

Università degli studi di Udine

OLED-based DNA biochip for Campylobacter spp. detection in poultry meat samples

Original

Availability:

This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/11390/1086052

since 2021-01-22T08:52:42Z

Publisher:

Published

DOI:10.1016/j.bios.2014.11.042

Terms of use:

The institutional repository of the University of Udine (http://air.uniud.it) is provided by ARIC services. The aim is to enable open access to all the world.

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

Elsevier Editorial System(tm) for Biosensors and Bioelectronics Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number:

Title: OLED-based DNA biochip for Campylobacter spp. detection in poultry meat samples

Article Type: Full Length Article

Section/Category: The others

Keywords: organic light emitting diode (OLED), Campylobacter spp., poultry meat, DNA biochip

Corresponding Author: Prof. Marisa Manzano,

Corresponding Author's Institution: University of Udine

First Author: Marisa Manzano

Order of Authors: Marisa Manzano; Francesca Cecchini; Marco Fontanot; Lucilla Iacumin; Giuseppe Comi; Patrizia Melpignano Dear Editor,

I enclose the manuscript "OLED-based DNA biochip for *Campylobacter* spp. detection in poultry meat samples" by Marisa Manzano, Francesca Cecchini, Marco Fontanot, Lucilla Iacumin, Giuseppe Comi and Patrizia Melpignano, which I would like to submit to your attention for publication in Biosensors and Bioelectronics.

The present paper describes the creation of a genosensor built using an organic light emitting device (OLED) and a new designed specific DNA probe labelled with a fluorophore, for possible application in point of care diagnostic systems. This is the first time the OLED technology is coupled to fluorophore labelled DNA sequences to detect a bacteria. This application describes the utilisation of the genosensor for the detection of *Campylobacter spp.*, responsible for zoonosis with fatal episodes due to its presence mainly in poultry meat samples. The official plate count method (ISO 10272-1B: 2006), the Polymerase Chain Reaction, the dot blot and the proposed OLED system, were also used to evaluate the presence of *Campylobacter spp.* in poultry meat samples. Classical and molecular methods were used for validation of the results obtained by the OLED. The genosensor got great results being able to reduce time requested for meat analysis and having very high sensitivity as well. The results can be achieved within 24 h and the sensitivity was 20 fold higher the sensitivity of the blotting method or PCR. The optimized genosensor could be useful for application on poultry meat sample analyses in food industries and slaughterhouses to reduce the risk for commercialization of contaminated meat, but it can also be used for the detection of various pathogens in food samples using different DNA probes.

In our opinion this manuscript is coherent with the aims of Biosensors and Bioelectronics. The results of this research have never been submitted for publication anywhere before and we hope that you find our work of interest for your readers and expect to hear from you soon.

Best regards Marisa Manzano

1	OLED-based DNA biochip for Campylobacter spp. detection in poultry meat samples
2	Marisa Manzano ^{a*} , Francesca Cecchini ^a , Marco Fontanot ^a , Lucilla Iacumin ^a , Giuseppe
3	Comi ^a and Patrizia Melpignano ^{b,c}
4	
5	^a Department of Food Science, University of Udine, via Sondrio 2/A, 33100 Udine, Italy
6	^b Université de Toulouse, UPS, INPT, CNRS-LAPLACE, 118 route de Narbonne, 31062
7	Toulouse Cedex 9 (France)
8	^c OR-EL.doo, Volariceva Ulica 6, 5222 Kobarid (Slovenija)
9	
10	
11	
12	*corresponding author: Marisa Manzano, Department of Food Science, via Sondrio 2/A,
13	33100 Udine, Italy, tel: +390432558127, Fax: +390432558130
	E-mail: marisa.manzano@uniud.it

14 Abstract

15 Integrated biochips are the ideal solution for producing portable diagnostic systems that 16 uncouple diagnosis from centralised laboratories. These portable devices exploit a multi-17 disciplinary approach, are cost effective and have several advantages including broader 18 accessibility, high sensitivity, quick test results and ease of use. The application of such a 19 device in food safety is considered in this paper. Fluorescence detection of a specific 20 biological probe excited by an optical source is one of the most commonly used methods for 21 quantitative analysis on biochips. In this study, we designed and characterised a miniaturised, 22 highly-sensitive DNA biochip based on a deep-blue organic light-emitting diode. The 23 molecular design of the diode was optimised to excite a fluorophore-conjugated DNA probe 24 and tested using real meat samples to obtain a high sensitivity and specificity against one of 25 the most common poultry meat contaminants: Campylobacter spp.. Real samples were 26 analysed also by classical plate methods and molecular methods to validate the results 27 obtained by the new DNA-biochip. The high sensitivity obtained by the OLED based biochip 28 (0.37 ng/ml) and the short time required for the results (about 24 h) indicate the usefulness of 29 the system.

