

Submit a Manuscript: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/ Help Desk: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/helpdesk.aspx DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v21.i38.10760 World J Gastroenterol 2015 October 14; 21(38): 10760-10775 ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online) © 2015 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

TOPIC HIGHLIGHT

2015 Advances in Hepatitis C virus

Impact of new treatment options for hepatitis C virus infection in liver transplantation

Elda Righi, Angela Londero, Alessia Carnelutti, Umberto Baccarani, Matteo Bassetti

Elda Righi, Angela Londero, Alessia Carnelutti, Matteo Bassetti, Infectious Diseases Division, Santa Maria della Misericordia University Hospital, 33100 Udine, Italy

Umberto Baccarani, Liver Transplant Unit, Santa Maria della Misericordia University Hospital, 33100 Udine, Italy

Author contributions: Righi E analyzed the literature and wrote the manuscript; Baccarani U, Carnelutti A, Londero A and Bassetti M reviewed the literature.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors have no conflict of interest to report.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Correspondence to: Elda Righi, MD, PhD, Infectious Diseases Division, Santa Maria della Misericordia University Hospital, 50 Colugna Street, 33100 Udine, Italy. elda.righi@libero.it Telephone: +39-0432-559355 Fax: +39-0432-559360

Received: April 30, 2015 Peer-review started: May 7, 2015 First decision: June 23, 2015 Revised: July 12, 2015 Accepted: September 15, 2015 Article in press: September 15, 2015 Published online: October 14, 2015

Abstract

Liver transplant candidates and recipients with hepatitis C virus (HCV)-related liver disease greatly benefit from

an effective antiviral therapy. The achievement of a sustained virological response before transplantation can prevent the recurrence of post-transplant HCV disease that occurs universally and correlates with enhanced progression to graft cirrhosis. Previous standard-of-care regimens (e.g., pegylated-interferon plus ribavirin with or without first generation protease inhibitors, boceprevir and telaprevir) displayed suboptimal results and poor tolerance in liver transplant recipients. A new class of potent direct-acting antiviral agents (DAA) characterized by all-oral regimens with minimal side effects has been approved and included in the recent guidelines for the treatment of liver transplant recipients with recurrent HCV disease. Association of sofosbuvir with ribavirin and/or ledipasvir is recommended in liver transplant recipients and patients with decompensated cirrhosis. Other regimens include simeprevir, daclatasvir, and combination of other DAA. Possible interactions should be monitored, especially in coinfected human immunodeficiency virus/ HCV patients receiving antiretrovirals.

Key words: Hepatitis C virus; Direct antiviral agents; Liver transplantation

© **The Author(s) 2015.** Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core tip: Until recently, a well-tolerated and effective treatment protocol to address the recurrence of hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection following liver transplantation has been an important unmet clinical need. Safe and effective treatment options are now available thanks to the approval of new classes of direct antiviral agents. The aim of this review was to summarize the outcome of previous treatments and discuss the impact of current options for the treatment of HCV among liver transplantation candidates and recipients, including coinfected human immuno-deficiency virus/HCV patients.



Righi E, Londero A, Carnelutti A, Baccarani U, Bassetti M. Impact of new treatment options for hepatitis c virus infection in liver transplantation. *World J Gastroenterol* 2015; 21(38): 10760-10775 Available from: URL: http://www.wjgnet. com/1007-9327/full/v21/i38/10760.htm DOI: http://dx.doi. org/10.3748/wjg.v21.i38.10760

INTRODUCTION

An estimated 130 to 170 million people are infected with hepatitis C virus (HCV) worldwide, and an additional 3 to 4 million are newly infected each year^[1]. The epidemiology and burden of HCV infection vary geographically, with prevalence rates ranging from < 1% to > $10\%^{[2]}$. Overall, around 25% of all cases of cirrhosis and HCC are related to HCV, with significantly higher rates among countries that have a high prevalence of the disease^[3]. Chronic HCV infection is associated with substantial mortality, with over 350000 deaths per year attributed to HCV-related cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)^[4-6]. The development of cirrhosis and HCC due to HCV infection represents the most common indication for liver transplantation (LT) in the United States, accounting for around 40% of all cases on the United States waiting list^[7]. Furthermore, projections have identified a constant increase in the number of patients with HCV-related end-stage liver disease (ESLD) who will be listed for LT over the next 10 years^[8,9]. In this patient population, transplantation is an effective treatment to reduce morbidity and mortality. HCV recurrence, however, is universal in liver transplant recipients (LTR). Since HCV disease is associated with accelerated graft loss and diminished patient survival, the availability of a safe and efficacious therapy is essential among LTR^[10]. For this group of patients, the real challenge for HCV treatment starts after LT.

In the past, the use of HCV treatments including pegylated interferon (Peg-INF) and ribavirin (RBV), either alone or in association with first generation protease inhibitors (PI) such as telaprevir or boceprevir, was limited by suboptimal viral responses, drug-drug interactions, and the occurrence of severe side effects, some of which have caused graft loss or have been fatal^[11]. The approval of highly effective new molecules (i.e., new wave NS3-4A PI, nucleotide analogues, NS5A inhibitors) has revolutionized the scenario for the treatment of HCV infection. Goals of the new anti-HCV drugs include outcome improval, reduction of side effects and drug-drug interactions, and regimen simplification. As summarized in Table 1, newly anti-HCV drugs are expected to optimize the treatment before LT, allowing patients to undergo transplantation with undetectable HCV viral load, and after LT, offering safe and broadly effective options to prevent recurrence of HCV infection.

To keep pace with the newest discoveries in the

field of HCV treatment, the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) and the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD), in collaboration with the International Antiviral Society-United States (IAS-United States), created a website that allows to access updated, evidence-based recommendations for the management of HCV^[12].

ANTI-HCV DRUGS: OLDER AND NEWER OPTIONS FOR PATIENTS WITH ADVANCED LIVER DISEASE

The goal of treatment in HCV infected individuals is the achievement of virologic cure (or sustained virological response, SVR), defined as the absence of detectable levels of HCV RNA (e.g., \leq 25 IU/mL with an FDA approved nucleic acid test) at least 12 wk after completion of therapy (SVR12). In more than 99% of patients, SVR12 has been shown to be durable for 5 years or more^[13]. Successful HCV treatment dramatically decreases hepatic decompensation events, HCC incidence, and liver-related mortality^[14]. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that patients with advanced fibrosis who achieve SVR have a decreased need for LT compared with patients who do not attain SVR^[15]. Thus, prompt HCV treatment is prioritized for advanced liver disease, and urgent initiation is advocated in patients with severe extrahepatic HCV disease, significant fibrosis (Metavir F3-F4), decompensated cirrhosis (Child-Turcotte-Pugh B and C), and candidates or recipients of LT^[16].

Interferon-ribavirin combination

Until recently, the combination of IFN or Peg-IFN and RBV has been considered the treatment of choice for patients with chronic HCV, including those progressing to cirrhosis. With this regimen, SVR can be achieved in 30%-40% and 70%-90% of patients with HCV genotype 1 *vs* genotypes 2 or 3, respectively^[17-19]. Over the past two decades, modest efficacy along with a high incidence of serious adverse events (SAE) have characterized this treatment; furthermore, Peg-INF/ RBV optimal timing, dose, and duration in difficult-to-treat populations requiring urgent treatment, such as patients with ESLD, have never been clearly defined.

Boceprevir and telaprevir

In 2011, the first generation of direct-acting antivirals (DAA), boceprevir (BOC) and telaprevir (TVR), was approved for patients with genotype 1 HCV disease. BOC is a keto-amide serine PI that reversibly binds to the HCV nonstructural 3 (NS3) active site; TVR inhibits the NS3/4A HCV protease^[20,21]. SVR with PI-based triple therapy (*e.g.*, association of a PI with Peg-IFN/ RBV) reached 68%-75% in naïve and 59%-88% in experienced patients^[22-25]. Due to the improved rate of SVR attainment for genotype 1 patients, the use of

Table 1 Expected benefits of new treatments for hepatitis C virus infection						
Target population	Main objectives	Outcome				
General population with chronic HCV infection	Achieve excellent SVR rates for all genotypes, reduce side effects, shorten treatment duration, simplify regimen schedules	Reduced ESLD incidence and indication for LT				
Patients on LT waiting list	Achieve pre-transplant undetectable HCV-RNA; improve MELD scores	Reduced post-LT HCV recurrence; improved clinical conditions				
Recipients of LT with HCV recurrence	Increase SVR rates, reduce side effects and dropouts, decrease drug-drug interactions, simplify regimen schedules	Increased patients and grafts survival				
HIV/HCV-coinfected patients and coinfected LT recipients	Increase SVR rates, reduce side effects and dropouts, decrease drug-drug interactions, simplify regimen schedules	Increased patients and grafts survival				

HCV: Hepatitis C virus; SVR: Sustained virological response; ESLD: End stage liver disease; LT: Liver transplant; MELD: Model for end-stage liver disease; HIV: Human immunodeficiency virus.

BOC and TVR was initially included as standard-of-care for HCV infection^[26]. However, these drugs still had to be associated with Peg-IFN/RBV and required long treatment duration (24-48 wk), causing an increase in treatment burden and side effects. For these reasons, BOC use is no more recommended and TVR has been removed from the market due to the development of more effective compounds^[27,28].

DAA

More recently, clinical trials have shown revolutionary results in the treatment of HCV with the use of new DAA and their combination products, with and without Peg-IFN. Due to elevated SVR, good safety profiles, and once to twice daily administration, these compounds have now been incorporated into the AASLD/IDSA recommendations^[12].

In December 2013, the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved sofosbuvir (SOF), a nucleotide polymerase inhibitor of NS5B targeting HCV-RNA replication^[29]. SOF is metabolized in its active form that competes with the uridine triphosphate for incorporation into the growing HCV-RNA by the nonstructural protein 5B (NS5B) polymerase, acting as a chain terminator^[30]. Since the NS5B active site is highly conserved across HCV genotypes, SOF displays a pangenotypic efficacy^[31]. The administration of SOF 400 mg once daily (OD) for 12 wk has been associated with rapid decrease of HCV-RNA and SVR above 85%, either in combination with Peg-IFN/RBV or with RBV alone as part of an IFN-free regimen^[32,33]. Safety data has been promising also in advanced, decompensated cirrhosis showing discontinuation rates below 2% and few SAE^[34]. Furthermore, low drug-drug interactions have been observed and no dose adjustments were required in patients with hepatic impairment^[35]. Simeprevir (SMV, 150 mg OD), a second wave NS3/4A protease inhibitor, has been approved for use in combination with Peg-IFN/RBV in 2013 and, in November 2014, for the treatment of HCV genotype 1 in combination with SOF. IFN-free regimens containing SMV were also well-tolerated and showed overall SVR12 above 90%^[36]. The association of ledipasvir (LDV, 90 mg OD), a NS5A inhibitor, with SOF was approved by the FDA in November 2014 based on the results of large phase 3 multicenter, open-label, randomized clinical trials showing SVR between 93% and 99%^[37,38]. A fourdrug, twice daily combination regimen, consisting of 75 mg of paritaprevir (a NS3/4A protease inhibitor), 50 mg of ritonavir (a CYP3A inhibitor, used as a pharmacologic booster), and 12.5 mg of ombitasvir (a NS5A inhibitor), packaged with two 250 mg dasabuvir (a non-nucleoside NS5B polymerase inhibitor) tablets has also been approved by the FDA and studied in combination with RBV for genotype 1 patients^[39-41]. Daclatasvir (DCV, 60 mg OD), a pan-genotypic NS5A inhibitor, was approved in Europe in August 2014 and is currently used in combination with other DAA in various countries^[16].

DAA therapy in patients with cirrhosis

Although characterized by ground-breaking results, recent trials have underrepresented the populations traditionally associated with poorer treatment outcomes, including patients with advanced liver fibrosis. Nevertheless, encouraging results seem to emerge from reports comprising "real world" data collected from several institutions. Table 2 summarizes the outcome of the most representative clinical trials including cirrhotic patients treated with DAA.

SVR > 50% have been reported among cirrhotic patients treated with SOF/RBV although, in genotype 3 patients receiving 12-wk regimens, cirrhosis was associated with limited responses^[33,42]. LDV/SOF, with or without RBV (± RBV), has shown excellent SVR and low adverse effects in patients with cirrhosis^[43,44]. A post-hoc analysis of data from seven clinical trials including 513 patients with genotype 1 HCV and compensated cirrhosis receiving LDV/SOF for 12 or 24 wk ± RBV showed SVR12 of 98% and 95% for treatment-naïve and previously treated patients, respectively. Results were similar in patients receiving RBV compared to RBV-free regimens, except among previously treated patients who showed the lowest SVR (90%) in the arm without RBV. SAE and discontinuation rates were in the range of 1%-2%^[45]. Recently, the results of SOF/SMV ± RBV regimens in a heterogeneous cohort of 995 patients including

Table 2 Sustained virological response among recent clinical trials of new treatment regimens for hepatitis C virus including patients with cirrhosis

Ref.	Trial	Population	Drug	Overall SVR12	SVR12 in cirrhosis
Jacobson et al ^[143] 2014	Fusion	C2 C3 experienced	SOF/RBV 12 7rs 16 with	C2 86% 776 94%	C2 60% 75 78%
Jacobson et ut , 2014	Pusion	34% cirrhotic	5017 KDV 12 05 10 WK	G2 60% <i>vs</i> 94%	G2 00 % 05 70 %
Lawitz <i>et al</i> ^[33] , 2015	Fission	G2, G3 naïve	SOF/RBV 12 wk vs Peg-IFN/	G2 97% vs 78%	G2 92% vs 62%
		20% cirrhosis	RBV 24 wk	G3 56% vs 63%	G3 30% vs 34%
Jacobson <i>et al</i> ^[143] , 2014	Positron	G2, G3 naïve and experienced	SOF/RBV	G2 93%, G3 61%	G2 92%, G3 21%
		IFN ineligible			
Zeuzem <i>et al</i> ^[144] , 2014	Valence	G3 extended 24 wk 21% cirrhosis	SOF/RBV	G2 94%, G3 91%	G2 82%, G3 68%
Lawitz et al ^[42] , 2015	Lonestar-2	G 2 and 3	SOF/RBV/Peg-IFN	G2 96%, G3 83%	G2 93%, G3 83%
Bourliere <i>et al</i> ^[43] , 2015	Sirius	G1 with compensated cirrhosis,	SOF/LDV 24 wk vs SOF/	N/A	97% vs 96%
		NR previous treatment	LDV/RBV 12 wk		
Lawitz <i>et al</i> ^[36] , 2014	Cosmos	G1 NR, 52% F3-F4	SOF/SMV ± RBV 12 or 24 wk	92%	94%
Gane <i>et al</i> ^[114] , 2014	Electron II	G1 naïve, experienced and	LDV/RBV 12 wk	G1 100%, G3 64%	G1 65%
		decompensated, G3 naïve, 15%			
		cirrhosis			

Peg-IFN: Pegylated interferon; RBV: Ribavirin; SVR12: Sustained virological response; G: Genotype; LDV: Ledipasvir; SOF: Sofosbuvir; SMV: Simeprevir; NR: Non responder.

