

Improving management and antimicrobial stewardship for bacterial and fungal infections in hospitalized patients with COVID-19

Maddalena Peghin , Antonio Vena, Elena Graziano, Daniele Roberto Giacobbe, Carlo Tascini and Matteo Bassetti

Abstract: SARS-CoV-2 (severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2) infection is being one of the most significant challenges of health care systems worldwide. Bacterial and fungal infections in hospitalized patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) are uncommon but consumption of antibiotics and antifungals has increased dramatically during the ongoing pandemic resulting in increased selective pressure for global antimicrobial resistance. Nosocomial bacterial superinfections appear to be more frequent than community-acquired coinfections, particularly among patients admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) and those receiving immunosuppressive treatment. Fungal infections associated with COVID-19 might be missed or misdiagnosed. Existing and new antimicrobial stewardship (AMS) programmes can be utilized directly in COVID-19 pandemic and are urgently needed to contain the high rates of misdiagnosis and antimicrobial prescription. The aim of this review is to describe the role of bacterial and fungal infections and possible strategies of AMS to use in daily practice for optimal management of COVID-19.

Keywords: antimicrobial stewardship, bacterial infections, coinfections, COVID-19, fungal infections, secondary infections

Received: 18 September 2021; revised manuscript accepted: 14 March 2022.

Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic is having a profound and devastating impact on global healthcare systems. Bacterial and fungal infections represent important complications of respiratory viral diseases and have been reported after COVID-19.^{1,2} Despite the infrequency of confirmed coinfection and secondary infections from early reports during the COVID-19 pandemic, consumption of antibiotics has increased dramatically and misuse of antimicrobials has resulted in increased selective pressure for global antimicrobial resistance (AMR).^{3,4} Overprescribing of antibiotics and antifungals in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 has probably been the result of high morbidity and mortality of acute illness and

the absence of significantly effective therapeutic options.⁵⁻⁹ Significant misconceptions about the use of pharmacological products for COVID-19 have been observed due to the initial uncertainty about therapeutic benefit and limited available data.¹⁰ Moreover, COVID-19 has led to a fundamental reorganization of hospitals and ICUs, and increased workload for health care workers. Altogether, this new situation significantly impacted AMS efforts but the use of antimicrobial agents in the COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the importance of upholding old and new AMS principles in daily practice.⁹

The aim of this review is to understand the role of bacterial and fungal infections and patterns of

Ther Adv Infectious Dis

2022, Vol. 9: 1–16

DOI: 10.1177/
20499361221095732

© The Author(s), 2022.
Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-
permissions

Correspondence to:

Maddalena Peghin
Clinica di Malattie
Infettive, Azienda
Sanitaria Universitaria
Friuli Centrale (ASUFC),
Presidio Ospedaliero
Universitario Santa
Maria della Misericordia,
Piazzale Santa Maria della
Misericordia 15, 33010
Udine, Italy

Infectious and Tropical
Diseases Unit, Department
of Medicine and Surgery,
University of Insubria-
ASST-Sette Laghi, Varese,
Italy.

maddalena.peghin@
gmail.com

Antonio Vena
Daniele Roberto Giacobbe
Matteo Bassetti
Infectious Diseases Unit,
Ospedale Policlinico San
Martino-IRCCS, Genoa,
Italy

Department of Health
Sciences (DISSAL),
University of Genoa,
Genoa, Italy

Elena Graziano
Infectious Diseases
Division, Department
of Medicine, University
of Udine and Azienda
Sanitaria Universitaria
Friuli Centrale (ASUFC),
Udine, Italy

Infectious and Tropical
Diseases Unit, Department
of Medicine and Surgery,
University of Insubria-
ASST-Sette Laghi, Varese,
Italy

Carlo Tascini
Infectious Diseases
Division, Department
of Medicine, University
of Udine and Azienda
Sanitaria Universitaria
Friuli Centrale (ASUFC),
Udine, Italy

antibiotic and antifungal use in patients with COVID-19, for optimal empirical management strategies and AMS programmes.

General stewardship principles

Since the 1970s, AMS has evolved to become a coherent set of actions designed to use antimicrobials responsibly, with the main current aim to combat AMR. AMS programmes have been shown to improve patient outcomes, to lower the rate of antibiotic adverse events (namely *Clostridium difficile* infection) and to decrease health system costs, the length of stay and readmission rates.^{11–13}

AMS programmes can be persuasive (with educational programmes, prospective audits and feedback), restrictive (with restrictions on the use of antibiotics and pre-authorization requirements) or structural (with decision support systems and computerization of records).¹⁴ AMS interventions may be vertical, as systemic interventions carried out by the facility, or horizontal, as punctual interventions for a specific antimicrobial or infection.^{15,16} AMS programmes involve several personnel including administrative leadership, infectious disease physicians, pharmacists, nurses, microbiologists, pharmacologists, allergists, laboratory staff, public health specialists and information technology programmers, aiming as a whole to prescribe the right antibiotic in terms of antibiotic choice, pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic parameters, dosage, route of administration, treatment duration, patient compliance, allergy history, local epidemiology and cost-effectiveness. The best antibiotic may be no antibiotic at all if not needed.^{17,18} A crucial step is diagnostic AMS, which is based on adequate sampling strategies that precede antibiotic prescription and requires the competence to correctly order and interpret the result of the test.^{19,20} Non-pharmacological interventions such as the withdrawal of the central venous catheter must also be considered as additional actions (Table 1).

Once the programme has been implemented, a continuous quality improvement strategy is essential through meetings among the AMS staff to monitor indicators of effectiveness and adverse events, to manage interventions and to update local guidelines with microbiology cumulative susceptibility reports, pharmacy reports and new

molecules.²¹ Electronic health records are of great support.²²

AMS can be applied to the management of community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) to optimize treatment while maintaining or improving the quality of patient outcomes.²³ AMS programmes for CAP have been shown to reduce intravenous therapy, the length of antibiotic therapy and hospital stay, and expenditure on antibiotics and to be long-lasting.^{24,25} AMS interventions for CAP include differentiating viral from bacterial aetiologies, performing an adequate diagnostic work-up, an early switch to oral antibiotics and short therapy. A recent meta-analysis showed that 24.5% of CAP have a viral aetiology and molecular testing for virus and atypical pathogens has been demonstrated to reduce the use of intravenous antibiotics.^{5,26,27} Procalcitonin (PCT) has been successfully used to guide antibiotic treatment, reducing antibiotic use and exposure in all clinical settings and lowering mortality in critical patients, especially when embedded into a clinical algorithm.^{28,29} A methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* nasal swab can be useful in de-escalating therapy due to its high negative predictive value.^{30,31}

Of note is that in recent years, concerns on the emergence of antifungal resistance and inappropriateness of antifungal prescription have motivated the development of antifungal stewardship (AFS) programmes. Many AFS programmes designed in tertiary centres have succeeded in reducing mortality^{32–34} improving the appropriateness of antifungal use and decreasing the economic burden of new antifungals^{35,36} with the additional support of fungal biomarkers.^{37,38} AMS has been shown to reduce the use of antifungals by increasing prescribers' awareness and mitigating risk factors for fungal infections.^{39,40}

Problems of AMS in daily practice in COVID-19 and possible solutions

AMS is urgently needed to contain the high rates of antimicrobial prescription described, reversing the downward trend resulting from AMS practices.^{41–43} The increase in patient density in wards and the generous amount of broad-spectrum antibiotics given will possibly lead to an increase in AMR in the next years, and it might result in a pandemic due to multidrug-resistant (MDR) organisms.⁴⁴

Table 1. AMS intervention in the pre- and post-COVID-19 pandemic eras.