30

31

32 Keywords: organic light emitting diode (OLED), *Campylobacter* spp., poultry meat, DNA
33 biochip

35 1. Introduction

36 Early and accurate diagnosis is very important for food security, especially before the food is 37 distributed for human consumption. Currently one of the most commonly used diagnostic 38 methods, the plate count method of grown microorganisms, requires a long time during 39 which some food that contains pathogens may be distributed and consumed. Moreover, some 40 bacteria can be stressed by food industry heat treatments and are not able to grow on selective 41 agar plates, (viable but-not culturable, VBNC), thus making plate count methods unsuitable 42 for testing. Molecular biology has greatly improved the techniques by reducing the time 43 required to obtain results. Although real-time-PCR (Levi et al., 2003) allows results to be 44 obtained in a few hours, the inhibition caused by contaminants in the DNA polymerase used 45 in PCR, can produce false-negative results. Moreover, PCR tests are normally run in a 46 laboratory context, while the opportunity to perform point-of-care food controls can improve 47 the safety of food distribution. Recent advances in biosensor technology promise sensitive 48 and specific point-of-care tests with rapid results. Optical sensors (Passaro et al., 2012), 49 acoustic sensors (Jia et al., 2012), microwire sensors (Lu and Jun, 2012), microfluidic based 50 sensors (Yager et al., 2006) and electrochemical biosensors (Marks et al., 2007) offer highly 51 sensitive and fast devices that can be used for the rapid screening of foods to detect 52 foodborne pathogens prior to distribution. Antibodies, cells and DNA have been used as 53 probes in the fabrication of biosensors (Lei et al., 2006). In particular, DNA is a biological 54 element that is useful for the creation of genosensors (DNA-biosensors) (Cecchini et al., 55 2012), which allow the rapid monitoring of hybridisation with the target DNAs. These 56 biosensors, which are based on the oligonucleotide sequences chosen as probes, are specific 57 and sensitive. To reveal the presence of a hybrid generated by the annealing of the DNA 58 probe to the DNA target in various samples, it is possible to use a detected a fluorescent 59 signal. In particular, DNA probes can be labelled with fluorophores, and their weak optical

60 signal can be detected using a CCD (charge-coupled device) camera after a suitable optical 61 excitation. The utilisation of an OLED (organic light emitting device) source as a 62 fluorescence excitation source, to produce a sensitive biochip has been demonstrated by 63 different authors, (Yao, et al., 2005; Hofmann et al., 2005; Pais et al. 2008; Ramuz et al., 64 2009; Lamprecht, 2010). In particular, the use of an optimised OLED source for the detection 65 of protein arrays has been demonstrated by Marcello et al., (2013). In this work we apply, for 66 the first time, this OLED light source to a DNA-biochip for the detection of *Campylobacter*, 67 one of the most important pathogens that is responsible for human gastroenteritis, which still 68 causes large economic losses worldwide. The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) 69 estimated that approximately nine million cases of campylobacteriosis occur each year in 70 Europe, with a cost to public health systems of approximately EUR 2.4 billions. 71 Campylobacteriosis is considered the most frequent foodborne illness in the European Union 72 (EU), and the most common food contaminated by Campylobacter is chicken meat. Classical 73 methods for Campylobacter identification in food samples rely on broth enrichment and 74 colony growth on selective agar plate which takes, at least five days (ISO 10272-1B: 75 2006)(Revision ISO 10272, 2010). In this work, we tested the sensitivity of a new bio-sensor 76 using both pure culture reference strains and real poultry meat samples to determine the 77 sensitivity of the bio-chip. The tests results are compared with the standardised laboratory 78 methods including PCR, broth enrichment and colony growth, to demonstrate the relevance 79 of this system for a rapid, simple and reliable point-of-care test for poultry meat.