30% of patients with cirrhosis were compared with SOF/PEG/RBV and SOF/RBV^[46]. In the group of patients with genotype 1 and previously treated for HCV, a significant difference in SVR was noted between patients without cirrhosis vs patients with cirrhosis, with better results for SOF/SIM \pm RBV (84%) vs 65%, respectively) compared to SOF/Peg-IFN/RBV (94% vs 80%, respectively). Overall, discontinuation rates around 5% were noted. Other promising DAA combinations include grazoprevir (MK-5172) and elbasvir (MK-8742), showing high SVR12 at 12 wk among patients with genotype 1 and cirrhosis with and without RBV (90% and 97%, respectively)^[47]. MK-5172/MK-8742 combination has recently also been tested among patients with advanced chronic kidney disease, showing SVR12 of $99\%^{^{[48]}}\!.$ The 3DAA combination of DCV with asunaprevir (NS3 protease inhibitor) and BMS-791325 (non-nucleoside NS5B inhibitor) was studied in patients with HCV genotype 1 infection and compensated cirrhosis. SVR were 87% and 93% in experienced patients treated with and without RBV, respectively^[49].

IMPACT OF RECURRENT HCV INFECTION AFTER LIVER TRANSPLANTATION

Patients showing detectable HCV-RNA levels at transplantation universally experience recurrent postoperative HCV infection^[50]. Reinfection likely occurs during graft reperfusion *via* circulating virions or infected mononuclear cells, and it is documented as detection of HCV-RNA in serum or in the allograft itself. HCV-RNA can be present as early as 48 h post-LT, with expression of HCV antigens on the hepatocytes from postoperative day 10^[51-53]. Post-transplant HCV kinetics has shown that serum HCV-RNA levels reach pre-LT titers usually within day 4, then increase and peak around month 3, attaining levels 10- to

100-fold greater than the mean pre-LT months around one year after LT^[54]. Histologic progression of HCV during immunosuppressive therapy is more rapid than that in nontransplant patients, probably due to a compromised virus-specific T-helper subtype 1 (TH1) CD4 immune response^[55]. Liver biopsies are currently the most effective method to diagnose and differentiate HCV disease, showing good sensitivity starting from 3 mo after LT^[51]. In earlier stages, histological differentiation between HCV disease, reperfusion injury, and rejection can be challenging. A small proportion of patients (4%-7%) develop fibrosing cholestatic hepatitis (FCH), an accelerated course of liver injury associated with very high levels of viremia, rapid allograft failure, and poor response to therapy due to direct cytotoxic damage favored by a lack of specific anti-HCV response along with increased TH2 cytokine expression^[56]. Following graft infection, chronic HCV disease develops in 75% to 90% of patients. Evolution towards cirrhosis is reported 5% to 30% of cases within 5 years and up to 40% within 10 years compared to 20 years in the nontransplantation setting^[57-59]. HCV-associated graft failure represents the most common cause of graft loss and patient mortality in HCV-infected recipients, occurring in approximately 10% of LT recipients within 5 years^[60]. Overall, survival of patients and grafts with recurrent post-LT HCV infection is lower compared to patients receiving LT for other indications^[57,61]. Various risk factors have been associated with unfavorable outcomes in HCVinfected recipients. Some of them, such as prolonged cold ischemia time, advanced donor age, CMV hepatitis, treatment for acute rejection (e.g., steroid bolus or monoclonal antibody OKT3), development of postoperative insulin resistance diabetes mellitus or metabolic syndrome are potentially modifiable and should be either carefully evaluated in the process of donor selection or monitored in the post- $LT^{[10,62-64]}$.

Table 3 Pros and cons of hepatitis C virus treatment before and after liver transplant						
	Before LT	After LT				
Aim	Prevention of HCV recurrence	Treatment of HCV recurrence				
Advantages	Undetectable HCV-RNA at transplantation correlates with low rates of post-LT HCV	Increased tolerance to treatment				
	recurrence					
Disadvantages	Low eligibility due to compromised baseline conditions	High rates of adverse effects				
	High rates of serious side effects and discontinuation rates	Moderate SVR rates				
	Low SVR rates	Drug-drug interactions				

HCV: Hepatitis C virus; LT: Liver transplant; SVR: Sustained virological response.

Other risk factors include high preoperative model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) score, fibrosis stage \geq 2 at 12-mo biopsy, recipient IL28B TT genotype, and history of HCC^[10,50,65-68]. Marked, transient hyperbilirubinemia has been associated with allograft cirrhosis in HCV-infected LT recipients^[69]. Among virological factors, high pretransplantation HCV-RNA titers (> 1 mEq/mL) have been strongly related with severe recurrent HCV. Patients with lower pretransplantation HCV RNA had 5-year survival of 84% compared to 57% of patients with higher HCV RNA titer (P < 0.0001)^[70]. Interestingly, neither viral genotype nor elevated post-LT viral titers have been found to be reliable predictors of outcome. At best, the most effective way to prevent HCV recurrence is the eradication of HCV prior to LT.

ANTIVIRAL THERAPY IN RECURRENT

HCV INFECTION

HCV infection treatment: Before or after liver transplantation?

The likelihood of SVR diminishes with increasing severity of liver disease. In patients with cirrhosis, SVR rates are reduced compared to non-cirrhotic patients, ranging between 40%-50% for Child-Turcotte-Pugh (CTP) class A and being as low as 7%-26% for CTP class C patients treated with Peg-IFN/RBV^[17-19,71]. Genotype 1 and 4 patients with cirrhosis showed lower treatment responses compared with genotype 2 and 3 patients (33% vs 57%, respectively)^[71]. Factors such as poor tolerability, dose reductions, and therapy discontinuation have a significant impact on therapy outcomes in this patient population^[72]. IFN-based treatment is generally poorly tolerated and can be associated with severe infections and liver decompensation; overall, up to a third of patients is reported to discontinue the treatment because of adverse events^[72]. Nevertheless, the evidence that high HCV-RNA levels at transplantation correlate with rapid, clinically evident recurrence of post-transplantation HCV disease supports the attempt of an aggressive pre-transplantation treatment^[10]. IFN is contraindicated in patients with decompensated cirrhosis; selected patients listed for LT showing compensated or mildly decompensated liver disease, however, have been previously considered for treatment with

Peg-IFN/RBV \pm TPV or BOC. A significant portion of LT candidate often present advanced ESLD or absolute contraindications to IFN-based therapy, requiring to delay HCV treatment after transplant. With the recent introduction of new DAA, successful treatment of patients on transplant waiting list seems possible. In this group, a reduction in MELD score caused by the positive impact of the treatment on liver decompensation can potentially lead to patient delisting, therefore lowering the proportion of waiting list registrants for transplantation due to HCV-related ESLD.

Post-LT treatment is generally started following the 12-mo liver biopsy if histologic severity reaches grade 3 or 4 inflammation or stage 2 or higher of fibrosis. Irrespective of grade and stage, cholestatic hepatitis is usually an indication for treatment^[10]. Treatment of post-LT recurrent HCV disease is limited by moderate SVR, potential drug-drug interactions, and toxicity. In this cohort, as in the pre-transplant group, new anti-HCV therapies can provide substantial improvements in terms of efficacy and safety. Aims, advantages and disadvantages of the pre-LT and post-LT approaches are reported in Table 3.

Treatment before liver transplantation

The treatment of patients with decompensated cirrhosis is problematic due to coexisting leukopenia, thrombocytopenia, and other manifestations of ESLD that cause poor drug tolerance, often requiring the use of grow factors and transfusions^[73]. In the registration trials for Peg-IFN/RBV, SVR rates were 5% to 15% lower in patients with advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis compared to patients who did not present advanced liver disease^[17,18]. Various non-randomized studies have investigated the efficacy of diverse IFN or Peg-IFN-based regimens in HCV-infected patients candidate to LT (Table 4). A study using increasing doses of IFN and RBV based on tolerability demonstrated SVR only in 13% of patients with HCV genotype 1. Predictors of SVR were non-1 genotype, CTP class A for patients with genotype 1, and ability to tolerate full dose and treatment completion^[74]. Other reports showed rates of HCV-RNA suppression in patients with advanced liver disease around 20%-30%^[75-78]. More recently, Everson et al^[79] conducted a randomized, controlled trial to test the efficacy and safety of Peg-IFN/RBV, both escalated as tolerated, to prevent post-transplant

Ref.	Population	n	Treatment regimen	Outcome	Adverse effects
Everson <i>et al</i> ^[74] , 2005	63% decompensated	124	IFN (5 MU 3/wk) or Peg-IFN (0.75	SVR 13% (G1),	13% discontinuations
	cirrhosis (MELD 11 ± 3.7)		μg/kg per week)/RBV (600 mg/d	50% (other genotypes) 53%	and SAE (2 deaths)
			escalated)	relapse 29% completed	
1001				course	
Crippin <i>et al</i> ^[75] , 2002	LT waiting list	15	IFN (3 MU 3/wk or 1 MU/d) \pm RBV	SVR 33%	1.3 SAE/patient
			400 bid		(one death)
Forns <i>et al</i> ^[145] , 2003	LT waiting list	30	IFN (3 MU/d)/RBV 800 mg/d	SVR 20%	63% dose reduction
				(3 relapse after LT)	
Thomas <i>et al</i> ^[76] , 2003	LT waiting list	21	IFN (5 MU/d)	SVR 20%	No SAE
				(8 relapse after LT)	
Carrión <i>et al</i> ^[78] , 2009	LT waiting list	51	Peg-IFN/RBV	SVR 20%	39% bacterial infections
Everson <i>et al</i> ^[79] , 2013	LT waiting list	59	Peg-IFN/RBV	SVR12 22% (G 1-4),	68%
			(from 0.75 μ g/kg per week and 600	29% (G 2-3),	(2.7 SAE/patient)
			mg/d escalated)	50% if > 16 wk	
Verna <i>et al</i> ^[11] , 2015	LT waiting list	29	PI-based triple therapy	SVR 52%	31% SAE; one death
			(93% TVR, 7% BOC)		28% hospitalizations
Curry <i>et al</i> ^[81] , 2015	LT waiting list for HCC	43	Sofosbuvir 400/d plus RBV	SVR pre-LT maintained in	18% SAE
	(CTP < 7)		1000-1200 up to 48 wk	69% LT	2 discontinuation
Charlton <i>et al</i> ^[82] , 2015	Decompensated cirrhosis	108	LDV/SOF/RBV (600 mg/d	SVR 87% vs 89%,	26% SAE
			escalating) 12 vs 24 wk	CTP B 87% vs 89%,	3 discontinuation
				CTP C 86% vs 87%	
Poordad et al ^[85] , 2015	Advanced cirrhosis	60	DCV/SOF/RBV 12 wk	SVR 83%, CTP A 91%, CTP	No SAE
	(70% CTP B-C)			B 92%, CTP C 50%	
Poordad <i>et al</i> ^[85] , 2015	Advanced cirrhosis (70% CTP B-C)	60	DCV/SOF/RBV 12 wk	CTP C 86% <i>vs</i> 87% SVR 83%, CTP A 91%, CTP B 92%, CTP C 50%	No SAE

Table 4 Outcome of pre-transplant hepatitis C virus therapy in studies with different regimens

LT: Liver transplant; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; CTP: Child-Turcotte-Pugh; IFN: Interferon; Peg-IFN: Pegylated interferon; RBV: Ribavirin; SVR: Sustained virological response; G: Genotype; SAE: Serious adverse effects; MELD: Model for End-Stage Liver Disease; PI: Protease inhibitor; TVR: Telaprevir; BOC: Boceprevir; LDV: Ledipasvir; SOF: Sofosbuvir; DCV: Daclatasvir.