Interventions	Pre-COVID-19	During COVID-19	Post-COVID-19
Educational programmes	Face-to-face Passive Active Public campaigns	Mobile technology (apps) Video conferencing Public campaigns on hospital website	Integration of pre- and during COVID-19 interventions
ASP staff training	Face-to-face conferencing	Video conferencing	Integration of pre- and during COVID-19 interventions
Audit and feedback	Meetings and gatherings	Video conferencing E-mails	Integration of pre- and during COVID-19 interventions
Restriction and pre-authorization	Monitoring antimicrobial consumption and limiting the use of broad-spectrum antibiotics	Monitoring drug shortages Literature updated with evidence on the real impact of coinfection and superinfection	Implementation of electronic restriction and pre-authorization with the help of pharmacists
Guidelines and protocols	Paper guidelines	Apps/e-mails	Implementation of app software (easier to update with the constant new release of literature)
Microbiology	Interpretation of antibiotic susceptibility tests Interpretation of results (i.e. colonization <i>versus</i> infection) De-escalation and IV-to-oral conversion	Implementation of web-based alert system for MDR or <i>C. difficile</i> De-escalation to stop antibiotics and IV-to-oral conversion Early switch, when feasible, to oral to facilitate discharge. Removal of antivirals and airborne and contact precautions when SARS-COV-2 testing turns negative	Implementation of rapid diagnostic tests, especially of upper respiratory samples
Diagnostic stewardship	Appropriateness of sampling for microbiology Assist diagnosis in order to run high pre-test probability tests Correct interpretation of results	Running different tests (molecular, antigenic, serologic) in high COVID-19 suspicion cases with first negative test Using appropriate upper respiratory sample to make an alternative diagnosis (i.e. influenza virus or RSV) Stop running molecular SARS-COV-2 tests in patients with a recent history of COVID-19 infection presenting with dyspnoea	Integration of pre- and during COVID-19 interventions

IV, intravenous; MDR, multidrug-resistant; RSV, respiratory syncytial virus; SARS-COV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.

In critically ill patients and in the context of the current COVID-19 pandemic, the benefits of AMS practices may be overlooked. An increase up to 35% of blood cultures collection has been described but with a low prevalence of bloodstream infections and high rate of blood culture contamination due to a decrease in diagnostic

stewardship adherence.^{45–47} The prolonged use of personal protective equipment, in combination with a low adherence to infection control practices, has been shown to increase the contamination from enteric microorganisms.⁴⁸ Tiri *et al.*⁴⁹ showed that prone positioning in the intensive care unit (ICU), a manoeuvre requiring several

healthcare workers, in addition to the use of protective personal equipment for many hours and to the presence of new staff untrained to AMS and infection control techniques, increased carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacterales* acquisition from 6.7% to 50%. In the pandemic period, where all energies are mainly focused on COVID-19 hospitals, policy makers should make an effort to fund AMS programmes in an attempt to contain the spread of MDR organisms and to support the decision-making of clinical staff.⁵⁰

AMS programmes, already understaffed in many countries in the pre-pandemic era, are now dealing with the diversion to COVID-19 patients and the difficulty of keeping pace with routine AMS topics. Funding from AMS programmes may be redirected to the needs of the pandemic. Face-to-face meetings are discouraged or hard to fit into the full working days of the pandemic. AMS programmes that quickly adapted to the pandemic context have proven to be able to reduce antibiotic prescription and the duration of therapy through modified protocols and the aid of computer systems and of non-clinical figures such as pharmacists.^{51–53} Solutions to new challenges offered by the pandemic, especially the extensive use of technology and web-based strategies (app software, emails and videoconferencing) may continue even in the post-pandemic period to improve AMS services.⁵⁴ An Italian survey showed that non-infectious disease specialists tend to prescribe more antibiotics, possibly due to a lack of knowledge of AMS principles. This should prompt the expansion of web-based educational programmes to all specialists due to the fact that many non-infectious disease specialists are involved in the management of COVID-19 patients.⁵⁵ Finally, AMS experts advocate for the development of updated local and national recommendations during the pandemic to standardize procedures from patient admission to the emergency room to discharge through the potential ICU stay (Tables 1 and 2).^{10,56}

Misconceptions of agents use in COVID-19

Many drugs with known or putative antibacterial (azithromycin), antiviral (lopinavir-ritonavir) or antiparasiticide (ivermectin, hydroxychloroquine/chloroquine) effects have been proposed for use in patients with COVID-19.⁹ Randomized trials and observational studies have not demonstrated a clinical benefit of these agents, and off-label use

may result in excess toxicity. Use of these treatment options for COVID-19 should be restricted to clinical trials, if used at all.⁵⁷ In patients with COVID-19, azithromycin has been extensively used with a supposed antiviral and immunomodulating activity, but has insufficient evidence of clinical benefit. Ivermectin should be reserved for prevention and treatment of Strongyloidiasis and treatment of Onchocerciasis.⁹

The epidemiology of bacterial infections in COVID-19

Bacterial coinfection are defined as bacterial infections that occur on presentation (≤ 48 –72h after admission) whereas bacterial secondary infections or superinfections as bacterial infections that emerge during the course of illness or hospital stay (> 48 –72h after admission) and are synonymous with nosocomial or hospital-acquired infection. Bacterial coinfections and superinfections are commonly identified in severe respiratory viral infections, mostly commonly in influenza in which they are a major cause of morbidity and mortality.⁵⁸ However, the overall number of coinfections/superinfections is lower in patients with COVID-19, and the associated pathogens differ from that reported in influenza and other coronaviruses.^{59–62} Most studies to date have been retrospective with small sample cohorts and have very limited microbiological and clinical detail and data on the presence of bacterial infections other than pneumonia.^{3,63,64}

A systematic review and meta-analysis of 31 studies (> 6000 patients) reported a low prevalence of confirmed bacterial infection (8.6%, 95% CI 4.7–15.2%), with a higher prevalence of secondary infections (16.0%, 12.4–19.6%) than coinfections (4.9%, 2.6–7.1%).^{3,63,64} Most findings of this meta-analysis were confirmed by a recent prospective multicentre cohort study from the United Kingdom on 48,902 patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 during the first wave. Overall, they found that microbiologically confirmed bacterial infections were infrequent (1107/8649: 12.7%), coinfections at hospital admission were rare and when infections were identified, most were secondary, especially in severely ill patients.⁶⁰

A recent multicentre study on critically ill patients with COVID-19 showed a high risk of hospital-acquired infections (46%, 359/774), in particular

Table 2. Possible AMS solutions for COVID-19 patients.**Emergency room**

- Correct respiratory sample to rule out coinfection
- New rapid diagnostic tests or traditional microbiology processing, including blood culture, *S. pneumoniae* and *Legionella* urinary antigen^a
- Other samples for other aetiologies (i.e. urinary tract infections)
- Screening swabs (i.e. rectal or nasal) according to hospital policy and in patients with risk factors for MDR organisms
- Other consults from specialists in cases of non-infectious diagnosis (i.e. heart failure)
- Stop corticosteroids if started too early in the course of the disease
- Hold therapy unless high clinical suspicion (laboratory examinations, radiology support)
- Avoid antibiotic therapy in patients if recently hospitalized and without evidence of coinfection
- Continuous staff training

Medical ward

- Monitor epidemiological situation during COVID-19 pandemic
- Obtain cultures when indicated – even if difficult
- Use surveillance cultures and extra contact precautions according to the results
- Use risk stratification for MDR organisms
- If started, antibiotic therapy should be chosen according to local epidemiology^a
- Apply pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic principles
- Every 24 h, therapy should be reviewed to stop or de-escalate antimicrobial treatment
- Early switch from IV to oral therapy
- Antibiotics should be stopped after 5–7 days for respiratory tract infections, upon improvement of signs, symptoms and inflammatory markers
- Continuous staff training