80

81 **2. Materials and methods**

82 2.1 OLED Fabrication

For this experiment high quality polished borosilicate glass substrates of 1 mm thick coated
with 150 nm of indium tin oxide (ITO) of about 20 Ohm/square surface resistance have been

85 used. The ITO has been partially removed by a lithographic process using UV curable resins 86 and a mask aligner in a class-10 clean room. Before coating the samples with poly(3,4-87 ethylenedioxythiophene) - poly(styrenesulfonate) (PEDOT-PSS), an ultrasonic cleaning with 88 organic solvents (Acetone, Iso-Propanol and Ethyl Alcohol) and de-mineralized water has 89 been performed on each substrate. All samples have then been dried with nitrogen. After 90 cleaning, the PEDOT-PSS was deposited on the ITO at a speed of 2000 RPM for 20 sec in 91 the clean room. After the PEDOT-PSS coating, the samples were annealed at 100°C in air 92 atmosphere for 5 min. After this treatment the samples were transferred in a BOC EDWARDS 500 evaporator, integrated in a pure nitrogen filled JACOMEX glove box, for 93 94 both the organic layers and the metal cathode deposition. For the organic layers deposition 95 Knudsen cells, each integrated with a thermocouple, for a PID (proportional integral 96 derivative control) setting and a constant monitoring of the cell temperature, have been used. 97 The deposition rate of the organic layers was set at 0.1 nm/sec and the film thickness was 98 monitored, during the evaporation, by a calibrated quartz microbalance. A thin LiF layer (1 99 nm) and a pure aluminum (99.99%) layer was then deposited by electron beam technique in 100 the same BOC EDWARDS 500 evaporator. Two different evaporation rates of 0.01 nm/sec 101 and 0.2 nm/sec were respectively used for the thin films evaporation and, also in this case, 102 the film thickness has been monitored by a calibrated quartz microbalance. During both the organic and metal evaporations the pressure in the vacuum chamber was maintained at 1×10^{-6} 103 104 mbar. After the evaporation the organic light emitting diode (OLED) samples have been 105 encapsulated with a glass lid and a UV curable resin in the glove box. The optical and 106 electrical characterization of the OLED samples was performed in air. The spectral emission 107 and the radiance of the OLED device, measured at normal incidence, was recorded with a GL 108 Spectis 5.0 spectroradiometer (GL Optics GmbH), while the J-V curves of the OLED device 109 were recorded with a source meter specifically developed at LAPLACE laboratory

110 (Toulouse, France).

111

112 2.2 Strains selection and DNA preparation

113 As a first step for the bio-chip construction, 32 microorganisms (31 bacteria and 1 yeast from

- 114 international collections) listed in Table 1 were used for testing the specificity and sensitivity.
- 115 The DNA of the reference strains was extracted and purified from one millilitre of overnight
- 116 broth culture using the Wizard® Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega, Milan, Italy)

117 (Cecchini et al., 2012). The nanodrop 2000c (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE,

118 U.S.A.) was used to evaluate the purity and concentration of the DNA samples.

- 119
- 120 2.3 DNA probe construction and test

121 A new 55-base DNA detection probe (CampyDet)

122 (5'CACTTTTCGGAGCGTAAACTCCTTTTCTTAGGGAAGAATTCTGACGGTACCTA

AG - 3') specific for the 16S rRNA gene of *Campylobacter* spp. was designed, to be used with the 45-base capture probe

125 (5'-GGGAGAGGCAGATGGAATTGGTGGTGTAGGGGGTAAAATCCGTAGA-3')

126 (Fontanot et al., 2014) in the biochip system.

127 The DNA sequences retrieved from GenBank using the following accession numbers:

- 128 HM007568.1, DQ174142.1, DQ174141.1 for Campylobacter jejuni, HM007569.1,
- 129 AB542728.1, JX912505.1 for C. coli, GQ167657.1, AF550634.1 for C. lari, and
- 130 DQ174157.1, AF497805.1, GQ167658.1 for C. upsaliensis, AY277975.1 for Helicobacter
- 131 ganmani CCUG 43527, and AY277974.1 for Helicobacter ganmani CCUG 43526 were

132 analysed.