HCV recurrence in patients listed for LT. Overall, 22% of patients with genotype 1, 4 or 6 and 29% of patients with genotype 2 or 3 obtained SVR12. Among patients completing at least 16 wk of treatment, SVR rates reached 50%. In conclusion, IFN-based regimens obtained poor SVR among patients listed for LT, mainly due to an intrinsic reduced response along with a low rate of treatment completion. DAA triple therapy showed increased SVR in a study including 29 patients with low MELD scores but high rates (66%) of prior non-responders. The majority of patients were treated with Peg-IFN/RBV/TVR. Patients on waiting list had SVR of 41% and patients undergoing LT showed SVR of 67%. Despite demonstrating considerably higher SVR rates compared to Peg-IFN/RBV, the use of BOC or TPV was associated with increased SAE and a high pill burden^[11]. As shown in Table 4, encouraging results have been displayed by IFN-free HCV regimens. Osinusi et al^[80] administered SOF in combination with either weight-based (n = 24) or lowdose (600 mg daily) RBV for 24 wk to 28 genotype 1 patients, including those with advanced fibrosis. SOF/ RBV combination resulted in 50% and 29% SVR in weight-based and low-dose RBV groups, respectively (difference not significant). Advanced liver fibrosis and high HCV RNA at baseline were identified as predictors of relapse. Neither discontinuation nor SAE were registered. SOF/RBV combination was also used in a phase 2 study to treat 61 patients (73% with genotype 1 and 75% previously treated for HCV) waitlisted to undergo LT for HCC. Overall, 49% of treated patients has post-LT SVR; among those who had undetectable HCV-RNA at transplantation, 70% achieve SVR^[81]. A number of days of undetectable HCV RNA level pretransplant > 30 was significantly associated with SVR12.

IFN-free, DAA combination therapies have shown the highest rates of SVR among patients with advanced liver disease previously treated for HCV. Cure rates close to 90% in patients with decompensated cirrhosis were reported among 108 patients receiving LDV/ SOF/RBV for 12 or 24 wk^[82]. Of note, a substantial improvement of liver synthesis function of the patients with successful HCV therapy was documented by an improvement in MELD score. Nevertheless, despite achieving SVR, liver disease continued to progress in some patients. Although no current data is available in patients with decompensated cirrhosis treated with LDV/SOF without RBV, promising results have been achieved in patients with compensated cirrhosis, including those previously treated with SOF^[83,84].

Various IFN-free, DAA combination trials are currently ongoing in patients with decompensated cirrhosis^[85,86]. A recent trial included patients with advanced cirrhosis and post-liver transplant HCV recurrence treated with DCV/SOF/RBV for 12 wk. In the cirrhosis cohort, genotype 1 patients achieved overall SVR of 82% (92%, 91% and 50% in CTP A, B, and C, respectively^[87].

Current recommendations for the treatment of LT candidates with decompensated cirrhosis include LDV/SOF/RBV for genotype 1 administered for 12 wk

Table 5 Anti-hepatitis C virus therapy in liver transplant recipients with recurrent hepatitis C virus infection: Outcome of main studies from the past 10 years

Ref.	Population	п	Treatment regimen	SVR	Adverse effects
Interferon (IFN) or pegylated	d interferon (Peg-IFN) plus ribavirin	1 (RBV) 1	regimens		
Fernández et al ^[95] , 2006	LTR with recurrent HCV	47	Peg-IFN/RBV	23%	21% SAE
Carrión <i>et al</i> ^[77] , 2008	LTR with mild recurrence (F0-F2)	27	Peg-IFN/RBV	48%	56% discontinuation
Berenguer et al ^[92] , 2008	LTR with recurrent HCV	89	IFN/RBV vs Peg-IFN/RBV	16% vs 48%	20% decompensation;
					15% deaths
Hanouneh <i>et al</i> ^[93] , 2008	LTR with recurrent HCV	53	Peg-IFN/RBV	35%	23% SAE
Ueda <i>et al</i> ^[146] , 2010	LTR with recurrent HCV (G1)	34	Peg-IFN alfa-2b + RBV	50%	18% discontinuation
DAA triple therapy with Peg	g-IFN/RBV plus boceprevir (BOC) or	r telapre	vir (TVR)		
Verna <i>et al</i> ^[109] , 2015	Advanced fibrosis (F > 3) and 9	49	Peg-IFN/RBV/TVR or	51% AF 44% CH	22% AF and 33% CH
	FCH		BOC		decompensation
Pungpapong et al ^[108] , 2013	LTR with recurrent HCV	60	Peg-IFN/RBV/TVR (35)	67% TVR 45% BOC	12% decompensation,
			or BOC (25)		2 deaths
Coilly <i>et al</i> ^[107] , 2014	LTR with recurrent HCV	37	Peg-IFN/RBV/TVR (19)	20% TVR 71% BOC	14% SAE, 27% infection,
			or BOC (18)		3 deaths
IFN-free DAA regimens					
Forns <i>et al</i> ^[111] , 2015	Post-LT decompensated	92	SOF/RBV ± Peg-IFN 24-48	59%	46% SAE
	cirrhosis and FCH		wk		
Charlton <i>et al</i> ^[110] , 2015	LTR with recurrent HCV	40	SOF/RBV 24 wk	70%	No SAE
Reddy et al ^[44] , 2015	Post LT recurrence	223	LDV/SOF/RBV 12 vs 24	94% (60% CTP C)	4% SAE,
	(121 CPT B and C)		wk		3% discontinuation
Gutierrez et al ^[118] , 2015	Post LT recurrence	61	SOF/SMV ± RBV	93%	No SAE
Pungpapong et al ^[119] , 2015	Post LT recurrence	123	SOF/SMV ± RBV	90%	1 death possibly related to
					treatment
Kwo <i>et al</i> ^[103] , 2014	Post LT recurrence (G1)	34	Paritaprevir/r/Ombitasvir	97%	1 discontinuation
			and Dasabuvir/RBV		
Poordad <i>et al</i> ^[85] , 2015	Post LT recurrence	53	DCV/SOF/RBV 12 wk	94%	1 discontinuation (SVR);
					no SAE

LTR: Liver transplant recipients; SVR: Sustained virological response; CTP: Child-Turcotte-Pugh; SAE: Serious adverse event; FCH: Fibrosing cholestatic hepatitis; SOF: Sofosbuvir; SMV: Simeprevir; LDV: Ledipasvir; r: Ritonavir; DCV: Daclatasvir.

(or 24 wk if RBV intolerant or previous SOF therapy), SOF/RBV for 48 wk in genotypes 2 and 3 and DCV/ SOF/RBV for 12 wk for all genotypes^[16,85].

HCV treatment after LT

The achievement of SVR in recurrent HCV infection after LT is associated with stabilization of fibrosis and improved graft survival. In this setting, however, poor therapy tolerability represents an important limitation. Some studies have explored the effects of early or pre-emptive treatment, starting anti-HCV therapy immediately after LT in patients who may tolerate it, such as HCC patients with low MELD^[88]. The rationale for this approach is to act at a time when HCV-RNA is low and histologic damage is virtually absent^[89]. Among living donor recipients, in particular, the treatment could be easily planned and has shown encouraging results^[88]. Overall, the success of this strategy was limited by low SVR and high rates of discontinuation, while the effective impact on patients' survival has not been clearly proven^[90,91]. In the treatment of clinically evident disease, non-controlled studies including patients with recurrent HCV infection showed SVR rates ranging from 26% to 50% for Peg-IFN/RBV therapy (Table 5)^[92-101]. When initiated at the early stages of HCV recurrence (F0-F2), an advantage of Peg-IFN/RBV treatment was demonstrated, showing SVR around 50%; however, the possible increased

risk of rejection was not defined^[77]. Similarly to nontransplant patients, factors associated with SVR among LTR included low pretreatment HCV RNA levels, absence of advanced cirrhosis, having a genotype other than 1, and early virological response^[93]. A systematic review encompassing 38 studies showed overall SVR of 24% for standard IFN and 27% for Peg-IFN/RBV, with discontinuation rates of 24% and 26%, respectively^[102]. Similarly to LT candidates, PIbased triple therapy in HCV-infected LT recipients was initially deemed as a combination that would have drastically increased the rates of SVR. Nevertheless, this treatment did not meet the expectations, showing suboptimal efficacy counterbalanced by high SAE rates and challenges in managing drug-drug interactions between PI and calcineurin inhibitors (CNI), particularly tacrolimus^[103-106]. Overall, anemia, infection rates, and liver decompensation have significantly limited this therapeutic approach in LTR^[107-109].

2014 AASLD recommendations

A multicenter study has shown SVR of 70% among 40 LTR with compensated HCV disease treated with SOF/RBV for 24 wk^[110]. There were no deaths, graft losses or episodes of liver decompensation among post-liver transplantation patients, and no drugdrug interactions were reported between SOF and immunosuppressive agents. Among 92 patients with



 Table 6
 American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases 2014 recommendations for therapy in recurrent hepatitis C virus post liver transplant

Rating	Population	CPT B and C	Regimen	Daily Dose	
I B-recommended	G 1, 4 experienced and naïve	RBV 600 mg, increased as tolerated ¹	LDV/SOF/RBV 12 wk	90 mg/400 mg/weight-based ²	
I B-alternative	G 1, 4 naïve, RBV intolerant	Not recommended	LDV/SOF 24 wk	90 mg/400 mg	
I B-alternative	G1	Not recommended	SOF/SMV ± RBV 12 wk	$400 \text{ mg} + 150 \text{ mg} \pm \text{weight-based}^2$	
I B-alternative	G1	Recommended only for non-	Paritaprevir/r/rombitasvir/	150 mg/100 mg/25 mg/250 mg	
		cirrhosis	dasabuvir + RBV for 24 wk	bid/weight-based ²	
∏ B-recommended	G2 experienced and naïve	600 mg/d,	SOF/RBV 24 wk	400 mg/weight-based ²	
		increased as tolerated ¹			
I B-recommended	G3 experienced and naïve	600 mg, increased as tolerated ¹	SOF/RBV 24 wk	400 mg/weight-based ²	
II A Not recommended: Regimens containing PEG-IFN, monotherapy with PEG-IFN, RBV, or a DAA; TVR or BOC-based regimens					

¹*e.g.*, increased monthly by 200 mg/d; ²1000 mg < 75 kg, 1200 mg > 75 kg. Recommendations are graded according the level of the evidence and strength of the recommendation. G: Genotype; RBV: Ribavirin; LDV: Ledipasvir; SOF: Sofosbuvir; RBV: Ribavirin; r: Ritonavir; DCV: Daclatasvir; DAA: Direct active antiviral; TVR: Telaprevir; BOC: Boceprevir.

severe HCV disease, including liver decompensation, SOF compassionate use program (in association with RBV ± Peg-IFN) showed SVR12 of 59%; higher SVR (73%) were shown in patients treated for early severe recurrence^[111]. Based on these results, combination treatments containing SOF are currently included in the 2014 AASLD recommendations for patients who develop recurrent HCV infection post-LT (Table 6)^[112]. DAA combination therapy with LDP/SOF/RBV is indicated for patients with genotype 1 and 4, including those previously treated for HCV and patients with decompensated cirrhosis (with reduced RBV dose). The efficacy of this regimen was assessed in a large, multicenter, randomized controlled trial showing high rates of SVR irrespective of the treatment duration (12 wk vs 24 wk) along with improvements in MELD score, albumin and bilirubin^[113]. The study included 223 LTR with a wide spectrum of histologic and clinical severity of HCV recurrence. Thirty-seven/44 (84%) CTP B and 5/8 (63%) CTP C patients achieved SVR12, compared to 97% of patients with F0-F2 and compensated cirrhosis. Overall, 8 treatment-related SAE were documented. CTP C patients appeared to have lower SVR compared to the other groups, although the number of patients in this group was limited. Although its importance cannot be ascertained, the addition of RBV could have been responsible for the high SVR12 rates observed. According to the AASLD guidelines, a 24-wk course of LDP/SOF is recommended in LTR that are intolerant or ineligible to receive RBV. Patients with genotype 3 including cirrhotic patients, however, have shown suboptimal responses, especially with 12-wk regimens (Table 2). A 24-wk course of SOF/RBV is recommended in patients with genotype 3 with recurrent post-LT HCV disease (Table 6). Indications on the use of LDP/SOF for genotype 3 LTR are not made due to a lack of data in the post-LT setting and limited data among patients with cirrhosis. Nevertheless, a phase II study has reported SVR 12 of 100% for LDP/SOF/RBV compared to 64% for LDP/SOF in a cohort of patients with G3 infection (including 15% cirrhotic), potentially suggesting that LDV could even shorten the treatment duration in this group^[114]. A limitation in the use of LDV regards the concomitant use of proton pump inhibitors, that attenuate its absorption by > 90%. Promising results in LTR were also shown with the pan-genotypic combination of DCV/SOF/RBV. Analysis from a small group of 12 LTR showed SVR of 75% along with absence of drugdrug interactions and SAE^[115]. A study presented at the 2014 AASLD meeting including patients from the same cohort showed CTP score improvements in 20 patients (from 7.3 to 5.8, P = 0.004)^[116]. More recently, the results of the phase 3 ALLY-1 trial in LTR treated with DCV/SOF/RBV reported overall SVR of 94% regardless of prior treatment experience^[86]. Treatment with DCV/SOF/RBV has been included in the 2015 EASL (European Association for the Study of the Liver) recommendations for the treatment of HCV recurrence, including decompensated cirrhosis, in all genotypes^[16].

A multicenter study including 34 LTR with mild genotype 1 HCV recurrence (F0-F2) treated with paritaprevir/ritonavir, ombitasvir, twice-daily dosed dasabuvir, and RBV for 24 wk showed overall SVR of 97%^[103,117]. Dose adjustments were needed for cyclosporine and tacrolimus due to interactions between ritonavir and CNI. Only one discontinuation in a patient who achieved SVR was noted. Since the efficacy and tolerability in patients with more advanced HCV infection are not well known, this regimen is currently only recommended for LTR without cirrhosis. The association of SMV/SOF ± RBV is suggested as an alternative regimen in genotype 1 patients without liver decompensation and recurrent HCV disease post-LT. A retrospective analysis of a single center involving 61 patients with HCV genotype 1 infection who received a 12-wk combination regimen of SOF/SMV post-LT showed SVR12 of 93% compared with 67% in patients with advanced fibrosis^[118]. No SAE occurred during treatment. Similar results were obtained in a large multicenter study encompassing 123 patients receiving SOF/SMV after a median time from LT of 32 mo. SVR12 was achieved in 90% of patients,

with rates around 70% in patients with advanced fibrosis^[119]. While non-significant changes have been reported with tacrolimus use, up to 6-fold increases in SMV concentration have been noted in association with cyclosporine, due to inhibition of cytochrome P450 3A, ion-transporting polypeptide, and p-glycoprotein. Based on this data, SMV/SOF is preferred in patients receiving tacrolimus and represents a valid option in patients with impaired renal function or anemia who may not tolerate RBV. Additional data on SIM/SOF ± RBV came from a subgroup of 143 LTR from the TARGET cohort including 57% patients with cirrhosis. SVR4 rates were 94% among non-cirrhotic patients and 86% in patients with cirrhosis, showing a high level of concordance between cure rates obtained from clinical trials vs from real-life observational cohorts^[120].

