

#### Università degli studi di Udine

High performance liquid chromatographic determination of 2-furaldehyde and 5- hydroxymethyl-2-furaldehyde in fruit juices

Original
Availability:
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/11390/745062 since
Publisher: Austrian Chemical Society
Published DOI:
Terms of use: The institutional repository of the University of Udine (http://air.uniud.it) is provided by ARIC services. The aim is to enable open access to all the world.
Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

# Current Status and Future Trends in Analytical Food Chemistry

Proceedings of the Eight European Conference on Food Chemistry (EURO FOOD CHEM VIII)

Vienna, Austria, September 18-20, 1995 FECS Event No. 206

## Volume 2

**Poster Presentations** 

Austrian Chemical Society (GÖCh)
Working Party on Food Chemistry, Cosmetics and Tensides
A-1010 Vienna, Nibelungengasse 11, Austria

HIGH PERFORMANCE LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHIC DETERMINATION OF 2-FURALDEHYDE AND 5-HYDROXYMETHYL-2-FURALDEHYDE IN FRUIT JUICES

F. LO COCO1, C. VALENTINI2, V. NOVELLI1 and L. CECCON3

'Dipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie Chimiche, Università di Udine, Via Cotonificio 108, 33100 UDINE, ITALY

<sup>2</sup>Laboratorio Chimico delle Dogane di Venezia, Via Cà Marcello 15, 30172 MESTRE, ITALY <sup>3</sup>Dipartimento di Economia e Merceologia delle Risorse Naturali e della Produzione, Università di Trieste, Via Valerio 6, 34127 TRIESTE, ITALY

<u>keyvords:</u> high performance liquid chromatography, 2-furaldehyde, 5-hydroxy-methyl-2-furaldehyde, fruit juices, 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazones

#### Abstract

A method for the determination of 2-furaldehyde (F) and 5-hydroxymethyl-2-furaldehyde (HMF) in fruit juices by high performance liquid chromatography is described. The method is based on the formation of the 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazones of carbonyl compounds and subsequent separation of these derivatives. The procedure offers a high specificity and a detection limit of the order of 10-8 mol/1. Recoveries of 93-96% were obtained from an orange juice spiked at different levels with both analytes. The reproducibility (mean of six determinations) is ±2% for F and ±3% for HMF.

### . Introduction

as changes and F content has been demonstrated; for this reason the F content is useful stored at improper temperatures (6,7,12,13). A close relationship between virtually absent in fresh juices, whereas large amounts have been found in flavor, taste, color and nutritional changes when stored at warm temperatures and/or indicators of temperature abuse in fruit juices (3,4,7,9-12). In processing or storage at improper temperatures; for this reason both are useful for prolonged periods fruit juices is an indication of quality deterioration (1-5). Fruit juices undergo The occurrence of 2-furaldehyde (F) and 5-hydroxymethyl-2-furaldehyde (HMF) in am off-flavor indicator (6-10,12). On of time (4,6-9). Both F and HMF are formed during the other hand, HMF is particular, F is correlated with thermal juices

browning reactions (4,9,10,12).

The classical methods for the quantitative determination of F and/or HMF in fruit juices are based on spectrophotometric measurements (6,8,10,11,13). These methods I) are time consuming, II) make use of toxic or anyhow hazardous chemicals, III) require a strict control of both reaction time and temperature, since the instability of the reaction product may lead to low recoveries and wide statistical variations of the results and IV) no one of the methods is specific (1,2,7,10,11,13). In recent years, high performance liquid chromatographic (HPLC) methods have been proposed (1-5,7,10,12). These methods are less time consuming, offer improved accuracy, sensitivity and specificity and utilize less hazardous reagents (4,7,10).

In this paper a method is described that is based on the formation of the 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazones (DNPH-ones) of carbonyl compounds. The DNPH-ones are then separated by HPLC and determined with spectrophotometric detection.

## Materials and methods

These were the same as already described in previous papers (14-16).

## Results and discussion

## 3.1 Optimization of the derivatization step

The HPLC methods so far proposed for the determination of F and/or HMF in fruit juices provide for the injection of the sample without derivatization (1-5,7,10,12). However, we made the juice to undergo derivatization in order to obtain the DNPH-ones of the carbonyl compounds present. The sensitivity and selectivity of the method can therefore be improved. This type of derivatization has been already employed for the determination of F and/or HMF in other kinds of food matrices (14-16).

The DNPH-ones are usually obtained by employing an excess of 2,4-dinitrophenyl-hydrazine (DNPH) aqueous solution in the presence of hydrochloric acid. The utilization by us of an acetonitrile DNPH solution in the presence of perchloric acid offers the advantage of obtaining a derivative solution that may be injected directly into the HPLC system. Long and tedious steps, such as filtration and washing of the derivatives obtained and preparation of a derivative solution in a suitable solvent before the HPLC determination, may therefore be avoided. The use of perchloric acid instead of hydrochloric acid is due to its higher solubility in acetonitrile.