- 133 The probes were tested in silico using Blast (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.gov/Blast.cgi), before being
- 134 used in bio-chip construction. The CampyDet probe, after the labelling of the 5' end with

digoxigenin (Dig-CampyDet probe), was tested using the dot blot technique (Fontanot et al.,
2014) on the synthetic ssDNA sequence, complementary to the CampyDet probe, to evaluate
the DNA probe sensitivity and to establish the optimal concentration of the labelled probe for
use in the experiments. The DNA extracted from the reference strains listed in Table 1
according to Manzano et al. (2003) was also used in the dot blot procedure, to test the
specificity of the probes. Moreover, DNA from *Helicobacter suis* 19735 (DSM), *Arcobacter cryaerophilus* 7289 (DSM).

142

143 2.4 Silanization of the glass slides and capture-probe binding

144 As support of the biochip, microscope cover glasses (28 x 19 mm) were used. For silanization 145 the protocol described by Marcello et al. (2013) was followed with some modifications. The 146 cover glasses were treated with 10% NaOH (2.5 mM, Sigma, Italy) at room temperature for 1 147 h, rinsed with deionized water and treated with 0.1 N HCl for 15 min. After a washing step 148 with deionized water, the glass slides were rinsed in acetone and dried at 50°C for few min, 149 and immersed in a 0.5 % APTES (3 aminopropyltriethoxysilane) (Fluka, Milan, Italy) 150 solution in deionized water for 30 min at room temperature. Slides were then rinsed three 151 times in deionized water followed by 10 min washing under shaking, dried at 160°C for 1 h 152 and cooled at room temperature for 30 min. After modification at 5' end with an amino group 153 instead of the digoxigenin (amino-capture-probe), 1 µl of the amino-probe at 100 ng/µl, in 154 triplicate, were drop off on each glass slide and incubated at 4°C overnight to bound to 155 microscope cover glass surface.

156

157 2.5 Labelling of the detection probe by Alexa Fluor® 430

For the first time the fluorophore Alexa Fluor® 430 (Invitrogen, Monza, Italy) that exhibits
the absorption between 400 - 450 nm and fluorescence emission beyond 500 nm, was bound

160 to a DNA molecule and subsequently used in a biochip detection system.

161 250 µg of Alexa Fluor® 430 was mixed with 14 µl of DMSO (Dimethyl sulfoxide)(Sigma, 162 Milan, Italy), then 7 µl of nuclease free water, 75 µl of sodium tetraboroidrate 0.1M (pH 8.5) 163 and 4 μ l of the CampyDet probe at 25 μ g/ μ l, with an amino group at 5' end, were added. The 164 tube was incubated overnight at room temperature under agitation for 2 h. After incubation 165 NaCl 3 M in order to 1:10, and 2.5 cold absolute ethanol, were mixed in the tube and 166 incubated at -20°C for 30 min. The tube was then centrifuged at 12,000 xg for 30 min. The 167 supernatant was discarded and the pellet washed two times with cold ethanol at 70%, dried 168 for few min, resuspended in 200 µl of 50% formamide and kept at 55°C for 5 min. 20 µl of 169 the probe with 5 µl of GLB (Gel Loading Buffer) were loaded into an agarose gel at 2% for 170 purification. The band containing the labelled probe (Alexa-CampyDet) was cut out from the 171 gel, soaked in sterile deionized water overnight at 4°C. The eluted Alexa-CampyDet probe 172 was collected in a new tube and maintained at -20°C till utilization.

173

174 2.6 Measurements and image processing

175 For all the tests the fluorescence signal was acquired using a high sensitive camera 176 (Hamamatsu Orca C8484-03G02) integrated with a microscope objective. The images were acquired with the gain set to 1 and with an integration time of 30 sec. The image 177 178 digitalization was set at 12 bit with a gray scale ranging from 0 to 4095. The image 179 processing was performed with the free software ImageJ (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/, 1997-180 2009). A process of de-speckle to eliminate scattering luminous spots have been applied to all 181 the images as well as a threshold adjustment to identify the emitting areas. Mean intensity of 182 the identified area was measured and background subtracted, analysis and plotting was performed in R (R Development Core Team, Computing R. F. f. S., Ed., Vienna, Austria, 183 184 2010).