Treatment of LTR with Human immunodeficiency virus/ HCV coinfection

After the introduction of highly active antiretroviral therapy, ESLD has become the main cause of death among human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)/HCVcoinfected patients^[121]. In patients that are not successfully treated for HCV, HIV infection accelerates the course of liver disease and increases the mortality rate^[122]. LT is an effective treatment for HIV/HCVcoinfected patients with severe liver disease; LTR, however, display significantly lower survival rates (around 55% at 5 years) compared with HCVmonoinfected patients^[123]. HIV infection alone has a minor impact on the outcome of organ transplantation; in fact, excellent results are reported among HIV monoinfected (or HIV/HBV-coinfected) patients undergoing LT, and better outcomes for HIV-positive compared to HCV-infected recipients of organ transplant have been recently demonstrated^[124]. HIV/HCV coinfection, however, accelerates post-LT progression towards fibrosis and liver decompensation^[125]. Furthermore, interactions between immunosuppressants and antiretrovirals via modulation of cytochrome P450 contribute to higher rates of acute graft rejections compared to non-HIV infected patients. Although new classes of antiretrovirals with limited interactions, such as integrase inhibitors and CCR5 receptor antagonist, are currently used in HIV/HCVcoinfected LTR, the presence of multiple and reciprocal drug-drug interactions or pathological conditions can still affect plasma drug concentrations^[126,127]. Moreover, HIV/HCV-coinfected patients have historically shown high adverse effects and discontinuation rates following anti-HCV treatment^[128,129]. Overall, poor survival along with limited effective therapeutic options still represent major barriers to LT in this cohort^[130,131]. Data reporting the results of anti-HCV treatment in HIV/HCVcoinfected LTR is scarce. Responses to Peg-IFN/RBV were significantly lower in HCV/HIV-coinfected LTR compared to monoinfected transplant recipents (10% vs 33%, respectively), particularly among genotype 1

patients^[129]. Nevertheless, HIV/HCV-coinfected patients achieving SVR showed survival rates up to 79%. The use of BOC and TVR in 7 HIV/HCV-coinfected LTR with severe HCV recurrence demonstrated 60% SVR and no response, respectively, along with high rates of SAE^[132]. Preliminary results on SOF/RBV compassionate use, instead, showed SVR4 of 100% and good tolerability in 7 HIV/HCV-coinfected LTR^[133].

Thanks to an improved efficacy, safety, and tolerability in HIV and transplant patients, the newly approved antiviral therapies have the potential to transform the treatment outcomes of HIV/HCVcoinfected patients with liver complications. Data from nontransplant patients suggests that HIV infection itself does not negatively impact SVR. Two trials involved a heterogeneous population of HIV/HCV-coinfected patients treated with SOF/RBV including different genotypes, patients with compensated cirrhosis, and treatment experienced patients. SVR12 were 90% in genotype 2 (irrespective of treatment duration) and above 80% among the other genotypes^[129,134]. High relapse rates in genotype 1 patients, however, suggested that dual DAA combinations is preferred in this group; overall, lowest SVR were displayed in patients with genotype 3 treated for 12 wk and in patients with genotype 1 and cirrhosis. Therapy duration of 12 wk for genotype 2 and 24 wk for genotype 3 and 4 are recommended. Low rates of SAE and discontinuation (8% and 2.5%, respectively) were reported. Other key studies in this cohort included the combination of SOF/LDV administered for 12 wk to 50 GT1 coinfected patients with optimal baseline conditions (e.g., absence of cirrhosis or previous treatment failures) showing SVR rates close to $100\%^{\scriptscriptstyle [135]}.$ The same combination showed SVR rates of 94% and 97% in cirrhotic and treatment-experienced patients, respectively, in a study encompassing 335 coinfected HIV-HCV patients^[136].

In a trial including 20% of patients with cirrhosis, HIV/HCV-coinfected patients receiving paritaprevir/ r/ombitasvir, dasabuvir and RBV had SVR rates above 90%, irrespective of treatment duration^[137]. Combination of grazoprevir and elbasvir showed comparable results between monoinfected and coinfected subjects (SVR12 of 93% vs 97% with RBV and 98% vs 87% without RBV, respectively)^[138]. Data on SMV use in coinfected patients is limited; its use in 12 HIV/HCV-positive patients showed SVR of 92%^[139].

DCV/SOF regimens in HIV/HCV-coinfected patients showed SVR of 98% when administered for 12 wk in treatment-experienced patients. Shorter regimens (*e.g.*, 8 wk), however, were associated with high relapse rates especially in cirrhotic patients^[140].

Although some trials were limited by a small number of patients or presented only interim results, anti-HCV treatment appeared to have similar efficacy among coinfected and monoinfected patients. Therefore, the new guidelines do not consider HIV/HCV coinfected



patients as a special population and recommend DAAbased treatments irrespective of HIV status. Among different anti-HCV regimens, paritaprevir/ritonavir/ ombitasvir plus dasabuvir was the most susceptible to drug interactions with antiretrovirals. SMV can also cause drug interactions with PI, efavirenz, etravirine, and ciclosporin; conversely, minor or non-clinically significant interactions were seen with DCV, SOF, or LDV^[141]. LDV/SOF, however, may increase tenofovir levels when associated with ritonavir-boosted HIV PI and its use is not recommended in patients with estimated CrCl < 60 mL/min.

Recently, recommendations for the treatment of HIV/HCV-coinfected LTR with recurrent HCV disease have been published by a group of experts^[142]. Based on the efficacy and the low potential for drug interactions, SOF/RBV and SOF/daclatasvir \pm RBV were identified as potentially preferred regimens in HIV/HCV-coinfected LTR^[142].

Updated databases and publications detailing the interactions between anti-HCV regimens and antire-trovirals are available and should always be consulted for the management of coinfected patients^[112,116].

CONCLUSION

Until recently, a well-tolerated and effective treatment protocol for the recurrence of HCV infection following LT has been an important unmet clinical need. The excellent response rates from new DAA combination therapies have opened new scenarios for patients with HCV-related advanced liver disease. Difficult-totreat patients (including LT candidates and recipients), however, have been understudied in recent trials. Even if data is limited in these patient populations, overall cure rates in clinical practice compared to clinical trials remained high, suggesting that even in real-life patients the high SVR rates can be reproducible. The benefits provided by the new anti-HCV regimens apply to both pre-transplant and post-transplant periods. Good safety profiles, high SVR rates, and MELD score improvement among patients with CTP C cirrhosis on waiting list shown by SOF-based regimens may lead to a delay in organ allocation. This result was not reported with Peg-IFN/RBV and could be attributed to IFN-free regimes that lack the catabolic effects induced by IFN, hence allowing a significant clinical improvement over a short time frame. Among LTR, early antiviral treatment after transplant (e.g., from 6 to 12 mo) may become standard and reduce the occurrence of advanced CPT scores that have been correlated to a limited response to anti-HCV treatment. IFN-free, DAA combinations may represent the future ideal option for patients on transplant waiting list and post-LT. Given that a high proportion of patients in recent trials still required concomitant erythropoietin or blood transfusions, the possibility to eliminate RVB appears very attractive. Nevertheless, drawbacks and open questions still apply to the scenario of new

anti-HCV drugs. While compounds such as SOF, GS-5816, and daclatasvir have activity against various genotypes, most combinations are mainly active against genotype 1. Among patients with genotype 3 and cirrhosis, however, reduced SVR were reported. Furthermore, a growing number of patients who have failed under DAA-based therapy will need more potent treatment options in the near future. Specifically, cirrhotic genotype 1 patients with a history of previous HCV treatment failure represent a challenging population. Among patients with cirrhosis, including LTR, unanswered guestions concern the need for RBV association to new therapies and the requirement to pursue longer treatment duration (12 wk vs 24 wk). Renal impairment, that often complicates ESLD, has not been fully addressed in the recent studies and necessitates further attention. Overall, a proportion of patients with advanced liver disease will progress towards ESLD despite the achievement of SVR, and the impact of new therapies is likely to be limited among patients with HCC. Finally, availability restrictions along with new treatments high cost still have a big impact on patient populations who necessitate prioritized treatment.

In conclusion, the availability of new options in the treatment of HCV infection is likely to have a major impact in liver transplant candidates and recipients. Further studies employing new DAA combinations in the treatment of patients with decompensated cirrhosis, HIV/HCV coinfection, and chronic kidney disease are awaited in order to improve the management of difficult-to-treat populations that often require urgent treatment.

REFERENCES

- Global Burden Of Hepatitis C Working Group. Global burden of disease (GBD) for hepatitis C. J Clin Pharmacol 2004; 44: 20-29 [PMID: 14681338 DOI: 10.1177/0091270003258669]
- 2 Lavanchy D. The global burden of hepatitis C. Liver Int 2009; 29 Suppl 1: 74-81 [PMID: 19207969 DOI: 10.1111/ j.1478-3231.2008.01934.x]
- 3 Rodger AJ, Roberts S, Lanigan A, Bowden S, Brown T, Crofts N. Assessment of long-term outcomes of community-acquired hepatitis C infection in a cohort with sera stored from 1971 to 1975. *Hepatology* 2000; 32: 582-587 [PMID: 10960453 DOI: 10.1053/jhep.2000.9714]
- 4 Perz JF, Armstrong GL, Farrington LA, Hutin YJ, Bell BP. The contributions of hepatitis B virus and hepatitis C virus infections to cirrhosis and primary liver cancer worldwide. *J Hepatol* 2006; 45: 529-538 [PMID: 16879891 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2006.05.013]
- 5 Fattovich G, Giustina G, Degos F, Tremolada F, Diodati G, Almasio P, Nevens F, Solinas A, Mura D, Brouwer JT, Thomas H, Njapoum C, Casarin C, Bonetti P, Fuschi P, Basho J, Tocco A, Bhalla A, Galassini R, Noventa F, Schalm SW, Realdi G. Morbidity and mortality in compensated cirrhosis type C: a retrospective follow-up study of 384 patients. *Gastroenterology* 1997; **112**: 463-472 [PMID: 9024300 DOI: 10.1053/gast.1997.v112.pm9024300]
- 6 Khan MH, Farrell GC, Byth K, Lin R, Weltman M, George J, Samarasinghe D, Kench J, Kaba S, Crewe E, Liddle C. Which patients with hepatitis C develop liver complications? *Hepatology* 2000; **31**: 513-520 [PMID: 10655279 DOI: 10.1002/hep.510310236]

- 7 Szabó E, Lotz G, Páska C, Kiss A, Schaff Z. Viral hepatitis: new data on hepatitis C infection. *Pathol Oncol Res* 2003; 9: 215-221 [PMID: 14688826 DOI: 10.1007/BF02893380]
- 8 Biggins SW, Bambha KM, Terrault NA, Inadomi J, Shiboski S, Dodge JL, Gralla J, Rosen HR, Roberts JP. Projected future increase in aging hepatitis C virus-infected liver transplant candidates: a potential effect of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Liver Transpl* 2012; 18: 1471-1478 [PMID: 23008049 DOI: 10.1002/ lt.23551]
- 9 Adam R, McMaster P, O'Grady JG, Castaing D, Klempnauer JL, Jamieson N, Neuhaus P, Lerut J, Salizzoni M, Pollard S, Muhlbacher F, Rogiers X, Garcia Valdecasas JC, Berenguer J, Jaeck D, Moreno Gonzalez E. Evolution of liver transplantation in Europe: report of the European Liver Transplant Registry. *Liver Transpl* 2003; 9: 1231-1243 [PMID: 14625822 DOI: 10.1016/ j.lts.2003.09.018]
- 10 Terrault N. Liver transplantation in the setting of chronic HCV. Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol 2012; 26: 531-548 [PMID: 23199510 DOI: 10.1016/j.bpg.2012.09.010]
- 11 Verna EC, Saxena V, Burton JR, O'Leary JG, Dodge JL, Stravitz RT, Levitsky J, Trotter JF, Everson GT, Brown RS, Terrault NA. Telaprevir- and Boceprevir-based Triple Therapy for Hepatitis C in Liver Transplant Recipients With Advanced Recurrent Disease: A Multicenter Study. *Transplantation* 2015; **99**: 1644-1651 [PMID: 25715116 DOI: 10.1097/tp.00000000000629]
- 12 AASLD and IDSA Guidelines. Recommendations for Testing, Managing, and Treating Hepatitis C. When and in whom to initiate HCV Therapy. Accessed April 2, 2015. Available from: URL: http://www.hcvguidelines.org/
- 13 Manns MP, Pockros PJ, Norkrans G, Smith CI, Morgan TR, Häussinger D, Shiffman ML, Hadziyannis SJ, Schmidt WN, Jacobson IM, Bárcena R, Schiff ER, Shaikh OS, Bacon B, Marcellin P, Deng W, Esteban-Mur R, Poynard T, Pedicone LD, Brass CA, Albrecht JK, Gordon SC. Long-term clearance of hepatitis C virus following interferon α-2b or peginterferon α-2b, alone or in combination with ribavirin. J Viral Hepat 2013; 20: 524-529 [PMID: 23808990 DOI: 10.1111/jvh.12074]
- 14 Backus LI, Boothroyd DB, Phillips BR, Belperio P, Halloran J, Mole LA. A sustained virologic response reduces risk of allcause mortality in patients with hepatitis C. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2011; 9: 509-516.e1 [PMID: 21397729 DOI: 10.1016/ j.cgh.2011.03.004]
- 15 Dienstag JL, Ghany MG, Morgan TR, Di Bisceglie AM, Bonkovsky HL, Kim HY, Seeff LB, Szabo G, Wright EC, Sterling RK, Everson GT, Lindsay KL, Lee WM, Lok AS, Morishima C, Stoddard AM, Everhart JE. A prospective study of the rate of progression in compensated, histologically advanced chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 2011; 54: 396-405 [PMID: 21520194 DOI: 10.1002/hep.24370]
- 16 European Association for Study of Liver. EASL Recommendations on Treatment of Hepatitis C 2015. *J Hepatol* 2015; 63: 199-236 [PMID: 25911336 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2015.03.025]
- 17 Fried MW, Shiffman ML, Reddy KR, Smith C, Marinos G, Gonçales FL, Häussinger D, Diago M, Carosi G, Dhumeaux D, Craxi A, Lin A, Hoffman J, Yu J. Peginterferon alfa-2a plus ribavirin for chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *N Engl J Med* 2002; 347: 975-982 [PMID: 12324553 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa020047]
- 18 Manns MP, McHutchison JG, Gordon SC, Rustgi VK, Shiffman M, Reindollar R, Goodman ZD, Koury K, Ling M, Albrecht JK. Peginterferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin compared with interferon alfa-2b plus ribavirin for initial treatment of chronic hepatitis C: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2001; **358**: 958-965 [PMID: 11583749 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(01)06102-5]
- 19 Hadziyannis SJ, Sette H, Morgan TR, Balan V, Diago M, Marcellin P, Ramadori G, Bodenheimer H, Bernstein D, Rizzetto M, Zeuzem S, Pockros PJ, Lin A, Ackrill AM. Peginterferonalpha2a and ribavirin combination therapy in chronic hepatitis C: a randomized study of treatment duration and ribavirin dose. *Ann Intern Med* 2004; 140: 346-355 [PMID: 14996676 DOI: 10.7326/0 003-4819-140-5-200403020-00010]

