. (2010) 463:808–12. doi: [10.1038/nature08750](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08750)

63. Bai L, Hao X, Keith J, Feng Y. DNA methylation in regulatory T cell differentiation and function: Challenges and opportunities. Biomolecules. (2022) 12:1282. doi: [10.3390/biom12091282](https://doi.org/10.3390/biom12091282)

64. Bisserier M, Mathiyalagan P, Zhang S, Elmastour F, Dorfmuller P, Humbert M, et al. Regulation of the methylation and expression levels of the BMPR2 gene by SIN3a as a novel therapeutic mechanism in pulmonary arterial hypertension. Circulation. (2021) 144:52–73. doi: [10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.047978](https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.047978)

65. Li J, Xu B, He M, Zong X, Cunningham T, Sha C, et al. Control of Foxp3 induction and maintenance by sequential histone acetylation and DNA demethylation. Cell Rep. (2021) 37:110124. doi: [10.1016/j.celrep.2021.110124](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2021.110124)

66. Yue X, Samaniego-Castruita D, González-Avalos E, Li X, Barwick BG, Rao A. Whole-genome analysis of TET dioxygenase function in regulatory T cells. EMBO Rep. (2021) 22:e52716. doi: [10.15252/embr.202152716](https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.202152716)

67. Someya K, Nakatsukasa H, Ito M, Kondo T, Tateda KI, Akanuma T, et al. Improvement of Foxp3 stability through CNS2 demethylation by TET enzyme induction and activation. Int Immunol. (2017) 29:365–75. doi: [10.1093/intimm/dxx049](https://doi.org/10.1093/intimm/dxx049)

68. Chandru A, Bate N, Vuister GW, Cowley SM. Sin3A recruits Tet1 to the PAH1 domain via a highly conserved Sin3-Interaction Domain. . Sci Rep. (2018) 8:14689. doi: [10.1038/s41598-018-32942-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-32942-w)

69. Feng J, Zhu F, Ye D, Zhang Q, Guo X, Du C, et al. Sin3a drives mesenchymal-toepithelial transition through cooperating with Tet1 in somatic cell reprogramming. Stem Cell Res Ther. (2022) 13:29. doi: [10.1186/s13287-022-02707-4](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13287-022-02707-4)

70. Pantier R, Mullin NP, Chambers I. A new twist to Sin3 complexes in pluripotent cells. EMBO J. (2017) 36:2184–6. doi: [10.15252/embj.201797516](https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.201797516)

71. Williams K, Christensen J, Pedersen MT, Johansen JV, Cloos PA, Rappsilber J, et al. TET1 and hydroxymethylcytosine in transcription and DNA methylation fidelity. Nature. (2011) 473:343–8. doi: [10.1038/nature10066](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10066)

72. Theofilatos D, Ho T, Waitt G, Aijo T, Schiapparelli LM, Soderblom EJ, et al. Deciphering the TET3 interactome in primary thymic developing T cells. *iScience*. (2024) 27:109782. doi: [10.1016/j.isci.2024.109782](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2024.109782)

73. Zhang H, Zhang X, Clark E, Mulcahey M, Huang S, Shi YG. TET1 is a DNAbinding protein that modulates DNA methylation and gene transcription via hydroxylation of 5-methylcytosine. Cell Res. (2010) 20:1390–3. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2010.156) [cr.2010.156](https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2010.156)

74. Xu Y, Wu F, Tan L, Kong L, Xiong L, Deng J, et al. Genome-wide regulation of 5hmC, 5mC, and gene expression by Tet1 hydroxylase in mouse embryonic stem cells. Mol Cell. (2011) 42:451–64. doi: [10.1016/j.molcel.2011.04.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2011.04.005)

75. Flores JC, Sidoli S, Dawlaty MM. Tet2 regulates Sin3a recruitment at active enhancers in embryonic stem cells. iScience. (2023) 26:107170. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2023.107170) [j.isci.2023.107170](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2023.107170)

76. Wang L, Liu Y, Han R, Beier UH, Thomas RM, Wells AD, et al. Mbd2 promotes foxp3 demethylation and T-regulatory-cell function. Mol Cell Biol. (2013) 33:4106–15. doi: [10.1128/MCB.00144-13](https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.00144-13)