The derivatization step was optimized by us with respect to three parameters: 1) the DNPH-to-analyte molar ratio, 2) the acidity of the medium and 3) the reaction

25 min. The derivatives obtained are stable at room temperature for at least 48 h. conditions, both F and HMF are quantitatively converted into their DNPH-ones within and the acidity of the medium, as evaluated with a pH-meter, is about 1. Under these quantitative when the reagent-to-analyte ratio is at least 2.5:1 for both analytes standard solutions containing respectively F and HMF. The derivatization reaction is time. For this purpose, the amounts of the derivatives obtained were evaluated on

imes 1010 for F and 1.26 imes 1010 for HMF as obtained from the calibration graphs, and determine the detection limit as 30/8 (17), where S is the sensitivity, which is 1.39 at the maximum absorbance of the derivatives of both F and HMF, it is possible to limits are therefore 2.2 x 10-8 mol/1 for F and 2.4 x 10-8 mol/1 for HMF is the peak threshold of the integrator, which was set by us at 100. The detection represent values typically found in real samples. By setting the detector wavelength both analytes over the range of concentrations from 10-3 to 10-7 mol/1, which experimental conditions as described in section 3.1. A straight line was obtained for The calibration graphs were obtained by employing standard solutions under optimum

## 3.3 Specificity, recovery and reproducibility

well separated from the other carbonyl compounds present in the sample. The method shows a high specificity, since the derivatives of both F and HMF are

analytes of interest by utilizing a 1:50 analyte-to-reagent ratio. appears in the chromatogram and II) area increments were not obtained for the two far examined, a 1:20 ratio was sufficient, as I) a large peak of the DNPH excess in the derivatization of the other carbonyl compounds present. In all the samples so determined in the analyses of real samples, as an aliquot of the reagent is employed DNPH must be at least 20 times more concentrated than the analytes to эd

of orange juice. The amount found in respect of the sum between the amount added and that originally present represents the recovery. Recoveries ranged from 93 to 96%. Recoveries were determined by adding known amounts of both analytes to a sample

7.43 x 10-6 mol/1, with a standard deviation of 1.4 x  $10^{-7}$  mol/1 and a relative stanstandard deviation of 2.9 x 10-6 mol/l and a relative standard deviation of 3%. dard deviation of 2%; the average concentration of HMF was 9.82 x 10-5 mol/1, with same sample of peach juice over a period of 48 h. The average concentration of F was Reproducibility was evaluated by carrying out the determination six times on

analytes are produced during the proliminary sample processing under 30, 60, 90 and 120 derivatization step was carried out on the same sample of apricot juice for min to verify that no artifactual amounts of either of the acidic

> different (p < 0.05) with respect to the amount observed at 30 min. observed. However, no one of the values obtained for both F and HMF was significantly min. As far as the HMF amount is concerned, a slight, progressive followed by a decrease at both 90 and 120 min to levels even just lower than at conditions. A slight increase of the amount of F was obtained at 60 min, but this increase was

30

### 3.4 Application

commercial samples of fruit juices. In all the samples analyzed, the amount of HMF (range:  $10^{-4}-10^{-5} \text{ mol/l}$ ) was one order of magnitude greater than the amount of (range:  $10^{-5}-10^{-6} \mod /1$ ). The procedure was applied to the determination of F and HMF in different

#### 4. References

- 1. LEE H.S., ROUSEFF R.L. and NAGY S., J. Food Sci. 51 (1986), 1075
- 2. LI Z.-F., SAWAMURA M. and KUSUNOSE H., Agric. Biol. Chem. 52 (1988),
- 3. RIZZOLO A., NANI R. and PICARIELLO M., Ind. Bevande 18 (1989), 183
- 4. DAUBERTE B., Chim. Toxicol. 83 (1990), 231 ESTIENNE J., GUERERE M. and GUERRA N., Ann. Falsif. Expert.
- 5. KIM H.-J. and RICHARDSON M., J. Chromatogr. 593 (1992), 153
- 6. NAGY S. and RANDALL V., J. Agric. Food Chem. 21 (1973), 272
- 7. MARCY J.E. and ROUSEFF R.L., J. Agric. Food Chem. 32 (1984), 979
- 8 DINSMORE H.L. and NAGY S., J. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem. 57 (1974),
- 9. LEE H.S. and NAGY S., Food Technol. 42, No. 11 (1988), 91
- 10. MIJARES R.M., PARK G.L., NELSON D.B. and McIVER R.C., J. Food Sci. 51 (1986), 843
- TU D., XUE S., MENG C., ESPINOSA-MANSILLA A., MUNOZ de la PENA A. and SALINAS LOPEZ F., J. Agric. Food Chem. 40 (1992), 1022
- 12. LEE H.S. and NAGY S., J. Food Sci. 53 (1988), 168
- 13. ESPINOSA-MANSILLA A., SALINAS F. and BERZAS NEVADO J.J., Anal.Chem.  $\overline{75}$  (1992), 678 ن Assoc. Off.
- 590 (1992)
- 15. LO COCO F., (1994), 603 VALENTINI C., NOVELLI V. and CECCON L., J. Liq. Chromatogr. 17
- 16. LO COCO F., VALENTINI C., NOVELLI V. and CECCON L., Anal. Chim. Acta (in press)
- 17. MASSART D.L., MASSART D.L., DIJKSTRA A. and KAUFMAN L., Evaluation and O Laboratory Methods and Analytical Procedures, Elsevier, Amsterdam, Evaluation and Optimization of