

# Università degli studi di Udine

# The significance of early warning in chronic myeloid leukemia

Original	
Availability: This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/11390/1123952 since 2018-02-19T22:43:26Z	
Publisher:	
Published DOI:10.1080/17474086.2017.1340715	
Terms of use: The institutional repository of the University of Udine (http://air.uniud.it) is provided by ARIC services. The aim is to enable open access to all the world.	
Publisher copyright	

(Article begins on next page)



# **Expert Review of Hematology**



ISSN: 1747-4086 (Print) 1747-4094 (Online) Journal homepage: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/ierr20

# The significance of early warning in chronic myeloid leukemia

Mario Tiribelli, Gianni Binotto & Massimiliano Bonifacio

**To cite this article:** Mario Tiribelli , Gianni Binotto & Massimiliano Bonifacio (2017): The significance of early warning in chronic myeloid leukemia, Expert Review of Hematology, DOI: 10.1080/17474086.2017.1340715

To link to this article: <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17474086.2017.1340715">http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17474086.2017.1340715</a>

	Accepted author version posted online: 09 Jun 2017. Published online: 15 Jun 2017.
	Submit your article to this journal $oldsymbol{oldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}}$
ılıl	Article views: 30
Q <sup>L</sup>	View related articles ☑
CrossMark	View Crossmark data ☑
4	Citing articles: 1 View citing articles 🗹

Full Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/action/journalInformation?journalCode=ierr20



## LETTER TO THE EDITOR



# The significance of early warning in chronic myeloid leukemia

Mario Tiribelli pa, Gianni Binottob and Massimiliano Bonifacio pc

<sup>a</sup>Division of Hematology and BMT, Department of Experimental and Clinical Medical Sciences, Azienda Sanitaria Universitaria Integrata, Udine, Italy; Department of Medicine, Hematology and Clinical Immunology, Padua School of Medicine, Padua, Italy; Department of Medicine, Section of Hematology, University of Verona, Verona, Italy

## Dear Editor:

We have read with great interest the manuscript by Eskazan and colleagues entitled 'Critical appraisal of European LeukemiaNet (ELN) 2013 recommendations for the management of chronic myeloid leukemia: is it early for a warning?' [1]. After a revision of the relatively limited literature, the authors conclude that there are still no solid data to suggest a switch of therapy in patients with warning signs and that long-term survival remains a highly significant endpoint in CML patients. While we generally agree with these thoughts, we would like to stress a couple of additional points on the issue of ELN 2013 - defined 'warning'.

The ELN recommendations defines warning as less than partial cytogenetic response (PCyR) and/or BCR-ABL1 > 10% (according to the International Scale – IS) at 3 months, less than complete cytogenetic response (CCyR) and/or BCR-ABL 1 > 1% IS at 6 months, and BCR-ABL1 > 0.1% IS, i.e. no major molecular response (MMR), at 12 months [2]. So, at the first two time-points, conventionally considered as 'early' [3], both cytogenetic and molecular status define response, while at 12 months only BCR-ABL1 level > 0.1 to 1% identifies warning patients, as anything less than CCyR is regarded as a failure. Our group analyzed the outcome of 216 CML patients treated with front-line standard dose (400 mg/day) imatinib with discordant cytogenetic and molecular responses at 3 and 6 months [4]. Patients with even a single warning sign at 3 months (i.e. no PCyR or BCR-ABL1 > 10% IS) had a significantly lower chance to obtain a subsequent CCyR (37% compared to 85% in patients with concordant optimal cytogenetic and molecular responses) and worse failure-free survival (FFS) (39% vs. 81% at 48 months). Similarly, a warning sign at 6 months identified patients less prone to attain a MMR at 12 months (17% vs. 82% in concordantly optimal patients) and with worse FFS (62% vs. 88%) [4].

In our experience, most discordant patients had a 'molecular warning', as 15/17 discordant at 3 months were in PCyR or better but with BCR-ABL1 transcript > 10% IS and at 6 months 20/25 discordant were in CCyR with BCR-ABL1 > 1% IS. This finding is an indirect confirmation of the importance of a BCR-ABL1 transcript level < 10% at 3 months (now defined 'early molecular response' [EMR]) as a positive predictor of long-term outcome, as reported

by different studies [5,6]. Despite EMR is gaining ground as a factor for an early switch of therapy, as suggested by NCCN guidelines [7], some reports indicate, in line with ELN recommendations, to consider also the 6-month cytogenetic or molecular status to assess a two-point evaluation of response to tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) therapy. The MDACC group analyzed the outcome of 453 CML patients treated with different TKIs, finding that 19 out of 44 patients (43%) not achieving major (i.e. optimal) cytogenetic response (MCyR) at 3 months obtained this response at 6 months and had an outcome comparable to patients achieving an earlier MCyR [8]. A Canadian study reviewed 320 patients receiving imatinib therapy with 3- and 6-month BCR-ABL1 transcript levels available, reporting that patients not achieving an EMR at 3 months but with BCR-ABL1 transcript < 1% at 6 months (n = 18) had similar FFS, progression-free survival (PFS), and overall survival (OS) compared to patients in EMR (n = 184) [9]. Taken together, these data suggest that cytogenetic and molecular response at 6 months can identify a subgroup with favorable outcome among patients 'warning' at 3 months. However, considering patients with cytogenetic and/or molecular warning at 3 months in our series (n = 41), only 2 had a subsequent optimal cytogenetic and molecular response at 6 months (unpublished). Moreover, we found that the rates of warning responses at 3 and 6 months were higher in cases with b2a2 BCR-ABL1 transcript type compared to those with b3a2 variant (32% vs. 24% at 3 months and 31% vs. 12% at 6 months, respectively) [10].