ICU

- Monitor epidemiological situation during COVID-19 pandemic
- Correct sampling to rule out secondary infections, including BAL
- New rapid diagnostic tests or traditional microbiology processing for bacteria
- Use comprehensive diagnostic approach with fungal testing on BAL (histology, culture, galactomannan and Aspergillus PCR) (1,3)- β -d-glucan and radiology awareness when IFI is suspected
- Excluding fibrosis or pulmonary embolism as alternative diagnosis: keep high index of suspicion and low threshold for contrast enhanced CT scan
- Use surveillance cultures and extra contact precautions according to the results
- Reserve antimicrobials for confirmed infections and challenge the concept of routine antibiotic coverage in patients admitted to the ICU because of COVID-19
- Use risk stratification for MDR organisms
- If started, antibiotic therapy should be chosen according to local epidemiology^a
- Apply pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic principles. Check interactions and secondary toxicities if using azoles
- Every 24 h, therapy should be reviewed to stop or de-escalate antimicrobial treatment
- Early switch from IV to oral therapy is possible
- Antibiotics should be stopped after 5–7 days for respiratory tract infections, upon improvement of signs, symptoms and inflammatory markers
- Continuous staff training

BAL, bronchoalveolar lavage; CAPA, COVID-19-associated pulmonary aspergillosis; CT, computed tomography; MDR, multidrug-resistant.

^aAccording to the criteria mentioned in local and national guidelines for CAP.

ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) (50%) and bloodstream infections (34%). Of note is that approximately one-third of all the infectious episodes were due to MDR organisms (35%).⁶⁵ In addition, it is reported that, despite 68% of patients receiving antibiotics at the time of ICU

admission, after a routine diagnostic work-up, a documented secondary infection was observed in only 1% of subjects. These findings suggest that antibiotic therapy could be withheld with limited risks in a considerable fraction of patients with COVID-19, even when severely ill, if recently

hospitalized and without septic shock or evidence of coinfection.^{10,66}

Focusing on microbiological aetiology, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Haemophilus influenzae* have been described as the most common pathogens causing respiratory coinfections, with *Enterobacteriales*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* as the most common in hospital-acquired respiratory superinfections.⁶⁰

A recent study on a large multinational cohort of 684 oncological and haematological cancer patients with COVID-19 found that coinfections were higher than in the general population (7.8%) and superinfections were documented in a similar proportion to that in the general population (19.1%), affecting mainly neutropaenic patients with high levels of C-reactive protein and ICU admission. In this cohort, lower respiratory tract infections were the most frequent infectious complications, most often caused by *S. pneumoniae* and *P. aeruginosa*, and only seven patients developed opportunistic infections.⁶⁷

Although azithromycin has been extensively used, large retrospective studies have shown a low incidence of atypical pathogen superinfections.^{6,68}

Coinfection and secondary infection have shown variable inpatient mortality among patients admitted to hospital and to ICU.^{60,69} However, coinfection and secondary infection have been associated with significantly severe disease and poor outcomes in patients complicated by septic shock and those who are immunocompromised.^{60,67}

Antibiotic utilization in COVID-19 patients

The frequency and nature of antimicrobial use are concerning, since 75–85% of COVID-19 patients have been shown to receive one or more antimicrobials at some point during their hospital admission. The highest rates of prescription have been described in the ICU setting, especially for patients requiring mechanical ventilation and for older patients.^{3,60,63,70}

Studies infrequently report the drug classes used, and prescribing has been observed to be very heterogeneous depending on geographical area. A recent systematic review found that, overall, the most common antibiotic classes prescribed were

fluoroquinolones (20.0%), macrolides (18.9%), β -lactam/ β -lactamase inhibitors (15.0%) and cephalosporins (15.0%).⁶³ Russell *et al.*⁶⁰ described frequent use of broad-spectrum agents for empirical therapy (β -lactam- β -lactamase inhibitors) for lower respiratory tract infections, empirical escalation from piperacillin-tazobactam to carbapenems in the ICU, and preferential use of carbapenems rather than carbapenem-sparing alternatives has been identified.

Improving AMS of bacterial infections in patients with COVID-19

Aetiological confirmation remains a diagnostic problem in CAP, especially in the context of COVID-19 there is no well-established list of pathogens. Diagnosis of bacterial coinfections or superinfections should always be pursued with adequate respiratory sampling from the admission of the patient prior to the initiation of antimicrobial therapy. In case of blurred clinical presentations, international protocols recommend testing other aetiologies according to local guidelines.⁷¹ Low rates of microbiological sampling in patients with COVID-19 have been reported (around 20%), lower than those reported for CAP.⁶⁰ This may be the result of overwork due to the pandemic and concerns regarding healthcare worker safety, especially for aerosol-generating procedures such as bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL), despite recent evidence supporting the fact that it can be safely carried out in COVID-19 patients.⁷² Moreover, low rates of aetiological confirmation might be due to receiving antimicrobials before sampling, decreasing the yield of bacterial cultures and the lack of sensitivity of conventional culture-based methods.

Molecular-based bacterial diagnosis is associated with greater detection of pathogens than culture-based methods but new molecular technology is far from being utilized universally as of this writing. Moreover, in patients with a positive bacterial culture or molecular result from respiratory material, most studies do not report how this result is related to a clinically or otherwise confirmed diagnosis of bacterial coinfection.⁷³

The infrequency of confirmed coinfection and timing supports restrictive empirical antimicrobial usage, especially at hospital admission.⁶⁰ Current international guidelines recommend

against the routine use of antibiotics, unless there is a high level of clinical suspicion, and vary in their recommendations on empirical antimicrobial therapy, since some recommend empirical antimicrobial therapy in severe disease, whereas others do not.^{71,74}

Distinguishing severe viral pneumonia from bacterial coinfection and secondary infection upon admission and during hospitalization is challenging.⁵³ Although clinical criteria alone may be the mainstay of the decision, clinicians should always assess the risk of a bacterial infection in patients with COVID-19, based on a combination of the clinical course of the disease and results obtained from laboratory tests and imaging.^{75,76}

Incorporating trends in inflammatory markers into decision-making could support judicious use of antimicrobials. Raised inflammatory biomarkers are the hallmark of the inflammatory phase of COVID-19, and starting an antibiotic therapy should be carefully considered.^{77,78} The absence of an elevated white cell count at baseline and antimicrobial-associated C-reactive protein (CRP) and procalcitonin (PCT) (threshold ≤ 0.25 ng/ml) might be an additional decision-making adjunct to exclude coinfection.^{77,79} However, the evidence base for such a strategy is currently limited, and the dynamic trajectories of PCT, CRP and neutrophil may show a downwards trend after tocilizumab or steroid treatment.⁸⁰ In addition, the radiographical features of bacterial infections (lobar consolidation, air bronchogram) could be useful to assist the diagnosis.

Antimicrobials should be restricted to (1) individuals with severe–critical respiratory disease, or (2) tailored to patients with atypical features of COVID-19 (clinical presentation or radiological imaging suggestive of bacterial infection) and (3) severely immunocompromised patients (use of chemotherapy for cancer, bone marrow or organ transplantation, immune deficiencies, poorly controlled HIV or AIDS, or prolonged use of corticosteroids or other immunosuppressive medications) and (4) confirmation of evidence of respiratory or distinct non-respiratory coinfection.⁸¹

Diagnostic microbiological work-up should always be performed at hospital admission before

starting empirical antimicrobial treatment, including at least blood and sputum cultures as well as *S. pneumoniae* urinary antigen to support or refute the diagnosis of bacterial coinfection. *Legionella* urinary antigen testing should be performed according to the criteria mentioned in local and national guidelines for CAP.