- 20 Venkatraman S, Bogen SL, Arasappan A, Bennett F, Chen K, Jao E, Liu YT, Lovey R, Hendrata S, Huang Y, Pan W, Parekh T, Pinto P, Popov V, Pike R, Ruan S, Santhanam B, Vibulbhan B, Wu W, Yang W, Kong J, Liang X, Wong J, Liu R, Butkiewicz N, Chase R, Hart A, Agrawal S, Ingravallo P, Pichardo J, Kong R, Baroudy B, Malcolm B, Guo Z, Prongay A, Madison V, Broske L, Cui X, Cheng KC, Hsieh Y, Brisson JM, Prelusky D, Korfmacher W, White R, Bogdanowich-Knipp S, Pavlovsky A, Bradley P, Saksena AK, Ganguly A, Piwinski J, Girijavallabhan V, Njoroge FG. Discovery of (1R,5S)-N-[3-amino-1-(cyclobutylmethyl)-2,3-dioxopropyl]-3-[2(S)-[[[(1,1-dimethylethyl)amino]carbonyl]amino]-3,3dimethyl-1-oxobutyl]- 6,6-dimethyl-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-2(S)-carboxamide (SCH 503034), a selective, potent, orally bioavailable hepatitis C virus NS3 protease inhibitor: a potential therapeutic agent for the treatment of hepatitis C infection. J Med Chem 2006; 49: 6074-6086 [PMID: 17004721 DOI: 10.1021/ jm060325b]
- Lin C, Kwong AD, Perni RB. Discovery and development of VX-950, a novel, covalent, and reversible inhibitor of hepatitis C virus NS3.4A serine protease. *Infect Disord Drug Targets* 2006; 6: 3-16 [PMID: 16787300 DOI: 10.2174/187152606776056706]
- 22 Poordad F, McCone J, Bacon BR, Bruno S, Manns MP, Sulkowski MS, Jacobson IM, Reddy KR, Goodman ZD, Boparai N, DiNubile MJ, Sniukiene V, Brass CA, Albrecht JK, Bronowicki JP. Boceprevir for untreated chronic HCV genotype 1 infection. *N Engl J Med* 2011; 364: 1195-1206 [PMID: 21449783 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1010494]
- 23 Bacon BR, Gordon SC, Lawitz E, Marcellin P, Vierling JM, Zeuzem S, Poordad F, Goodman ZD, Sings HL, Boparai N, Burroughs M, Brass CA, Albrecht JK, Esteban R. Boceprevir for previously treated chronic HCV genotype 1 infection. *N Engl J Med* 2011; 364: 1207-1217 [PMID: 21449784 DOI: 10.1056/ NEJMoa1009482]
- 24 Jacobson IM, McHutchison JG, Dusheiko G, Di Bisceglie AM, Reddy KR, Bzowej NH, Marcellin P, Muir AJ, Ferenci P, Flisiak R, George J, Rizzetto M, Shouval D, Sola R, Terg RA, Yoshida EM, Adda N, Bengtsson L, Sankoh AJ, Kieffer TL, George S, Kauffman RS, Zeuzem S. Telaprevir for previously untreated chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *N Engl J Med* 2011; **364**: 2405-2416 [PMID: 21696307 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1012912]
- 25 Sherman KE, Flamm SL, Afdhal NH, Nelson DR, Sulkowski MS, Everson GT, Fried MW, Adler M, Reesink HW, Martin M, Sankoh AJ, Adda N, Kauffman RS, George S, Wright CI, Poordad F. Response-guided telaprevir combination treatment for hepatitis C virus infection. *N Engl J Med* 2011; 365: 1014-1024 [PMID: 21916639 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1014463]
- 26 Ghany MG, Nelson DR, Strader DB, Thomas DL, Seeff LB. An update on treatment of genotype 1 chronic hepatitis C virus infection: 2011 practice guideline by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. *Hepatology* 2011; 54: 1433-1444 [PMID: 21898493 DOI: 10.1002/hep.24641]
- 27 Vertex Pharmaceuticals discontinues Incivek. Canadian Treatment Action Council website. Accessed February 19, 2015. Available from: URL: http://www.ctac.ca/multimedia-press/ treatmentaccessnews/vertex-pharmaceuticals-discontinues-incivek
- 28 Clinical Pharmacology [database online]. Accessed March 12, 2015. Tampa, FL: Gold Standard, Inc., 2014. Available from: URL: http://clinicalpharmacology-ip.com/default.aspx
- 29 FDA approves Sovaldi for chronic hepatitis C. FDA news release US food and Drug administration. Accessed December 6, 2013. Available from: URL: http://www.Fda.gov/newsevents/newsroom/ pressannouncements/ucm377888.htm
- 30 Lam AM, Espiritu C, Bansal S, Micolochick Steuer HM, Niu C, Zennou V, Keilman M, Zhu Y, Lan S, Otto MJ, Furman PA. Genotype and subtype profiling of PSI-7977 as a nucleotide inhibitor of hepatitis C virus. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2012; 56: 3359-3368 [PMID: 22430955 DOI: 10.1128/AAC.00054-12]
- 31 Sofia MJ, Bao D, Chang W, Du J, Nagarathnam D, Rachakonda S, Reddy PG, Ross BS, Wang P, Zhang HR, Bansal S, Espiritu C, Keilman M, Lam AM, Steuer HM, Niu C, Otto MJ, Furman PA.



Discovery of a β -d-2'-deoxy-2'- α -fluoro-2'- β -C-methyluridine nucleotide prodrug (PSI-7977) for the treatment of hepatitis C virus. *J Med Chem* 2010; **53**: 7202-7218 [PMID: 20845908 DOI: 10.1021/jm100863x]

- 32 Hsu CS. Sofosbuvir for previously untreated chronic hepatitis C infection. N Engl J Med 2013; 369: 678 [PMID: 23944317 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1214853]
- 33 Lawitz E, Mangia A, Wyles D, Rodriguez-Torres M, Hassanein T, Gordon SC, Schultz M, Davis MN, Kayali Z, Reddy KR, Jacobson IM, Kowdley KV, Nyberg L, Subramanian GM, Hyland RH, Arterburn S, Jiang D, McNally J, Brainard D, Symonds WT, McHutchison JG, Sheikh AM, Younossi Z, Gane EJ. Sofosbuvir for previously untreated chronic hepatitis C infection. *N Engl J Med* 2013; **368**: 1878-1887 [PMID: 23607594 DOI: 10.1056/ NEJMoa1214854]
- 34 Mangia A, Piazzolla V. Overall efficacy and safety results of sofosbuvir-based therapies in phase II and III studies. *Dig Liver Dis* 2014; 46 Suppl 5: S179-S185 [PMID: 25458780 DOI: 10.1016/ j.dld.2014.09.026]
- 35 Lawitz E, Rodriguez-Torres M, Cornpropst M. The effect of hepatic impairment on the safety, pharmacokinetics, and antiviral activity of GS-7977 in hepatitis C infected patients treated for seven days (abstr). *J Hepatol* 2012; **56** (Suppl 1): S445-S446 [DOI: 10.1016/S0168-8278(12)61142-8]
- 36 Lawitz E, Sulkowski MS, Ghalib R, Rodriguez-Torres M, Younossi ZM, Corregidor A, DeJesus E, Pearlman B, Rabinovitz M, Gitlin N, Lim JK, Pockros PJ, Scott JD, Fevery B, Lambrecht T, Ouwerkerk-Mahadevan S, Callewaert K, Symonds WT, Picchio G, Lindsay KL, Beumont M, Jacobson IM. Simeprevir plus sofosbuvir, with or without ribavirin, to treat chronic infection with hepatitis C virus genotype 1 in non-responders to pegylated interferon and ribavirin and treatment-naive patients: the COSMOS randomised study. *Lancet* 2014; **384**: 1756-1765 [PMID: 25078309 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61036-9]
- 37 Afdhal N, Reddy KR, Nelson DR, Lawitz E, Gordon SC, Schiff E, Nahass R, Ghalib R, Gitlin N, Herring R, Lalezari J, Younes ZH, Pockros PJ, Di Bisceglie AM, Arora S, Subramanian GM, Zhu Y, Dvory-Sobol H, Yang JC, Pang PS, Symonds WT, McHutchison JG, Muir AJ, Sulkowski M, Kwo P. Ledipasvir and sofosbuvir for previously treated HCV genotype 1 infection. *N Engl J Med* 2014; **370**: 1483-1493 [PMID: 24725238 DOI: 10.1056/ NEJMoa1316366]
- 38 Kowdley KV, Gordon SC, Reddy KR, Rossaro L, Bernstein DE, Lawitz E, Shiffman ML, Schiff E, Ghalib R, Ryan M, Rustgi V, Chojkier M, Herring R, Di Bisceglie AM, Pockros PJ, Subramanian GM, An D, Svarovskaia E, Hyland RH, Pang PS, Symonds WT, McHutchison JG, Muir AJ, Pound D, Fried MW. Ledipasvir and sofosbuvir for 8 or 12 weeks for chronic HCV without cirrhosis. *N Engl J Med* 2014; **370**: 1879-1888 [PMID: 24720702 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1402355]
- 39 Poordad F, Hezode C, Trinh R, Kowdley KV, Zeuzem S, Agarwal K, Shiffman ML, Wedemeyer H, Berg T, Yoshida EM, Forns X, Lovell SS, Da Silva-Tillmann B, Collins CA, Campbell AL, Podsadecki T, Bernstein B. ABT-450/r-ombitasvir and dasabuvir with ribavirin for hepatitis C with cirrhosis. N Engl J Med 2014; 370: 1973-1982 [PMID: 24725237 DOI: 10.1056/ NEJMoa1402869]
- 40 Andreone P, Colombo MG, Enejosa JV, Koksal I, Ferenci P, Maieron A, Müllhaupt B, Horsmans Y, Weiland O, Reesink HW, Rodrigues L, Hu YB, Podsadecki T, Bernstein B. ABT-450, ritonavir, ombitasvir, and dasabuvir achieves 97% and 100% sustained virologic response with or without ribavirin in treatment-experienced patients with HCV genotype 1b infection. *Gastroenterology* 2014; 147: 359-365.e1 [PMID: 24818763 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2014.04.045]
- 41 Zeuzem S, Jacobson IM, Baykal T, Marinho RT, Poordad F, Bourlière M, Sulkowski MS, Wedemeyer H, Tam E, Desmond P, Jensen DM, Di Bisceglie AM, Varunok P, Hassanein T, Xiong J, Pilot-Matias T, DaSilva-Tillmann B, Larsen L, Podsadecki T, Bernstein B. Retreatment of HCV with ABT-450/r-ombitasvir and

dasabuvir with ribavirin. *N Engl J Med* 2014; **370**: 1604-1614 [PMID: 24720679 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1401561]