185

186 2.7 Construction of the bio-sensor sensitivity curve

187 The characterised DNA probes were then used for the bio-sensor construction.

188 A bottom-emission small molecule-based OLED, which was optimised to obtain a deep-blue (DB) colour emission with a peak wavelength of 434 nm (DB-OLED- Marcello), was used to 189 190 excite the fluorescence of the commercial dye Alexa Fluor® 430 (Invitrogen, Monza, Italy), with the absorption peak located at 434 nm and the emission peak located at 541 nm 191 192 (Panchuk-Voloshina et al., 1999). An in-depth physical description and characterisation of 193 the patented OLED, adopted in this bio-sensor, using the fluorescent molecule, a-NPD [N, 194 N'-diphenyl-N, N'-bis (1-naphthylphenyl)-1, 1'-biphenyl-4, 4'-diamine] as an emitter, has 195 been reported by Marcello et al. (2013).

One hundred-micrometre-thick silanised microscope cover glasses (28 x 19 mm) were used as support of the bio-probes employed in the biochip. The DNA capture probes after a modification at the 5' end by adding an amino group instead of the digoxigenin (aminocapture-probe), were deposited on the silanised glasses. A 0.5 μ l drop of the amino-captureprobe at 100 ng/ μ l was deposited on each glass slide and incubated at 4°C overnight to bind to the glass surface.

202 The glass slides with the bound amino-capture probe were washed twice in deionised water prior to utilisation. Then, 0.5 µl of the DNA samples and 0.5 µl of the Alexa-CampyDet 203 204 probe (CampyDet probe labelled at the 5' end with the Alexa Fluor® 430 dye) were spotted 205 on each slide in a ratio 1:4 after 5 min of denaturation at 95°C. A sensitivity curve was 206 determined using different concentrations of DNA (25 ng/ml, 12.5 ng/ml, 6.25 ng/ml, 3.12 207 ng/ml, 1.50 ng/ml, and 0.75 ng/ml) from C. jejuni subsp. jejuni ATCC 49943, and various 208 concentrations (100 ng/ml, 50 ng/ml, 25 ng/ml, 12.5 ng/ml, 6.25 ng/ml, 3.12 ng/ml, and 1.5 209 ng/ml) of the Alexa-CampyDet probe. The microscope cover glasses were incubated at 63°C

for 1.5 h in a sterile petri dish to prevent evaporation, and they were washed twices in steriledeionised water to eliminate the unbound DNA and the unbound Alexa-CampyDet probe.

212 Finally, the glass slides were assembled on a rectangular DB-OLED together with a high-pass 213 optical excitation filter with a high extinction at the wavelength corresponding to the fluorophore emission (transmission (T) $< 10^{-5}$) and a high transmission in the excitation 214 215 spectral region. A second bandpass filter centred on the fluorophore wavelength emission 216 was used before the signal capture camera. The DB-OLED was used at 7.0 Volts with a total optical energy density of 85 mW/cm² (Banerjee et al., 2010). The fluorescence signal was 217 218 acquired with a high sensitivity CCD camera, acquiring an image with a 12-bit digitalisation. 219 The CCD gain was set at the maximum value and an integration time of 30 sec was used for 220 image acquisition. For the analysis, the background of each image was subtracted: a de-221 speckle algorithm was used, and the images so obtained were thresholded to automatically 222 identify and measure the circular spots. To calculate the sensitivity curve, the mean value of 223 the spot was considered and the results are reported in Fig.1.

224

225 2.8 Real samples analyses by classical plate method, molecular methods and the OLED

226 biochip

After the determination of the sensitivity curve, an experiment using real poultry meat samples was performed. Seven poultry samples were collected from local markets and analysed for the presence of *Campylobacter* spp. according to the official method ISO 10272-1B: 2006 (Revision ISO 10272, 2010) and by direct plating on the selective modified Charcoal-Cefoperazone-Deoxycholate Agar (mCCDA) (Oxoid, Milan, Italy). Samples were also evaluated for the enumeration of mesophilic aerobic microorganisms and *Enterobacteriaceae*.