If empiric antibiotic treatment is administered for suspected coinfections, the choice of antimicrobial should be tailored to likely pathogens and resistance patterns based on local and national guidelines for the treatment of CAP, but routine empirical treatment of atypical pathogens is not suggested. In COVID-19 patients with suspected secondary bacterial infections, including hospital-acquired pneumonia (HAP) and VAP, it is recommended to start empirical treatment after obtaining cultures, based on previous patient microbiological history, risk of MDR, immunocompetence level and local epidemiological data and in line with local recommendations on antibacterial treatment.^{42,50,82}

When antimicrobials are started, patients should be re-evaluated daily to promptly de-escalate or stop antibiotics if there is no evidence of bacterial infection; when antimicrobials are required, treatment duration should be limited to 5–7 days if lower respiratory tract infection is suspected, upon improvement of signs, symptoms and inflammatory markers.^{77,83,84}

The epidemiology of invasive fungal infection in COVID-19

Invasive fungal infections (IFI) are usually acquired nosocomially and in the ICU setting, with a higher risk of community-acquired IFI, especially from moulds, in the immunocompromised patients. In patients at advanced stages of COVID-19, in which nosocomial risk factors add up to immunoparalysis, IFI have been reported. The epidemiology of invasive fungal infections (IFI) in critically ill, mechanically ventilated COVID-19 patients has been recently described in a comprehensive multicentre prospective cohort study performed in the United Kingdom.⁸⁵ In this study, the overall incidence of IFI was 26.7%, with COVID-19-associated pulmonary aspergillosis (CAPA) accounting for the bulk of IFI (14.1%), followed by yeast infection in 12.6% of the cases.⁸⁵

Regarding invasive candidiasis, several studies have reported variable incidence rates ranging from 0.4% to 12.6% of COVID-19 cases.^{59,64,65,70,86–89} Patients usually develop invasive candidiasis late in the course of their hospitalization (on average, > 7 days after hospital admission),⁷⁰ with many of them showing common factors associated with either invasive candidiasis or severe COVID-19 (e.g. broad-spectrum antibiotics, diabetes, older age, central venous catheter and corticosteroid therapy).^{63,90}

As for CAPA incidence, it varies extensively among the published literature, ranging from 2% to 34%.^{91–99} Reasons that may contribute to the wide variability in incidence rates include (1) differences in the diagnostic criteria applied,^{91–100} (2) a lack of sensitivity of blood tests¹⁰¹ and (3) discrepancies in sampling of the primary site of the infection. Overall, most patients developed CAPA on average between Day 4 and Day 11 after ICU admission.¹⁰² However, clinicians should be aware that in the only study involving routine bronchoscopy, up to 13% of the patients showed a positive galactomannan (GM) result (GM index > 1) in fluid at the time of ICU admission.¹⁰⁰

As we are now gathering more data regarding fungal superinfections in COVID-19 patients, less frequent opportunistic fungal pathogens are increasingly reported, including *Mucorales*, *Histoplasma* spp., *Cryptococcus* spp. and *Pneumocystis jirovecii*.¹⁰³ Regarding mucormycosis, although it is a rare fungal infection, there have been about 15,000 cases reported among COVID-19 patients as of 28 May 2021 in India,¹⁰⁴ where the prevalence of the disease is 80 times higher than in the rest of the world.¹⁰⁵ The possible reasons for the high prevalence is the abundant presence of *Mucorales* in the community and hospital environment, the improper use of steroid therapy to treat COVID-19 patients, the large number of susceptible hosts (especially diabetics with late diagnosis or underdiagnosed obese patients) and the possible improper use or contamination of oxygen or nebulizer devices.¹⁰⁶

Antifungal utilization in COVID-19 patients

While awareness regarding IFI in patients with COVID-19 has increased, there are few reliable and systematically reported data on antifungal

consumption in COVID-19 patients.^{107,108} In terms of benchmarking data, a single-centre study at Virginia Commonwealth University Hospital (865 beds) found no significant increase in the overall number of days of therapy per 1000 patient days for either April 2020 or May 2020 when compared to April 2019–March 2020.¹⁰⁷ In another study consisting of a point-prevalence survey of prescribing treatment across acute hospitals in Scotland, systemic antifungals were prescribed in about one in ten critically ill patients (12/122), and in half of cases, therapy was considered as targeted treatment.¹⁰⁸

Although gathering baseline data is of paramount importance for defining prescribing trends and identifying areas of improvement,¹⁰⁹ none of the aforementioned studies assessed the prescribing quality of antifungals in terms of indications, dosage or length of treatment.³⁶ Accordingly, it is not possible to evaluate what proportion of COVID-19 patients have been given antifungal treatment deemed unnecessary.

Improving AFS of fungal infections in patients with COVID-19

An area of great interest in terms of AFS is represented by CAPA, as it has been associated with a mortality rate of up to 90%.⁸⁵ Because diagnosis of CAPA is a challenge, we suggest maintaining a high index of suspicion in order to reach an accurate diagnosis.¹¹⁰ In our opinion, CAPA should be always suspected and ruled out in patients with (1) refractory fever for more than 3 days despite appropriate antibiotic therapy and in the absence of any other plausible causes; (2) worsening respiratory status; (3) chest pain, haemoptysis or pleural friction rub; and (4) nodules or cavitation in chest computed tomography (CT).¹¹¹ The recommendation to perform bronchoscopy with fungal cultures and non-culture-based methods would apply even if a positive culture result might have been achieved accidentally or if other agents had already been isolated but clinical suspicion remains high.¹¹⁰

Classic risk factors for invasive pulmonary aspergillosis (IPA) include prolonged neutropaenia, receipt of high doses of corticosteroids and impaired cellular immunity.^{110,112,113} However, several case series of patients with CAPA indicate that most COVID-19 patients have no traditional

predisposing host factors for developing IPA.^{88,91,111} It is still unclear if SARS-CoV-2 infection itself represents the main risk factor for developing CAPA or whether additional predisposing conditions, such as intubation, older age, corticosteroids or treatment with IL-6 antagonist receptor further increase the risk for disease progression.^{111,114} In the study by Bartoletti *et al.*, corticosteroid treatment was more common in patients with CAPA and corticosteroid use was more frequently associated with in-hospital mortality.¹⁰⁰

Further complicating the diagnosis of CAPA is the hesitancy in performing diagnostic bronchoscopy with BAL, as it requires prolonged patient contact, with a theoretical increase in aerosol exposure of healthcare workers.^{115,116} However, because bronchoscopy is of paramount importance in disease work-up for IPA and because recent evidence supports the fact that it can be safely carried out in COVID-19 patients,⁷² we suggest performing lower respiratory tract sampling whenever a clinical suspicion of CAPA exists. In this sense, mycological diagnosis usually relies on the detection of GM from BAL fluid, with the likelihood of the infection increasing if serum circulating GM is also detected.¹⁰⁰ However, the diagnostic yields of serum GM in CAPA are very low, with a sensitivity of only 20–50%, that significantly decreases its value for ruling out the disease in daily clinical practice.¹⁰² Due to the restricted availability of BAL, alternative specimens have also been proposed including sputum, bronchial aspirates and tracheal aspirates.¹⁰² However, these specimens lack validation of *Aspergillus* biomarkers.