- 42 Lawitz E, Poordad F, Brainard DM, Hyland RH, An D, Dvory-Sobol H, Symonds WT, McHutchison JG, Membreno FE. Sofosbuvir with peginterferon-ribavirin for 12 weeks in previously treated patients with hepatitis C genotype 2 or 3 and cirrhosis. *Hepatology* 2015; 61: 769-775 [PMID: 25322962 DOI: 10.1002/ hep.27567]
- 43 Bourlière M, Bronowicki JP, de Ledinghen V, Hézode C, Zoulim F, Mathurin P, Tran A, Larrey DG, Ratziu V, Alric L, Hyland RH, Jiang D, Doehle B, Pang PS, Symonds WT, Subramanian GM, McHutchison JG, Marcellin P, Habersetzer F, Guyader D, Grangé JD, Loustaud-Ratti V, Serfaty L, Metivier S, Leroy V, Abergel A, Pol S. Ledipasvir-sofosbuvir with or without ribavirin to treat patients with HCV genotype 1 infection and cirrhosis non-responsive to previous protease-inhibitor therapy: a randomised, double-blind, phase 2 trial (SIRIUS). *Lancet Infect Dis* 2015; **15**: 397-404 [PMID: 25773757 DOI: 10.1016/S1473-3099(15)70050-2]
- 44 Reddy KR, Bourlière M, Sulkowski M, Omata M, Zeuzem S, Feld JJ, Lawitz E, Marcellin P, Welzel TM, Hyland R, Ding X, Yang J, Knox S, Pang P, Dvory-Sobol H, Subramanian GM, Symonds W, McHutchison JG, Mangia A, Gane E, Mizokami M, Pol S, Afdhal N. Ledipasvir and sofosbuvir in patients with genotype 1 hepatitis C virus infection and compensated cirrhosis: An integrated safety and efficacy analysis. *Hepatology* 2015; 62: 79-86 [PMID: 25846144]
- 45 Bourliere M, Sulkowski MS, Omata M, Zeuzem S, Feld LL, Lawitz E, Marcellin P, Hyland RH, Ding X, Yang JC, Knox SJ, Pang PS, Subramanian M, Symonds WT, McHutchison JG, Mangia A, Gane EJ, Reddy KR, Mizokami M, Pol S, Afdhal NH. An Integrated Safety and Efficacy Analysis of >500 Patients with Compensated Cirrhosis Treated with Ledipasvir/Sofosbuvir with or without Ribavirin. 65th Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Study of Liver diseases, November 7-11, 2014; Boston, United States
- 46 Dieterich D, Bacon BR, Flamm SL, Kowdley KV, Milligan S, Tsai N, Yonoussi Z, Lawitz E. Evaluation of sofosbuvir and simeprevirbased regimens in the TRIO network: academic and community treatment of a real-world, heterogeneous population. 65th Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Study of Liver diseases, November 7-11, 2014; Boston, United States
- 47 Lawitz E, Gane E, Pearlman B, Tam E, Ghesquiere W, Guyader D, Alric L, Bronowicki JP, Lester L, Sievert W, Ghalib R, Balart L, Sund F, Lagging M, Dutko F, Shaughnessy M, Hwang P, Howe AY, Wahl J, Robertson M, Barr E, Haber B. Efficacy and safety of 12 weeks versus 18 weeks of treatment with grazoprevir (MK-5172) and elbasvir (MK-8742) with or without ribavirin for hepatitis C virus genotype 1 infection in previously untreated patients with cirrhosis and patients with previous null response with or without cirrhosis (C-WORTHY): a randomised, open-label phase 2 trial. *Lancet* 2015; **385**: 1075-1086 [PMID: 25467591 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61795-5]
- 48 ClinicalTrials.gov. Safety and Efficacy of Grazoprevir (MK-5172) Elbasvir (MK-8742) in Participants with Chronic Hepatitis C and Chronic Kidney Disease (MK-5172-052) (C-SURFER). Identifier: NCT02092350
- 49 Muir AJ, Poordad F, Lalezari J, Everson G, Dore GJ, Herring R, Sheikh A, Kwo P, Hézode C, Pockros PJ, Tran A, Yozviak J, Reau N, Ramji A, Stuart K, Thompson AJ, Vierling J, Freilich B, Cooper J, Ghesquiere W, Yang R, McPhee F, Hughes EA, Swenson ES, Yin PD. Daclatasvir in combination with asunaprevir and beclabuvir for hepatitis C virus genotype 1 infection with compensated cirrhosis. *JAMA* 2015; **313**: 1736-1744 [PMID: 25942724 DOI: 10.1001/jama.2015.3868]
- 50 Wiesner RH, Sorrell M, Villamil F. Report of the first International Liver Transplantation Society expert panel consensus conference on liver transplantation and hepatitis C. *Liver Transpl* 2003; 9: S1-S9 [PMID: 14586888 DOI: 10.1053/jlts.2003.50268]
- 51 McCaughan GW, Zekry A. Pathogenesis of hepatitis C virus recurrence in the liver allograft. *Liver Transpl* 2002; 8: S7-S13



[PMID: 12362292 DOI: 10.1053/jlts.2002.35856]

- 52 Ballardini G, De Raffele E, Groff P, Bioulac-Sage P, Grassi A, Ghetti S, Susca M, Strazzabosco M, Bellusci R, Iemmolo RM, Grazi G, Zauli D, Cavallari A, Bianchi FB. Timing of reinfection and mechanisms of hepatocellular damage in transplanted hepatitis C virus-reinfected liver. *Liver Transpl* 2002; 8: 10-20 [PMID: 11799480 DOI: 10.1053/jlts.2002.30141]
- 53 Guerrero RB, Batts KP, Burgart LJ, Barrett SL, Germer JJ, Poterucha JJ, Wiesner RH, Charlton MR, Persing DH. Early detection of hepatitis C allograft reinfection after orthotopic liver transplantation: a molecular and histologic study. *Mod Pathol* 2000; 13: 229-237 [PMID: 10757333 DOI: 10.1038/modpathol.3880043]
- 54 Garcia-Retortillo M, Forns X, Feliu A, Moitinho E, Costa J, Navasa M, Rimola A, Rodes J. Hepatitis C virus kinetics during and immediately after liver transplantation. *Hepatology* 2002; 35: 680-687 [PMID: 11870384 DOI: 10.1053/jhep.2002.31773]
- 55 Rosen HR, Hinrichs DJ, Gretch DR, Koziel MJ, Chou S, Houghton M, Rabkin J, Corless CL, Bouwer HG. Association of multispecific CD4(+) response to hepatitis C and severity of recurrence after liver transplantation. *Gastroenterology* 1999; **117**: 926-932 [PMID: 10500076 DOI: 10.1016/S0016-5085(99)70352-5]
- 56 McCaughan GW, Zekry A. Effects of immunosuppression and organ transplantation on the natural history and immunopathogenesis of hepatitis C virus infection. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2000; 2: 166-185 [PMID: 11429029 DOI: 10.1034/j.1399-3062.2000.020403.x]
- 57 Gane EJ. The natural history of recurrent hepatitis C and what influences this. *Liver Transpl* 2008; 14 Suppl 2: S36-S44 [PMID: 18825724 DOI: 10.1002/lt.21646]
- 58 Crespo G, Mariño Z, Navasa M, Forns X. Viral hepatitis in liver transplantation. *Gastroenterology* 2012; 142: 1373-1383.e1 [PMID: 22537446]
- 59 Tong MJ, el-Farra NS, Reikes AR, Co RL. Clinical outcomes after transfusion-associated hepatitis C. N Engl J Med 1995; 332: 1463-1466 [PMID: 7739682 DOI: 10.1056/NEJM199506013322202]
- 60 Féray C, Samuel D, Thiers V, Gigou M, Pichon F, Bismuth A, Reynes M, Maisonneuve P, Bismuth H, Bréchot C. Reinfection of liver graft by hepatitis C virus after liver transplantation. J Clin Invest 1992; 89: 1361-1365 [PMID: 1313453 DOI: 10.1172/ JCI115723]
- 61 Reed A, Howard RJ, Fujita S, Foley DP, Langham MR, Schold JD, Nelson D, Soldevila-Pico C, Firpi R, Abdelmalek M, Morrelli G, Hemming AW. Liver retransplantation: a single-center outcome and financial analysis. *Transplant Proc* 2005; **37**: 1161-1163 [PMID: 15848656 DOI: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2004.11.046]
- 62 Veldt BJ, Poterucha JJ, Watt KD, Wiesner RH, Hay JE, Rosen CB, Heimbach JK, Janssen HL, Charlton MR. Insulin resistance, serum adipokines and risk of fibrosis progression in patients transplanted for hepatitis C. *Am J Transplant* 2009; **9**: 1406-1413 [PMID: 19459812 DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2009.02642.x]
- 63 Sabharwal S, Delgado-Borrego A, Chung RT. Extrahepatic hepatitis C virus after transplantation: diabetes and renal dysfunction. *Liver Transpl* 2008; 14 Suppl 2: S51-S57 [PMID: 18825714 DOI: 10.1002/lt.21613]
- 64 Hanouneh IA, Feldstein AE, McCullough AJ, Miller C, Aucejo F, Yerian L, Lopez R, Zein NN. The significance of metabolic syndrome in the setting of recurrent hepatitis C after liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl* 2008; 14: 1287-1293 [PMID: 18756451 DOI: 10.1002/lt.21524]
- 65 Forman LM, Lewis JD, Berlin JA, Feldman HI, Lucey MR. The association between hepatitis C infection and survival after orthotopic liver transplantation. *Gastroenterology* 2002; 122: 889-896 [PMID: 11910340 DOI: 10.1053/gast.2002.32418]
- 66 Charlton MR, Thompson A, Veldt BJ, Watt K, Tillmann H, Poterucha JJ, Heimbach JK, Goldstein D, McHutchison J. Interleukin-28B polymorphisms are associated with histological recurrence and treatment response following liver transplantation in patients with hepatitis C virus infection. *Hepatology* 2011; 53: 317-324 [PMID: 21254179 DOI: 10.1002/hep.24074]
- 67 **Firpi RJ**, Clark V, Soldevila-Pico C, Morelli G, Cabrera R, Levy C, Machicao VI, Chaoru C, Nelson DR. The natural history of

hepatitis C cirrhosis after liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl* 2009; **15**: 1063-1071 [PMID: 19718647 DOI: 10.1002/lt.21784]

- 68 Gallegos-Orozco JF, Yosephy A, Noble B, Aqel BA, Byrne TJ, Carey EJ, Douglas DD, Mulligan D, Moss A, de Petris G, Williams JW, Rakela J, Vargas HE. Natural history of post-liver transplantation hepatitis C: A review of factors that may influence its course. *Liver Transpl* 2009; 15: 1872-1881 [PMID: 19938138 DOI: 10.1002/lt.21954]
- 69 Rosen HR, Gretch DR, Oehlke M, Flora KD, Benner KG, Rabkin JM, Corless CL. Timing and severity of initial hepatitis C recurrence as predictors of long-term liver allograft injury. *Transplantation* 1998; 65: 1178-1182 [PMID: 9603164 DOI: 10.1097/00007890-199805150-00006]
- 70 Charlton M, Seaberg E, Wiesner R, Everhart J, Zetterman R, Lake J, Detre K, Hoofnagle J. Predictors of patient and graft survival following liver transplantation for hepatitis C. *Hepatology* 1998; 28: 823-830 [PMID: 9731579 DOI: 10.1002/hep.510280333]
- 71 Bruno S, Shiffman ML, Roberts SK, Gane EJ, Messinger D, Hadziyannis SJ, Marcellin P. Efficacy and safety of peginterferon alfa-2a (40KD) plus ribavirin in hepatitis C patients with advanced fibrosis and cirrhosis. *Hepatology* 2010; **51**: 388-397 [PMID: 19918980 DOI: 10.1002/hep.23340]
- 72 Everson GT, Hoefs JC, Seeff LB, Bonkovsky HL, Naishadham D, Shiffman ML, Kahn JA, Lok AS, Di Bisceglie AM, Lee WM, Dienstag JL, Ghany MG, Morishima C. Impact of disease severity on outcome of antiviral therapy for chronic hepatitis C: Lessons from the HALT-C trial. *Hepatology* 2006; 44: 1675-1684 [PMID: 17133499 DOI: 10.1002/hep.21440]
- 73 Van Thiel DH, Faruki H, Friedlander L, Fagiuoli S, Caraceni P, Molloy PJ, Kania RJ, Wright HI. Combination treatment of advanced HCV associated liver disease with interferon and G-CSF. *Hepatogastroenterology* 1995; 42: 907-912 [PMID: 8847044]
- 74 Everson GT, Trotter J, Forman L, Kugelmas M, Halprin A, Fey B, Ray C. Treatment of advanced hepatitis C with a low accelerating dosage regimen of antiviral therapy. *Hepatology* 2005; 42: 255-262 [PMID: 16025497 DOI: 10.1002/hep.20793]
- 75 Crippin JS, McCashland T, Terrault N, Sheiner P, Charlton MR. A pilot study of the tolerability and efficacy of antiviral therapy in hepatitis C virus-infected patients awaiting liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl* 2002; 8: 350-355 [PMID: 11965579 DOI: 10.1053/ jlts.2002.31748]
- 76 Thomas RM, Brems JJ, Guzman-Hartman G, Yong S, Cavaliere P, Van Thiel DH. Infection with chronic hepatitis C virus and liver transplantation: a role for interferon therapy before transplantation. *Liver Transpl* 2003; 9: 905-915 [PMID: 12942451 DOI: 10.1053/ jlts.2003.50166]
- 77 Carrión JA, Navasa M, García-Retortillo M, García-Pagan JC, Crespo G, Bruguera M, Bosch J, Forns X. Efficacy of antiviral therapy on hepatitis C recurrence after liver transplantation: a randomized controlled study. *Gastroenterology* 2007; 132: 1746-1756 [PMID: 17484872 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2007.03.041]
- 78 Carrión JA, Martínez-Bauer E, Crespo G, Ramírez S, Pérez-del-Pulgar S, García-Valdecasas JC, Navasa M, Forns X. Antiviral therapy increases the risk of bacterial infections in HCV-infected cirrhotic patients awaiting liver transplantation: A retrospective study. *J Hepatol* 2009; **50**: 719-728 [PMID: 19217183 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2008.11.015]
- 79 Everson GT, Terrault NA, Lok AS, Rodrigo del R, Brown RS, Saab S, Shiffman ML, Al-Osaimi AM, Kulik LM, Gillespie BW, Everhart JE. A randomized controlled trial of pretransplant antiviral therapy to prevent recurrence of hepatitis C after liver transplantation. *Hepatology* 2013; 57: 1752-1762 [PMID: 22821361 DOI: 10.1002/hep.25976]
- 80 Osinusi A, Meissner EG, Lee YJ, Bon D, Heytens L, Nelson A, Sneller M, Kohli A, Barrett L, Proschan M, Herrmann E, Shivakumar B, Gu W, Kwan R, Teferi G, Talwani R, Silk R, Kotb C, Wroblewski S, Fishbein D, Dewar R, Highbarger H, Zhang X, Kleiner D, Wood BJ, Chavez J, Symonds WT, Subramanian M, McHutchison J, Polis MA, Fauci AS, Masur H, Kottilil S. Sofosbuvir and ribavirin for hepatitis C genotype 1 in patients

with unfavorable treatment characteristics: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2013; **310**: 804-811 [PMID: 23982366 DOI: 10.1001/jama.2013.109309]