If there is still debate on the practical significance of a warning at 3 or 6 months, even less consensus and significantly less data are about the meaning of a late (i.e. at 12 months) warning. Starting from their database of 483 patients treated with four different TKI strategies, colleagues at MDACC found no benefit, in term of survival, in patients achieving MMR while in CCyR, even if their landmark analysis was performed at 18 and 24 months, and not at the 12-month time-point [11]. A landmark analysis of PFS and OS on the bases of molecular response at 12 months of imatinib performed in 128 patients from our database did not find any difference between patients in MMR or not (unpublished). Concordantly, a Spanish group showed that, in 198 patients treated with standard-dose imatinib and in CCyR without MMR at 12 months, a switch to a second-generation TKI was associated with a higher probability of subsequently major and deep molecular response, but no advantage in terms of PFS and OS and



higher rates of discontinuation for adverse events, compared to patients continuing imatinib [12].

Hopefully, more information on the therapeutic approach to 'warning' patients will come from an upcoming study of the GIMEMA Working Party on CML study aimed to evaluate efficacy of nilotinib frontline versus imatinib followed by switch to nilotinib in the case of absence of ELN-defined optimal response at 3, 6, or 12 months [13].

## **Declaration of interest**

The authors have no relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript. This includes employment, consultancies, honoraria, stock ownership or options, expert testimony, grants or patents received or pending, or royalties.

## **ORCID**

Mario Tiribelli http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9449-2621
Massimiliano Bonifacio http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0716-1686

## References

- Eskazan AE, Ar MC, Soysal T. Critical appraisal of European LeukemiaNet (ELN) 2013 recommendations for the management of chronic myeloid leukemia: is it early for a warning? Expert Rev Hematol. 2016;9(10):919–921.
- Baccarani M, Deininger MW, Rosti G, et al. European LeukemiaNet recommendations for the management of chronic myeloid leukemia: 2013. Blood. 2013;122(6):872–884.
- Jain P, Kantarjian H, Nazha A, et al. Early responses predict better outcomes in patients with newly diagnosed chronic myeloid leukemia: results with four tyrosine kinase inhibitor modalities. Blood. 2013;121(24):4867–4874.

- 4. Bonifacio M, Binotto G, Maino E, et al. Imatinib-treated CML patients with discordant response between cytogenetic and molecular tests at 3 and 6 month time-points have a reduced probability of subsequent optimal response. Haematologica. 2015;100(8): e299–301.
- 5. Marin D, Ibrahim AR, Lucas C, et al. Assessment of BCR-ABL1 transcript levels at 3 months is the only requirement for predicting outcome for patients with chronic myeloid leukemia treated with tyrosine kinase inhibitors. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30(3):232–238.
- Hanfstein B, Müller MC, Hehlmann R, et al. Early molecular and cytogenetic response is predictive for long-term progression-free and overall survival in chronic myeloid leukemia (CML). Leukemia. 2012;26(9):2096–2102.
- O'Brien S, Radich JP, Abboud CN, et al. Chronic myelogenous leukemia, Version 1.2014. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2013;11 (11):1327–1340.
- Nazha A, Kantarjian H, Jain P, et al. Assessment at 6 months may be warranted for patients with chronic myeloid leukemia with no major cytogenetic response at 3 months. Haematologica. 2013;98 (11):1686–1688.
- Kim DD, Hamad N, Lee HG, et al. BCR/ABL level at 6 months identifies good risk CML subgroup after failing early molecular response at 3 months following imatinib therapy for CML in chronic phase. Am J Hematol. 2014;89(6):626–632.
- Binotto G, Tiribelli M, Bonifacio M, et al. BCR-ABL fusion transcript b2a2 is associated with a higher risk of non-optimal response in CP-CML patients treated with standard dose imatinib: a study from Gruppo Triveneto LMC. Haematologica. 2016;101(s1):454. [abstract]
- Falchi L, Kantarjian HM, Wang X, et al. Significance of deeper molecular responses in patients with chronic myeloid leukemia in early chronic phase treated with tyrosine kinase inhibitors. Am J Hematol. 2013;88(12):1024–1029.
- 12. García-Gutiérrez V, Puerta JM, Maestro B, et al. Do chronic myeloid leukemia patients with late "warning" responses benefit from "watch and wait" or switching therapy to a second generation tyrosine kinase inhibitor? Am J Hematol. 2014;89(11):e206–211.
- SUSTRENIM. Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/ NCT02602314