Another test that may be applied is the (1,3)- β -D-glucan; while it is more sensitive than GM, its specificity is limited by the fact that (1,3)- β -d-glucan is a polysaccharide component of the cell wall of many pathogenic fungi other than *Mucorales* and *Cryptococcus*.^{102,110} Performance of *Aspergillus* molecular testing for the diagnosis of CAPA is yet unknown.¹⁰²

Another major difficulty regarding CAPA diagnosis is the specificity of CT patterns as many signs of COVID-19 pneumonia can mimic those of CAPA and vice versa and many lesions, suggesting CAPA may be hidden. As suggested by recent consensus criteria for CAPA diagnosis,⁷² the

evidence of multiple pulmonary nodules or lung cavitation should prompt a thorough investigation for IPA as they are rarely observed in patients with COVID-19 alone.⁸⁵ Moreover, CT may continue to identify other reasons for respiratory deterioration.¹⁰²

Considering the inherent difficulties in diagnosing CAPA, an expert panel recently published a consensus definition that defined CAPA as IPA in temporal proximity to a preceding SARS-CoV-2 infection (positive real-time PCR for SARS-CoV-2 any time during 2 weeks between hospital admission and ICU admission).¹¹¹ In particular, the consensus proposed three different grades, categorizing patients as possible, probable or proven CAPA¹¹¹ (Table 3).

As for treatment, voriconazole currently represents the first-line treatment for IPA.^{102,110,111} However, there are many drawbacks associated with voriconazole treatment including major drug–drug interactions,¹⁰⁹ the requirement for therapeutic drug monitoring¹¹⁷ and the limited spectrum of activity. Although there are few data outside the haematological setting, isavuconazole goes beyond these limits, offering a wider spectrum of antifungal activity than voriconazole, fewer toxicities and fewer drug–drug interactions as well as a lack of cyclodextrin, which is a solubilizing agent used in some other azoles (e.g. voriconazole), that can accumulate in renal failure after intravenous administration and potentially cause nephrotoxicity.¹¹⁸ Finally, clinicians should be aware that case reports of azole-resistant CAPA are progressively being published.^{98,119} In this circumstance, treating CAPA patients with liposomal amphotericin B might be a reasonable alternative.^{118,120,121}

Conclusion and future perspective

As the global pandemic continues, there is an urgent need to characterize bacterial and fungal infections in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 to determine optimal empirical antimicrobial management strategies and identify targets for AMS to prevent the vicious circle of antibiotic misuse, with deleterious consequences on individual patients and global ecology. Research priorities for strengthening AMS in COVID-19 include identification of the pathophysiological pathway of disease in the different

Table 3. Proposed case definition for CAPA (adapted from Kohler *et al.*).⁹⁷

Proven CAPA	Patient with COVID-19 needing intensive care and a temporal relationship (entry criterion)		At least one of the following: histopathological or direct microscopic detection of fungal hyphae, showing invasive growth with associated tissue damage; or aspergillus recovered by culture, microscopy, histology or PCR obtained by sterile aspiration or biopsy from a pulmonary site, showing an infectious disease process
Probable CAPA	Patient with COVID-19 needing intensive care and a temporal relationship (entry criterion)	Pulmonary infiltrate, preferably documented by chest CT, or cavitating infiltrate (not attributed to another cause)	At least one of the following: microscopic detection of fungal elements in bronchoalveolar lavage, indicating a mould; positive bronchoalveolar lavage culture; serum galactomannan index > 0.5 or serum LFA index > 0.5; bronchoalveolar lavage galactomannan index \geq 1.0 or bronchoalveolar lavage LFA index \geq 1.0; two or more positive <i>Aspergillus</i> PCR tests in plasma, serum or whole blood; a single positive <i>Aspergillus</i> PCR in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (< 36 cycles); or a single positive <i>Aspergillus</i> PCR in plasma, serum or whole blood, and a single positive in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (any threshold cycle permitted)
Possible CAPA	Patient with COVID-19 needing intensive care and a temporal relationship (entry criterion)	Pulmonary infiltrate, preferably documented by chest CT, or cavitating infiltrate (not attributed to another cause)	At least one of the following: microscopic detection of fungal elements in non-bronchoscopic lavage indicating a mould; positive non-bronchoscopic lavage culture; single non-bronchoscopic lavage galactomannan index > 4.5; non-bronchoscopic lavage galactomannan index > 1.2 twice or more; or non-bronchoscopic lavage galactomannan index > 1.2 plus another positive non-bronchoscopic lavage mycology test (non-bronchoscopic lavage PCR or LFA)

CAPA, COVID-19-associated pulmonary aspergillosis; CT, computed tomography; IPA, invasive pulmonary aspergillosis; LFA, lateral flow assay; PCR, polymerase chain reaction.

phases, the description of infection biomarker dynamics and the role of imaging in COVID-19 patients with and without superinfection and investigation of the impact of rapid molecular tests for bacterial, fungal and viral coinfection.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethics approval was not required as this is a narrative review.

Author contribution(s)

Maddalena Peghin: Conceptualization; Methodology; Supervision; Validation; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing.

Antonio Vena: Conceptualization; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing.

Elena Graziano: Writing – original draft.

Daniele Roberto Giacobbe: Writing – review & editing.

Carlo Tascini: Writing – review & editing.

Matteo Bassetti: Conceptualization; Methodology; Supervision; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing.

ORCID iD

Maddalena Peghin  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4640-679X>

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

Availability of data and materials

Data supporting the review are in the published articles of the bibliography

References

1. Smith L, Karaba SM, Amoah J, *et al.* Hospital-acquired infections among adult patients admitted for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Infect Cont Hosp Ep.* Epub ahead of print 13 April 2021. DOI: 10.1017/ice.2021.148.
2. Lansbury L, Lim B, Baskaran V, *et al.* Co-infections in people with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Infect* 2020; 81: 266–275.
3. Langford BJ, So M, Raybardhan S, *et al.* Bacterial co-infection and secondary infection in patients with COVID-19: a living rapid review and meta-analysis. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2020; 26: 1622–1629.
4. Magnasco L, Mikulska M, Giacobbe DR, *et al.* Spread of carbapenem-resistant gram-negatives and *Candida auris* during the COVID-19 pandemic in critically ill patients: one step back in antimicrobial stewardship? *Microorganisms* 2021; 9: 95.
5. Abelenda-Alonso G, Padullés A, Rombauts A, *et al.* Antibiotic prescription during the COVID-19 pandemic: a biphasic pattern. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2020; 41: 1371–1372.
6. Grau S, Echeverría-Esnal D, Gómez-Zorrilla S, *et al.* Evolution of antimicrobial consumption during the first wave of COVID-19 pandemic. *Antibiotics (Basel)* 2021; 10: 132.
7. Rose AN, Baggs J, Wolford H, *et al.* Trends in antibiotic use in united states hospitals during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic. *Open Forum Infect Dis* 2021; 8: ofab236.
8. Istituto Superiore di Sanità. Caratteristiche dei pazienti deceduti positivi all'infezione da SARS-CoV-2 in Italia, 2021, <https://www.epicentro.iss.it/coronavirus/sars-cov-2-decessi-italia>
9. Bassetti M, Giacobbe DR, Bruzzi P, *et al.* Clinical management of adult patients with COVID-19 outside intensive care units: guidelines from the Italian Society of Anti-Infective Therapy (SITA) and the Italian Society of Pulmonology (SIP). *Infect Dis Ther* 2021; 10: 1837–1885.
10. De Waele JJ, Derde L and Bassetti M. Antimicrobial stewardship in ICUs during the COVID-19 pandemic: back to the 90s? *Intensive Care Med* 2021; 47: 104–106.
11. Dyar OJ, Huttner B, Schouten J, *et al.* What is antimicrobial stewardship? *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2017; 23: 793–798.
12. Barlam TF, Cosgrove SE, Abbo LM, *et al.* Implementing an antibiotic stewardship program: guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. *Clin Infect Dis* 2016; 62: e51–e77.
13. Aaboud M, Aad G, Abbott B, *et al.* Search for doubly charged scalar bosons decaying into same-sign W boson pairs with the ATLAS detector. *Eur Phys J C* 2019; 79: 58.
14. Davey P, Marwick CA, Scott CL, *et al.* Interventions to improve antibiotic prescribing practices for hospital inpatients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017; 2: CD003543.
15. Patel PK. Applying the horizontal and vertical paradigm to antimicrobial stewardship. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2017; 38: 532–533.
16. Tabah A, Bassetti M, Kollef MH, *et al.* Antimicrobial de-escalation in critically ill patients: a position statement from a task force of the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine (ESICM) and European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ESCMID) Critically Ill Patients Study Group (ESGCIP). *Intensive Care Med* 2020; 46: 245–265.
17. Ashley ED and Spires SS. Regarding collaboration in antimicrobial stewardship. *Infect Dis Clin North Am* 2020; 34: xi–xii.
18. Bourke J, Pavlos R, James I, *et al.* Improving the effectiveness of penicillin allergy de-labeling. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2015; 3: 365–334.
19. Falcone M, Paul M, Yahav D, *et al.* Antimicrobial consumption and impact of antimicrobial stewardship programmes in long-term care facilities. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2019; 25: 562–569.
20. Arieti F, Göpel S, Sibani M, *et al.* White Paper: bridging the gap between surveillance data and antimicrobial stewardship in the outpatient sector-practical guidance from the JPIAMR ARCH and COMBACTE-MAGNET EPI-Net networks. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2020; 75(Suppl. 2): ii42–ii51.
21. Palavecino EL, Williamson JC and Ohl CA. Collaborative antimicrobial stewardship: working with microbiology. *Infect Dis Clin North Am* 2020; 34: 51–65.
22. Kuper KM and Hamilton KW. Collaborative antimicrobial stewardship: working with information technology. *Infect Dis Clin North Am* 2020; 34: 31–49.
23. Viasus D, Vecino-Moreno M, De La Hoz JM, *et al.* Antibiotic stewardship in community-acquired