- 81 Curry MP, Forns X, Chung RT, Terrault NA, Brown R, Fenkel JM, Gordon F, O'Leary J, Kuo A, Schiano T, Everson G, Schiff E, Befeler A, Gane E, Saab S, McHutchison JG, Subramanian GM, Symonds WT, Denning J, McNair L, Arterburn S, Svarovskaia E, Moonka D, Afdhal N. Sofosbuvir and ribavirin prevent recurrence of HCV infection after liver transplantation: an open-label study. *Gastroenterology* 2015; 148: 100-107.e1 [PMID: 25261839]
- 82 Charlton M, Everson GT, Flamm SL, Kumar P, Landis C, Brown RS, Fried MW, Terrault NA, O'Leary JG, Vargas HE, Kuo A, Schiff E, Sulkowski MS, Gilroy R, Watt KD, Brown K, Kwo P, Pungpapong S, Korenblat KM, Muir AJ, Teperman L, Fontana RJ, Denning J, Arterburn S, Dvory-Sobol H, Brandt-Sarif T, Pang PS, McHutchison JG, Reddy KR, Afdhal N. Ledipasvir and Sofosbuvir Plus Ribavirin for Treatment of HCV Infection in Patients With Advanced Liver Disease. *Gastroenterology* 2015; 149: 649-659 [PMID: 25985734 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2015.05.010]
- 83 Casselman JW, Peene PT, Coppens F, Vanneste F. Pneumatocele in a traumatic ruptured lacrimal sac mucocele. *Rofo* 1989; 150: 106-107 [PMID: 2536488 DOI: 10.7326/M14-1211]
- 84 Wyles D, Pockros P, Morelli G, Younes Z, Svarovskaia E, Yang JC, Pang PS, Zhu Y, McHutchison JG, Flamm S, Lawitz E. Ledipasvirsofosbuvir plus ribavirin for patients with genotype 1 hepatitis C virus previously treated in clinical trials of sofosbuvir regimens. *Hepatology* 2015; 61: 1793-1797 [PMID: 25846014 DOI: 10.1002/ hep.27814]
- 85 Poordad F, Schiff ER, Vierling JM, Landis C, Fontana RJ, Yang R, McPhee F, Hughes E, Noviello S, Swenson ES. Daclatasvir, sofosbuvir, and ribavirin combination for HCV patients with advanced cirhhosis or posttransplant recurrence: phase 3 ALLY-1 study. 50th International Liver Congress. April 22-26 2015; Vienna, Austria
- 86 AASLD and IDSA Guidelines. Recommendations for Testing, Managing, and Treating Hepatitis C. Unique patient populations: patients with decompensated cirrhosis. Accessed June 30, 2015. Available from: URL: http://www.hcvguidelines.org/
- 87 Lens S, Mariño Z, Forns X. Efficacy of new direct acting antivirals in transplant recipients and patients with advanced disease. *Dig Liver Dis* 2014; 46 Suppl 5: S197-S205 [PMID: 25458782 DOI: 10.1016/j.dld.2014.10.002]
- 88 Terrault NA. Prophylactic and preemptive therapies for hepatitis C virus-infected patients undergoing liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl* 2003; 9: S95-S100 [PMID: 14586903 DOI: 10.1053/ jlts.2003.50255]
- 89 Powers KA, Ribeiro RM, Patel K, Pianko S, Nyberg L, Pockros P, Conrad AJ, McHutchison J, Perelson AS. Kinetics of hepatitis C virus reinfection after liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl* 2006; 12: 207-216 [PMID: 16447184 DOI: 10.1002/lt.20572]
- 90 Tamura S, Sugawara Y, Yamashiki N, Kaneko J, Kokudo N, Makuuchi M. Pre-emptive antiviral therapy in living donor liver transplantation for hepatitis C: observation based on a single-center experience. *Transpl Int* 2010; 23: 580-588 [PMID: 20028490 DOI: 10.1111/j.1432-2277.2009.01023.x]
- 91 Shergill AK, Khalili M, Straley S, Bollinger K, Roberts JP, Ascher NA, Terrault NA. Applicability, tolerability and efficacy of preemptive antiviral therapy in hepatitis C-infected patients undergoing liver transplantation. *Am J Transplant* 2005; **5**: 118-124 [PMID: 15636619 DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2004.00648.x]
- 92 Berenguer M, Palau A, Aguilera V, Rayón JM, Juan FS, Prieto M. Clinical benefits of antiviral therapy in patients with recurrent hepatitis C following liver transplantation. *Am J Transplant* 2008; 8: 679-687 [PMID: 18294165 DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2007.02126. x]
- 93 Hanouneh IA, Miller C, Aucejo F, Lopez R, Quinn MK, Zein NN. Recurrent hepatitis C after liver transplantation: on-treatment prediction of response to peginterferon/ribavirin therapy. *Liver Transpl* 2008; 14: 53-58 [PMID: 18161839 DOI: 10.1002/lt.21312]
- 94 Biselli M, Andreone P, Gramenzi A, Lorenzini S, Loggi E,

Bonvicini F, Cursaro C, Bernardi M. Pegylated interferon plus ribavirin for recurrent Hepatitis C infection after liver transplantation in naïve and non-responder patients on a stable immunosuppressive regimen. *Dig Liver Dis* 2006; **38**: 27-32 [PMID: 16311084 DOI: 10.1016/j.dld.2005.08.009]

- 95 Fernández I, Meneu JC, Colina F, García I, Muñoz R, Castellano G, Fuertes A, Abradelo M, Lumbreras C, Moreno E, Solís-Herruzo JA. Clinical and histological efficacy of pegylated interferon and ribavirin therapy of recurrent hepatitis C after liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl* 2006; 12: 1805-1812 [PMID: 17133585 DOI: 10.1002/lt.20883]
- 96 Oton E, Barcena R, Garcia-Garzon S, Moreno-Zamora A, Moreno A, Garcia-Gonzalez M, Blesa C, Foruny JR, Ruiz P. Pegylated interferon and ribavirin for the recurrence of chronic hepatitis C genotype 1 in transplant patients. *Transplant Proc* 2005; **37**: 3963-3964 [PMID: 16386597 DOI: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2005.1 0.060]
- 97 Oton E, Barcena R, Moreno-Planas JM, Cuervas-Mons V, Moreno-Zamora A, Barrios C, Garcia-Garzon S, Moreno A, Boullosa-Graña E, Rubio-Gonzalez EE, Garcia-Gonzalez M, Blesa C, Mateos ML. Hepatitis C recurrence after liver transplantation: Viral and histologic response to full-dose PEG-interferon and ribavirin. *Am J Transplant* 2006; **6**: 2348-2355 [PMID: 16869810 DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2006.01470.x]
- 98 Castells L, Vargas V, Allende H, Bilbao I, Luis Lázaro J, Margarit C, Esteban R, Guardia J. Combined treatment with pegylated interferon (alpha-2b) and ribavirin in the acute phase of hepatitis C virus recurrence after liver transplantation. *J Hepatol* 2005; 43: 53-59 [PMID: 15876467 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2005.02.015]
- 99 Rodriguez-Luna H, Khatib A, Sharma P, De Petris G, Williams JW, Ortiz J, Hansen K, Mulligan D, Moss A, Douglas DD, Balan V, Rakela J, Vargas HE. Treatment of recurrent hepatitis C infection after liver transplantation with combination of pegylated interferon alpha2b and ribavirin: an open-label series. *Transplantation* 2004; 77: 190-194 [PMID: 14742979 DOI: 10.1097/01. TP.0000100481.14514.BB]
- Dumortier J, Scoazec JY, Chevallier P, Boillot O. Treatment of recurrent hepatitis C after liver transplantation: a pilot study of peginterferon alfa-2b and ribavirin combination. *J Hepatol* 2004; 40: 669-674 [PMID: 15030984 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2003.12.015]
- 101 Mukherjee S, Rogge J, Weaver L, Schafer DF. Pilot study of pegylated interferon alfa-2b and ribavirin for recurrent hepatitis C after liver transplantation. *Transplant Proc* 2003; **35**: 3042-3044 [PMID: 14697974 DOI: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2003.10.083]
- 102 Wang CS, Ko HH, Yoshida EM, Marra CA, Richardson K. Interferon-based combination anti-viral therapy for hepatitis C virus after liver transplantation: a review and quantitative analysis. *Am J Transplant* 2006; 6: 1586-1599 [PMID: 16827859 DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-6143.2006.01362.x]
- 103 Kwo PY, Mantry PS, Coakley E, Te HS, Vargas HE, Brown R, Gordon F, Levitsky J, Terrault NA, Burton JR, Xie W, Setze C, Badri P, Pilot-Matias T, Vilchez RA, Forns X. An interferon-free antiviral regimen for HCV after liver transplantation. *N Engl J Med* 2014; **371**: 2375-2382 [PMID: 25386767 DOI: 10.1056/ NEJMoa1408921]
- 104 Werner CR, Egetemeyr DP, Lauer UM, Nadalin S, Königsrainer A, Malek NP, Berg CP. Feasibility of telaprevir-based triple therapy in liver transplant patients with hepatitis C virus: SVR 24 results. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: e80528 [PMID: 24265827 DOI: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0080528]
- 105 Kwo P, Ghabril M, Lacerda M, Vinayek R, Tector AJ, Fridell J, Vianna R. Use of telaprevir plus peginterferon/ribavirin for null responders postOL with advanced fibrosis/cholestatic hepatitis C. *J Hepatol* 2012; 56 Suppl 2: S86 [DOI: 10.1016/S0168-8278(12)60215-3]
- 106 Burton JR, Everson GT. Initial experience with telaprevir for treating hepatitis C virus in liver recipients: virologic response, safety, and tolerability. *Am J Transplant* 2012; **12** Suppl 3: 188
- 107 Coilly A, Roche B, Dumortier J, Leroy V, Botta-Fridlund D, Radenne S, Pageaux GP, Si-Ahmed SN, Guillaud O, Antonini

TM, Haïm-Boukobza S, Roque-Afonso AM, Samuel D, Duclos-Vallée JC. Safety and efficacy of protease inhibitors to treat hepatitis C after liver transplantation: a multicenter experience. *J Hepatol* 2014; **60**: 78-86 [PMID: 23994384 DOI: 10.1016/ j.jhep.2013.08.018]

- 108 Pungpapong S, Aqel BA, Koning L, Murphy JL, Henry TM, Ryland KL, Yataco ML, Satyanarayana R, Rosser BG, Vargas HE, Charlton MR, Keaveny AP. Multicenter experience using telaprevir or boceprevir with peginterferon and ribavirin to treat hepatitis C genotype 1 after liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl* 2013; 19: 690-700 [PMID: 23696372 DOI: 10.1002/lt.23669]
- 109 Verna EC, Shetty K, Lukose T, Terry N, Mentore K, Olsen SK, Fox AN, Dove LM, Brown RS. High post-transplant virological response in hepatitis C virus infected patients treated with pretransplant protease inhibitor-based triple therapy. *Liver Int* 2015; 35: 510-517 [PMID: 24905624 DOI: 10.1111/liv.12616]
- 110 Charlton M, Gane E, Manns MP, Brown RS, Curry MP, Kwo PY, Fontana RJ, Gilroy R, Teperman L, Muir AJ, McHutchison JG, Symonds WT, Brainard D, Kirby B, Dvory-Sobol H, Denning J, Arterburn S, Samuel D, Forns X, Terrault NA. Sofosbuvir and ribavirin for treatment of compensated recurrent hepatitis C virus infection after liver transplantation. *Gastroenterology* 2015; 148: 108-117 [PMID: 25304641 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2014.10.001]
- 111 Forns X, Charlton M, Denning J, McHutchison JG, Symonds WT, Brainard D, Brandt-Sarif T, Chang P, Kivett V, Castells L, Prieto M, Fontana RJ, Baumert TF, Coilly A, Londoño MC, Habersetzer F. Sofosbuvir compassionate use program for patients with severe recurrent hepatitis C after liver transplantation. *Hepatology* 2015; 61: 1485-1494 [PMID: 25557906 DOI: 10.1002/hep.27681]
- 112 AASLD and IDSA Guidelines. Recommendations for Testing, Managing, and Treating Hepatitis C. Summary of Recommendations for Patients Who Develop Recurrent HCV Infection Post-Liver Transplantation. Accessed April 3, 2015. Available from: URL: http://www.hcvguidelines.org/
- 113 Reddy KR, Everson GT, Flamm SL, Denning JM, Arterburn S, Brandt-Sarif T, Pang PS, Dvory-Sobol H, McHutchison GH, Curry MP, Charlton M. Ledipasvir/Sofosbuvir With Ribavirin for the Treatment of HCV in Patients With Post-Transplant Recurrence: Preliminary Results of a Prospective, Multicenter Study. 65th Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD), November 7-11, 2014; Boston, United States
- 114 Gane EJ, Hyland RH, An D, Svarovskaia E, Pang PS, Brainard D, Stedman CA. Efficacy of Ledipasvir and Sofosbuvir, With or Without Ribavirin, for 12 Weeks in Patients With HCV Genotype 3 or 6 Infection. *Gastroenterology* 2015; Epub ahead of print [PMID: 26261007 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2015.07.063]
- 115 Pellicelli AM, Montalbano M, Lionetti R, Durand C, Ferenci P, D'Offizi G, Knop V, Telese A, Lenci I, Andreoli A, Zeuzem S, Angelico M. Sofosbuvir plus daclatasvir for post-transplant recurrent hepatitis C: potent antiviral activity but no clinical benefit if treatment is given late. *Dig Liver Dis* 2014; 46: 923-927 [PMID: 24997638 DOI: 10.1016/j.dld.2014.06.004]
- 116 **Fontana R**. High efficacy and favorable safety profile of Daclatasvir based all oral antiviral therapy in liver Transplant recipients with severe recurrent HCV. 65th Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD), November 7-11, 2014; Boston, United States
- 117 Mantry P, Kwo PY, Coakley E, Te HS, Vargas HE, Brown RS, Gordon FD, Levitsky J, Terrault N, Burton JR, Xie W, Setze C, Badri P, Vilchez RA, Forns X. High Sustained Virologic Response Rates in Liver Transplant Recipients With Recurrent HCV Genotype 1 Infection Receiving ABT-450/r/Ombitasvir Dasabuvir Plus Ribavirin. 65th Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Study of Liver diseases, November 7-11, 2014, Boston, United States
- 118 Gutierrez JA, Carrion AF, Avalos D, O'Brien C, Martin P, Bhamidimarri KR, Peyton A. Sofosbuvir and simeprevir for treatment of hepatitis C virus infection in liver transplant recipients. *Liver Transpl* 2015; 21: 823-830 [PMID: 25825070 DOI: 10.1002/

lt.24126]

- 119 Pungpapong S, Aqel B, Leise M, Werner KT, Murphy JL, Henry TM, Ryland K, Chervenak AE, Watt KD, Vargas HE, Keaveny AP. Multicenter experience using simeprevir and sofosbuvir with or without ribavirin to treat hepatitis C genotype 1 after liver transplant. *Hepatology* 2015; **61**: 1880-1886 [PMID: 25722203 DOI: 10.1002/hep.27770]
- 120 Brown RS, Reddy KRJ, O'Leary JG, Kuo A, Morelli G, Stravitz RT. Safety and efficacy of new DAA-based therapy for hepatitis C post-transplant: interval results from the HCV-TARGET longitudinal, observational study. *Hepatology* 2014; 60: 1269A
- 121 Weber R, Sabin CA, Friis-Møller N, Reiss P, El-Sadr WM, Kirk O, Dabis F, Law MG, Pradier C, De Wit S, Akerlund B, Calvo G, Monforte Ad, Rickenbach M, Ledergerber B, Phillips AN, Lundgren JD. Liver-related deaths in persons infected with the human immunodeficiency virus: the D: A: D study. *Arch Intern Med* 2006; 166: 1632-1641 [PMID: 16908797 DOI: 10.1001/archinte.166.15.1632]
- 122 Marcellin P, Pequignot F, Delarocque-Astagneau E, Zarski JP, Ganne N, Hillon P, Antona D, Bovet M, Mechain M, Asselah T, Desenclos JC, Jougla E. Mortality related to chronic hepatitis B and chronic hepatitis C in France: evidence for the role of HIV coinfection and alcohol consumption. *J Hepatol* 2008; **48**: 200-207 [PMID: 18086507 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2007.09.010]
- 123 Moreno A, Cervera C, Fortún J, Blanes M, Montejo E, Abradelo M, Len O, Rafecas A, Martín-Davila P, Torre-Cisneros J, Salcedo M, Cordero E, Lozano R, Pérez I, Rimola A, Miró JM. Epidemiology and outcome of infections in human immunodeficiency virus/ hepatitis C virus-coinfected liver transplant recipients: a FIPSE/ GESIDA prospective cohort study. *Liver Transpl* 2012; 18: 70-81 [PMID: 21898772 DOI: 10.1002/lt.22431]
- 124 Sawinski D, Forde KA, Eddinger K, Troxel AB, Blumberg E, Tebas P, Abt PL, Bloom RD. Superior outcomes in HIV-positive kidney transplant patients compared with HCV-infected or HIV/ HCV-coinfected recipients. *Kidney Int* 2015; 88: 341-349 [PMID: 25807035 DOI: 10.1038/ki.2015.74]
- 125 Norris S, Taylor C, Muiesan P, Portmann BC, Knisely AS, Bowles M, Rela M, Heaton N, O'Grady JG. Outcomes of liver transplantation in HIV-infected individuals: the impact of HCV and HBV infection. *Liver Transpl* 2004; 10: 1271-1278 [PMID: 15376307 DOI: 10.1002/lt.20233]
- 126 Righi E, Londero A, Pea F, Bonora S, Nasta P, Della Siega P, Delle Foglie P, Villa G, Giglio O, Dal Zoppo S, Baccarani U, Bassetti M. Antiretroviral blood levels in HIV/HCV-coinfected patients with cirrhosis after liver transplant: a report of three cases. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2015; 17: 147-153 [PMID: 25620392 DOI: 10.1111/ tid.12339]
- 127 Wyles DL, Gerber JG. Antiretroviral drug pharmacokinetics in hepatitis with hepatic dysfunction. *Clin Infect Dis* 2005; 40: 174-181 [PMID: 15614709 DOI: 10.1086/426021]
- 128 Righi E, Beltrame A, Bassetti M, Lindstrom V, Mazzarello G, Dentone C, Di Biagio A, Ratto S, Viscoli C. Therapeutical aspects and outcome of HIV/HCV coinfected patients treated with pegylated interferon plus ribavirin in an Italian cohort. *Infection* 2008; 36: 358-361 [PMID: 18642111 DOI: 10.1007/s15010-008-7319-5]
- 129 Castells L, Rimola A, Manzardo C, Valdivieso A, Montero JL, Barcena R, Abradelo M, Xiol X, Aguilera V, Salcedo M, Rodriguez M, Bernal C, Suarez F, Antela A, Olivares S, Del Campo S, Laguno M, Fernandez JR, de la Rosa G, Agüero F, Perez I, González-García J, Esteban-Mur JI, Miro JM. Pegylated interferon plus ribavirin in HIV-infected patients with recurrent hepatitis C after liver transplantation: a prospective cohort study. *J Hepatol* 2015; 62: 92-100 [PMID: 25127748 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2014.07.034]
- 130 Baccarani U, Righi E, Adani GL, Lorenzin D, Pasqualucci A, Bassetti M, Risaliti A. Pros and cons of liver transplantation in human immunodeficiency virus infected recipients. *World* J Gastroenterol 2014; 20: 5353-5362 [PMID: 24833865 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i18.5353]
- 131 Duclos-Vallée JC, Féray C, Sebagh M, Teicher E, Roque-Afonso

- 132 Antonini TM, Furlan V, Teicher E, Haim-Boukobza S, Sebagh M, Coilly A, Bonhomme-Faivre L, Roque-Afonso AM, Vittecoq D, Samuel D, Taburet AM, Duclos-Vallée JC. Therapy with boceprevir or telaprevir in HIV/hepatitis C virus co-infected patients to treat recurrence of hepatitis C virus infection after liver transplantation. *AIDS* 2015; 29: 53-58 [PMID: 25387314 DOI: 10.1097/QAD.0000000000516]
- 133 Moreno A, Perez-Elias MJ, Barcena R, Quereda C, Casado JL, Dronda F, Mateos ML, Diaz A, Moreno S. Safety and Efficacy of IFN-free, Sofosbuvir/RBV Therapy in HIV/HCV Liver Transplanted Patients. 21st Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI) March 3-6, 2014, Boston, United States
- 134 Rockstroh JK, Puoti M, Rodriguez-Torres M, Dieterich D, Gaggar A, Ni L, Massetto B, Svarovskaia ES, Brainard DM, Subramanian M, McHutchison JG, Naggie S, Orkin C, Molina JM, Sulkowski MS. Sofosbuvir and Ribavirin therapy for the Treatment of HIV/HCV coinfected patients with HCV GT1-4 Infection: The PHOTON-1 and -2 Trials. Presented at: 65th Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Study of Liver diseases, November 7-11, 2014, Boston, United States
- 135 Osinusi A, Townsend K, Kohli A, Nelson A, Seamon C, Meissner EG, Bon D, Silk R, Gross C, Price A, Sajadi M, Sidharthan S, Sims Z, Herrmann E, Hogan J, Teferi G, Talwani R, Proschan M, Jenkins V, Kleiner DE, Wood BJ, Subramanian GM, Pang PS, McHutchison JG, Polis MA, Fauci AS, Masur H, Kottilil S. Virologic response following combined ledipasvir and sofosbuvir administration in patients with HCV genotype 1 and HIV co-infection. *JAMA* 2015; **313**: 1232-1239 [PMID: 25706232 DOI: 10.1001/jama.2015.1373]
- 136 Naggie S, Cooper C, Saag M, Yang J. Ledipasvir/sofosbuvir for 12 wk in patients coinfected with HCV and HIV-1. Presented at: 22nd Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI) 2015. February 23-26, 2015; Seattle, United States
- 137 Sulkowski MS, Eron JJ, Wyles D, Trinh R, Lalezari J, Wang C, Slim J, Bhatti L, Gathe J, Ruane PJ, Elion R, Bredeek F, Brennan R, Blick G, Khatri A, Gibbons K, Hu YB, Fredrick L, Schnell G, Pilot-Matias T, Tripathi R, Da Silva-Tillmann B, McGovern B, Campbell AL, Podsadecki T. Ombitasvir, paritaprevir co-dosed with ritonavir, dasabuvir, and ribavirin for hepatitis C in patients co-infected with HIV-1: a randomized trial. *JAMA* 2015; **313**: 1223-1231 [PMID: 25706092 DOI: 10.1001/jama.2015.1328]
- 138 Sulkowski M, Hezode C, Gerstoft J, Vierling JM, Mallolas J, Pol S, Kugelmas M, Murillo A, Weis N, Nahass R, Shibolet O, Serfaty L, Bourliere M, DeJesus E, Zuckerman E, Dutko F, Shaughnessy

M, Hwang P, Howe AY, Wahl J, Robertson M, Barr E, Haber B. Efficacy and safety of 8 weeks versus 12 weeks of treatment with grazoprevir (MK-5172) and elbasvir (MK-8742) with or without ribavirin in patients with hepatitis C virus genotype 1 monoinfection and HIV/hepatitis C virus co-infection (C-WORTHY): a randomised, open-label phase 2 trial. *Lancet* 2015; **385**: 1087-1097 [PMID: 25467560 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61793-1]

- 139 Del Bello DP, Bichoupan K, Yalamanchili R. Real-world data on HIV positive patients with HCV genotype 1,2 and 3 on sofosbuvirand simeprevir containing regimens. Presented at: 65th Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD). November 7-1, 2014, Boston, United States
- 140 Wyles D, Ruane P, Sulkowski, Dieterich D. Daclatasvir in combination with sofosbuvir for HIV/HCV coinfection: ALLY-2 study. 22nd Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI), February 23-26, 2015; Seattle, United States
- 141 Burgess S, Partovi N, Yoshida EM, Erb SR, Azalgara VM, Hussaini T. Drug Interactions With Direct-Acting Antivirals for Hepatitis C: Implications for HIV and Transplant Patients. *Ann Pharmacother* 2015; 49: 674-687 [PMID: 25770114 DOI: 10.1177 /1060028015576180]
- 142 Miro JM, Stock P, Teicher E, Duclos-Vallée JC, Terrault N, Rimola A. Outcome and management of HCV/HIV coinfection pre- and post-liver transplantation. A 2015 update. *J Hepatol* 2015; 62: 701-711 [PMID: 25450714 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2014.10.032]
- 143 Jacobson IM, Gordon SC, Kowdley KV, Yoshida EM, Rodriguez-Torres M, Sulkowski MS, Shiffman ML, Lawitz E, Everson G, Bennett M, Schiff E, Al-Assi MT, Subramanian GM, An D, Lin M, McNally J, Brainard D, Symonds WT, McHutchison JG, Patel K, Feld J, Pianko S, Nelson DR. Sofosbuvir for hepatitis C genotype 2 or 3 in patients without treatment options. *N Engl J Med* 2013; 368: 1867-1877 [PMID: 23607593 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1214854]
- 144 Zeuzem S, Dusheiko GM, Salupere R, Mangia A, Flisiak R, Hyland RH, Illeperuma A, Svarovskaia E, Brainard DM, Symonds WT, Subramanian GM, McHutchison JG, Weiland O, Reesink HW, Ferenci P, Hézode C, Esteban R. Sofosbuvir and ribavirin in HCV genotypes 2 and 3. *N Engl J Med* 2014; **370**: 1993-2001 [PMID: 24795201 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1316145]
- 145 Forns X, García-Retortillo M, Serrano T, Feliu A, Suarez F, de la Mata M, García-Valdecasas JC, Navasa M, Rimola A, Rodés J. Antiviral therapy of patients with decompensated cirrhosis to prevent recurrence of hepatitis C after liver transplantation. J Hepatol 2003; 39: 389-396 [PMID: 12927925 DOI: 10.1016/ S0168-8278(03)00310-6]
- 146 Ueda Y, Takada Y, Marusawa H, Egawa H, Uemoto S, Chiba T. Individualized extension of pegylated interferon plus ribavirin therapy for recurrent hepatitis C genotype 1b after living-donor liver transplantation. *Transplantation* 2010; **90**: 661-665 [PMID: 20110853 DOI: 10.1097/TP.0b013e3181d2bfca]

P- Reviewer: Morioka D S- Editor: Ma YJ L- Editor: A E- Editor: Ma S







Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/helpdesk.aspx http://www.wjgnet.com





© 2015 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.