- pneumonia. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther* 2017; 15: 351–359.
24. Ciarkowski CE, Timbrook TT, Kukhareva PV, *et al.* A pathway for community-acquired pneumonia with rapid conversion to oral therapy improves health care value. *Open Forum Infect Dis* 2020; 7: ofaa497.
 25. Li DX, Ferrada MA, Avdic E, *et al.* Sustained impact of an antibiotic stewardship intervention for community-acquired pneumonia. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2016; 37: 1243–1246.
 26. Burk M, El-Kersh K, Saad M, *et al.* Viral infection in community-acquired pneumonia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Respir Rev* 2016; 25: 178–188.
 27. Shengchen D, Gu X, Fan G, *et al.* Evaluation of a molecular point-of-care testing for viral and atypical pathogens on intravenous antibiotic duration in hospitalized adults with lower respiratory tract infection: a randomized clinical trial. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2019; 25: 1415–1421.
 28. Schuetz P, Wirz Y, Sager R, *et al.* Effect of procalcitonin-guided antibiotic treatment on mortality in acute respiratory infections: a patient level meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2018; 18: 95–107.
 29. Schuetz P, Beishuizen A, Broyles M, *et al.* Procalcitonin (PCT)-guided antibiotic stewardship: an international experts consensus on optimized clinical use. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2019; 57: 1308–1318.
 30. Parente DM, Cunha CB, Mylonakis E, *et al.* The clinical utility of Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) nasal screening to rule out MRSA pneumonia: a diagnostic meta-analysis with antimicrobial stewardship implications. *Clin Infect Dis* 2018; 67: 1–7.
 31. Wattengel BA, Sellick JA, Skelly MK, *et al.* Outpatient antimicrobial stewardship: targets for community-acquired pneumonia. *Clin Ther* 2019; 41: 466–476.
 32. Vena A, Bouza E, Corisco R, *et al.* Efficacy of a ‘checklist’ intervention bundle on the clinical outcome of patients with *Candida* bloodstream infections: a quasi-experimental pre-post study. *Infect Dis Ther* 2020; 9: 119–135.
 33. Menichetti F, Bertolino G, Sozio E, *et al.* Impact of infectious diseases consultation as a part of an antifungal stewardship programme on candidemia outcome in an Italian tertiary-care, University hospital. *J Chemother* 2018; 30: 304–309.
 34. Tascini C, Bertolino G, Sozio E, *et al.* Antifungal stewardship programs and candidemia. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020; 70: 1522–1523.
 35. Markogiannakis A, Korantanis K, Gamaletsou MN, *et al.* Impact of a non-compulsory antifungal stewardship program on overuse and misuse of antifungal agents in a tertiary care hospital. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2021; 57: 106255.
 36. Munoz P, Valerio M, Vena A, *et al.* Antifungal stewardship in daily practice and health economic implications. *Mycoses* 2015; 58(Suppl. 2): 14–25.
 37. Machado M, Chamorro de Vega E, Martinez-Jimenez MDC, *et al.* Utility of 1,3 beta-d-Glucan assay for guidance in antifungal stewardship programs for oncologic patients and solid organ transplant recipients. *J Fungi (Basel)* 2021; 7: 59.
 38. Murri R, Lardo S, De Luca A, *et al.* Post-prescription audit plus beta-d-glucan assessment decrease echinocandin use in people with suspected invasive candidiasis. *Medicina (Kaunas)* 2021; 57: 656.
 39. Yusef D, Hayajneh WA, Al-Azzam S, *et al.* Impact of antimicrobial stewardship interventions on reducing antifungal use in hospitals in Jordan. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. Epub ahead of print 6 April 2021. DOI: 10.1017/ice.2021.105.
 40. Jorgenson MR, Descourouez JL, Schulz LT, *et al.* The development and implementation of stewardship initiatives to optimize the prevention and treatment of cytomegalovirus infection in solid-organ transplant recipients. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2020; 41: 1068–1074.
 41. Goetz MB, Graber CJ, Jones MM, *et al.* Antibiotic use at veterans affairs’ hospitals increases during COVID-19 pandemic, reversing a four-year downward trend, 2020, <https://www.idsociety.org/news—publications-new/articles/2020/antibiotic-use-at-veterans-affairs-hospitals-increases-during-covid-19-pandemic-reversing-a-four-year-downward-trend/#:~:text=%E2%80%9494New%20research%20shows%20a%20widespread,being%20presented%20at%20IDWeek%202020>.
 42. Rawson TM, Moore LSP, Zhu N, *et al.* Bacterial and fungal coinfection in individuals with coronavirus: a rapid review to support COVID-19 antimicrobial prescribing. *Clin Infect Dis* 2020; 71: 2459–2468.
 43. Pulcini C, Morel CM, Tacconelli E, *et al.* Human resources estimates and funding for antibiotic stewardship teams are urgently needed. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2017; 23: 785–787.

44. Echeverría-Esnal D, Martín-Ontiyuelo C, Navarrete-Rouco ME, *et al.* Azithromycin in the treatment of COVID-19: a review. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther* 2021; 19: 147–163.
45. Sepulveda J, Westblade LF, Whittier S, *et al.* Bacteremia and blood culture utilization during COVID-19 surge in New York City. *J Clin Microbiol* 2020; 58: e00875-20.
46. Esquer Garrigos Z, Wingler MJB, Svoronos PA, *et al.* Increased rates of blood culture contamination during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. Epub ahead of print 24 June 2021. DOI: 10.1017/ice.2021.292.
47. Yu D, Ininbergs K, Hedman K, *et al.* Low prevalence of bloodstream infection and high blood culture contamination rates in patients with COVID-19. *PLoS ONE* 2020; 15: e0242533.
48. Meda M, Gentry V, Reidy P, *et al.* Unintended consequences of long-sleeved gowns in a critical care setting during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Hosp Infect* 2020; 106: 605–609.
49. Tiri B, Sensi E, Marsiliani V, *et al.* Antimicrobial stewardship program, COVID-19, and infection control: spread of carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* colonization in ICU COVID-19 patients. What did not work? *J Clin Med* 2020; 9: 2744.
50. Bassetti M, Carnelutti A and Peghin M. Patient specific risk stratification for antimicrobial resistance and possible treatment strategies in gram-negative bacterial infections. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther* 2017; 15: 55–65.
51. Murgadella-Sancho A, Coloma-Conde A and Oriol-Bermudez I. Impact of the strategies implemented by an antimicrobial stewardship program on the antibiotic consumption in the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. Epub ahead of print 21 May 2021. DOI: 10.1017/ice.2021.237.
52. Pettit NN, Nguyen CT, Lew AK, *et al.* Reducing the use of empiric antibiotic therapy in COVID-19 on hospital admission. *BMC Infect Dis* 2021; 21: 516.
53. Karaba SM, Jones G, Helsel T, *et al.* Prevalence of co-infection at the time of hospital admission in covid-19 patients, a multicenter study. *Open Forum Infect Dis* 2021; 8: ofaa578.
54. Mazdeyasna H, Nori P, Patel P, *et al.* Antimicrobial stewardship at the core of COVID-19 response efforts: implications for sustaining and building programs. *Curr Infect Dis Rep* 2020; 22: 23.
55. Colaneri M, Valsecchi P, Vecchia M, *et al.* What prompts clinicians to start antibiotic treatment in COVID-19 patients? An Italian web survey helps us to understand where the doubts lie. *J Glob Antimicrob Resist* 2021; 26: 74–76.
56. Huttner BD, Catho G, Pano-Pardo JR, *et al.* COVID-19: don't neglect antimicrobial stewardship principles!. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2020; 26: 808–810.
57. www.covid-trials.org (accessed 30 September 2021).
58. Klein EY, Monteforte B, Gupta A, *et al.* The frequency of influenza and bacterial coinfection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses* 2016; 10: 394–403.
59. Garcia-Vidal C, Sanjuan G, Moreno-Garcia E, *et al.* Incidence of co-infections and superinfections in hospitalized patients with COVID-19: a retrospective cohort study. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2021; 27: 83–88.
60. Russell CD, Fairfield CJ, Drake TM, *et al.* Co-infections, secondary infections, and antimicrobial use in patients hospitalised with COVID-19 during the first pandemic wave from the ISARIC WHO CCP-UK study: a multicentre, prospective cohort study. *Lancet Microbe*. Epub ahead of print 2 June 2021. DOI: 10.1016/S2666-5247(21)00090-2.
61. Youngs J, Wyncoll D, Hopkins P, *et al.* Improving antibiotic stewardship in COVID-19: bacterial co-infection is less common than with influenza. *J Infect* 2020; 81: e55–e57.
62. Morris DE, Cleary DW and Clarke SC. Secondary bacterial infections associated with influenza pandemics. *Front Microbiol* 2017; 8: 1041.
63. Langford BJ, So M, Raybardhan S, *et al.* Antibiotic prescribing in patients with COVID-19: rapid review and meta-analysis. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2021; 27: 520–531.
64. Giacobbe DR, Battaglini D, Ball L, *et al.* Bloodstream infections in critically ill patients with COVID-19. *Eur J Clin Invest* 2020; 50: e13319.
65. Grasselli G, Scaravilli V, Mangioni D, *et al.* Hospital-acquired infections in critically ill patients with COVID-19. *Chest* 2021; 160: 454–465.
66. Ripa M and Mastrangelo A. The elephant in the room: secondary infections and antimicrobial use in patients with COVID-19. *Chest* 2021; 160: 387–388.

67. Gudiol C, Dura-Miralles X, Aguilar-Company J, *et al.* Co-infections and superinfections complicating COVID-19 in cancer patients: a multicentre, international study. *J Infect* 2021; 83: 306–313.
68. Kim D, Quinn J, Pinsky B, *et al.* Rates of co-infection between SARS-CoV-2 and other respiratory pathogens. *JAMA* 2020; 323: 2085–2086.
69. Goncalves Mendes Neto A, Lo KB, Wattoo A, *et al.* Bacterial infections and patterns of antibiotic use in patients with COVID-19. *J Med Virol* 2021; 93: 1489–1495.
70. Falcone M, Tiseo G, Giordano C, *et al.* Predictors of hospital-acquired bacterial and fungal superinfections in COVID-19: a prospective observational study. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2021; 76: 1078–1084.
71. WHO. *COVID-19 Clinical management: living guidance*. Geneva: WHO, 2021.
72. Koehler P, Cornely OA and Kochanek M. Bronchoscopy safety precautions for diagnosing COVID-19 associated pulmonary aspergillosis-A simulation study. *Mycoses* 2021; 64: 55–59.
73. Musuuza JS, Watson L, Parmasad V, *et al.* Prevalence and outcomes of co-infection and superinfection with SARS-CoV-2 and other pathogens: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE* 2021; 16: e0251170.
74. The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). *COVID-19 rapid guideline: Managing COVID-19*. London: NICE, 2021.
75. Kalil AC, Metersky ML, Klompas M, *et al.* Management of adults with hospital-acquired and ventilator-associated pneumonia: 2016 clinical practice guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the American Thoracic Society. *Clin Infect Dis* 2016; 63: e61–e111.
76. Metlay JP, Waterer GW, Long AC, *et al.* Diagnosis and treatment of adults with community-acquired pneumonia. An official clinical practice guideline of the American Thoracic Society and Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2019; 200: e45–e67.
77. Mason CY, Kanitkar T, Richardson CJ, *et al.* Exclusion of bacterial co-infection in COVID-19 using baseline inflammatory markers and their response to antibiotics. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2021; 76: 1323–1331.
78. Pink I, Raupach D, Fuge J, *et al.* C-reactive protein and procalcitonin for antimicrobial stewardship in COVID-19. *Infection* 2021; 49: 935–943.
79. Williams EJ, Mair L, de Silva TI, *et al.* Evaluation of procalcitonin as a contribution to antimicrobial stewardship in SARS-CoV-2 infection: a retrospective cohort study. *J Hosp Infect* 2021; 110: 103–107.
80. Hu Q, Xu Y, Xiang Y, *et al.* Inflammation characteristics and anti-inflammation treatment with tocilizumab of severe/critical COVID-19 patients: a retrospective cohort study. *Int J Biol Sci* 2021; 17: 2124–2134.
81. Alhazzani W, Evans L, Alshamsi F, *et al.* Surviving sepsis campaign guidelines on the management of adults with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in the ICU: first update. *Crit Care Med* 2021; 49: e219–e34.
82. Bassetti M, Righi E, Vena A, *et al.* Risk stratification and treatment of ICU-acquired pneumonia caused by multidrug-resistant/extensively drug-resistant/pandrug-resistant bacteria. *Curr Opin Crit Care* 2018; 24: 385–393.
83. Hughes S, Troise O, Donaldson H, *et al.* Bacterial and fungal coinfection among hospitalized patients with COVID-19: a retrospective cohort study in a UK secondary-care setting. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2020; 26: 1395–1399.
84. Sieswerda E, de Boer MGJ, Bonten MMJ, *et al.* Recommendations for antibacterial therapy in adults with COVID-19 – an evidence based guideline. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2021; 27: 61–66.
85. White PL, Dhillon R, Cordey A, *et al.* A national strategy to diagnose COVID-19 associated invasive fungal disease in the ICU. *Clin Infect Dis* 2021; 73: e1634–e1644.
86. Antinori S, Bonazzetti C, Gubertini G, *et al.* Tocilizumab for cytokine storm syndrome in COVID-19 pneumonia: an increased risk for candidemia? *Autoimmun Rev* 2020; 19: 102564.
87. Arastehfar A, Shaban T, Zarrinfar H, *et al.* Candidemia among Iranian Patients with Severe COVID-19 Admitted to ICUs. *J Fungi (Basel)* 2021; 7: 280.
88. Arastehfar A, Carvalho A, Nguyen MH, *et al.* COVID-19-Associated Candidiasis (CAC): an underestimated complication in the absence of immunological predispositions? *J Fungi (Basel)* 2020; 6: 211.
89. Nucci M, Barreiros G, Guimaraes LF, *et al.* Increased incidence of candidemia in a tertiary care hospital with the COVID-19 pandemic. *Mycoses* 2021; 64: 152–156.

90. Vena A, Giacobbe DR, Di Biagio A, *et al.* Clinical characteristics, management and in-hospital mortality of patients with coronavirus disease 2019 in Genoa, Italy. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2020; 26: 1537–1544.
91. Alanio A, Delliere S, Fodil S, *et al.* Prevalence of putative invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in critically ill patients with COVID-19. *Lancet Respir Med* 2020; 8: e48–e49.
92. Chauvet P, Mallat J, Arumadura C, *et al.* Risk factors for invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in critically ill patients with coronavirus disease 2019-induced acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Crit Care Explor* 2020; 2: e0244.
93. Delliere S, Dudoignon E, Fodil S, *et al.* Risk factors associated with COVID-19-associated pulmonary aspergillosis in ICU patients: a French multicentric retrospective cohort. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2020; 27: 790.e1–790.e5.
94. Fekkar A, Lampros A, Mayaux J, *et al.* Occurrence of invasive pulmonary fungal infections in patients with severe COVID-19 admitted to the ICU. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2021; 203: 307–317.
95. Lahmer T, Kriescher S, Herner A, *et al.* Invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in critically ill patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia: results from the prospective AspCOVID-19 study. *PLoS ONE* 2021; 16: e0238825.
96. Lamoth F, Glampedakis E, Boillat-Blanco N, *et al.* Incidence of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis among critically ill COVID-19 patients. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2020; 26: 1706–1708.
97. Machado M, Valerio M, Alvarez-Uria A, *et al.* Invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in the COVID-19 era: an expected new entity. *Mycoses* 2021; 64: 132–143.
98. Meijer EFJ, Dofferhoff ASM, Hoiting O, *et al.* COVID-19-associated pulmonary aspergillosis: a prospective single-center dual case series. *Mycoses* 2021; 64: 457–464.
99. Velez Pintado M, Camiro-Zuniga A, Aguilar Soto M, *et al.* COVID-19-associated invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in a tertiary care center in Mexico City. *Med Mycol* 2021; 59: 828–833.
100. Bartoletti M, Pascale R, Cricca M, *et al.* Epidemiology of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis among COVID-19 intubated patients: a prospective study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2021; 73: e3606–e3614.
101. Jenks JD, Mehta SR, Taplitz R, *et al.* Point-of-care diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis in non-neutropenic patients: aspergillus galactomannan lateral flow assay versus aspergillus-specific lateral flow device test in bronchoalveolar lavage. *Mycoses* 2019; 62: 230–236.
102. Verweij PE, Bruggemann RJM, Azoulay E, *et al.* Taskforce report on the diagnosis and clinical management of COVID-19 associated pulmonary aspergillosis. *Intensive Care Med* 2021; 47: 819–834.
103. Song G, Liang G and Liu W. Fungal co-infections associated with global COVID-19 pandemic: a clinical and diagnostic perspective from China. *Mycopathologia* 2020; 185: 599–606.
104. Raut A and Huy NT. Rising incidence of mucormycosis in patients with COVID-19: another challenge for India amidst the second wave? *Lancet Respir Med* 2021; 9: E77.
105. Singh AK, Singh R, Joshi SR, *et al.* Mucormycosis in COVID-19: a systematic review of cases reported worldwide and in India. *Diabetes Metab Syndr* 2021; 15: 102146.
106. Prakash H and Chakrabarti A. Epidemiology of mucormycosis in India. *Microorganisms* 2021; 9: 323.
107. Nestler M, Godbout E, Lee K, *et al.* Fungal superinfection in patients with COVID-19: role of antifungal stewardship? *Am J Infect Control* 2021; 49: 279–280.
108. Seaton RA, Gibbons CL, Cooper L, *et al.* Survey of antibiotic and antifungal prescribing in patients with suspected and confirmed COVID-19 in Scottish hospitals. *J Infect* 2020; 81: 952–960.
109. Valerio M, Vena A, Rodriguez-Gonzalez CG, *et al.* Repeated antifungal use audits are essential for selecting the targets for intervention in antifungal stewardship. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2018; 37: 1993–2000.
110. Bassetti M, Peghin M and Vena A. Challenges and solution of invasive aspergillosis in non-neutropenic patients: a review. *Infect Dis Ther* 2018; 7: 17–27.
111. Koehler P, Bassetti M, Chakrabarti A, *et al.* Defining and managing COVID-19-associated pulmonary aspergillosis: the 2020 ECMM/ISHAM consensus criteria for research and clinical guidance. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2021; 21: e149–e162.
112. Munoz P, Vena A, Ceron I, *et al.* Invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in heart transplant recipients: two radiologic patterns with a

- different prognosis. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2014; 33: 1034–1040.
113. Lopez-Medrano F, Fernandez-Ruiz M, Silva JT, *et al.* Multinational case-control study of risk factors for the development of late invasive pulmonary aspergillosis following kidney transplantation. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2018; 24: 192–198.
114. Prattes J, Wauters J, Giacobbe DR, *et al.* Risk factors and outcome of pulmonary aspergillosis in critically ill coronavirus disease 2019 patients – a multinational observational study by the European Confederation of Medical Mycology. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2021; 28: 580–587.
115. Pritchett MA, Oberg CL, Belanger A, *et al.* Society for Advanced Bronchoscopy Consensus Statement and Guidelines for bronchoscopy and airway management amid the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Thorac Dis* 2020; 12: 1781–1798.
116. Wahidi MM, Shojaee S, Lamb CR, *et al.* The use of bronchoscopy during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic: CHEST/AABIP guideline and expert panel report. *Chest* 2020; 158: 1268–1281.
117. Vena A, Munoz P, Mateos M, *et al.* Therapeutic drug monitoring of antifungal drugs: another tool to improve patient outcome? *Infect Dis Ther* 2020; 9: 137–149.
118. Patterson TF, Thompson GR 3rd, Denning DW, *et al.* Executive summary: practice guidelines for the diagnosis and management of aspergillosis: 2016 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis* 2016; 63: 433–442.
119. Helleberg M, Steensen M and Arendrup MC. Invasive aspergillosis in patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2021; 27: 147–148.
120. Bassetti M, Vena A, Bouza E, *et al.* Antifungal susceptibility testing in *Candida*, *Aspergillus* and *Cryptococcus* infections: are the MICs useful for clinicians? *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2020; 26: 1024–1033.
121. Meijer EFJ, Dofferhoff ASM, Hoiting O, *et al.* Azole-resistant COVID-19-associated pulmonary aspergillosis in an immunocompetent host: a case report. *J Fungi (Basel)* 2020; 6: 79.