234 Twenty-five grams of skin from each poultry sample was transferred to a filter sterile

235 Stomacher bag (PBI, Milan, Italy); 100 ml of saline-peptone water was added (8 g/l NaCl, 1 g 236 /l bacteriological peptone, Oxoid, Milan, Italy), and the contents were mixed for 1.5 min. 237 Aliquots of 0.1 ml were used to obtain the mesophilic aerobic count on Plate Count Agar 238 (PCA, Oxoid) and to count Campylobacter spp. on mCCDA, whereas aliquots of 1 ml were used for the enumeration of Enterobacteriaceae on Violet Red Bile Glucose (VRBG) Agar 239 240 (37°C for 24 h). DNA was extracted from 10 ml saline-peptone water and used for the 241 homogenisation of the samples, purified as described by Manzano et al. (2003); these 242 samples were used in the molecular methods reported and in the OLED biochip system 243 proposed in this work. Then, 0.5 µl of the DNA extracted from the physiological solutions 244 used to homogenise each chicken sample, and standardised at 25 $ng/\mu l$, was mixed with 0.5 245 µl of the Alexa-CampyDet probe (Alexa Fluor® 430 labelled) at 100 ng/µl and spotted (in 246 triplicate) onto the glass slides holding the amino-capture probe. The glass slides were placed 247 on the OLED, and the fluorescence was measured by the CCD camera using the acquisition 248 parameters described above. The fluorescence value reported for each sample was obtained 249 as an average value of three measurements. DNA was also extracted from the Preston 250 enrichment broths after 48 h, purified and used in dot blot and PCR assays.

The colonies grown on mCCDA and suspected to be *Campylobacter* based on morphology were isolated and tested for motility, oxidase and catalase activity and growth at 25°C, Gram stained and assayed by PCR using the protocol proposed by Fontanot et al. (2014). Amplicons obtained by PCR were sent to Eurofins MWG Operon (Eurofins MWG Operon, Ebersberg, Germany) for sequencing.

256

257 **3. Results**

258 3.1 specificity and sensitivity of the DNA probes

259 The sensitivity of 20 pmol/ml digoxigenin-labelled capture probe was determined by dot blot

to be 1 ng/ml using the synthetic ssDNA oligonucleotide sequence complementary to the probe as the target, and 25 ng/ml using the genomic DNAs extracted from the *Campylobacter* reference strains reported in Table 1 as the target. The probe showed high specificity under the conditions proposed in the described protocol, annealing only to the *Campylobacter* species used as reference strains. The sensitivity of the 55-base Dig-CampyDet probe (20 pmol/ml) was determined by dot blot to be 1 ng/ml using the ssDNA complementary sequence and 25 ng/ml using pure culture reference strains as the target DNA.

The sensitivity curve obtained using the OLED biochip is reported in Fig. 1. The serial dilutions of the tested DNA is plotted against the number of counts measured in the luminous spots (count range between 0 and 4095). The results obtained by the biochip system using various concentrations of DNA from *Campylobacter* pure culture show an excellent linearity $(R^2 = 0.99)$. The linear regression interpolation parameters are also reported in Fig. 1.

272 As observed from Fig. 1, the OLED biochip, which included both the amino-capture-probe 273 and the Alexa-CampyDet probe, showed a sensitivity of at least 0.37 ng/ml, as obtained by 274 extrapolating the sensitivity curve, which is almost two orders of magnitude higher than the 275 sensitivity obtained with the dot blot method (25 ng/ml). Moreover, the efficacy of the 276 method in preventing false negative results was evaluated using various negative samples: a) 277 no capture probe (omission of the amino-capture probe); b) no DNA (omission of the DNA 278 sample); and c) no Alexa (omission of the Alexa-CampyDet probe). These control 279 experiments confirmed the specificity of the test (images not shown). The images obtained 280 (in triplicate) using the DNA of *Campylobacter* (a positive sample) at a concentration of 6.25 281 ng/ml show a high level of fluorescence, whereas the images obtained using DNA of E. 282 cloacae (a negative sample previously tested by dot blot as well) at the same concentration do not show any fluorescence signal, as reported in Fig. 2. 283

285 *3.2 real poultry meat samples analyses results*

The results of the classical plate count, PCR, dot blot and OLED biochip tests are reported in Table 2. *Campylobacter* spp. were detected in four of the seven chicken samples analysed by direct plating onto mCCDA and varied from 15 CFU/g (colony forming units per gram) to 3.6×10^3 CFU/g, whereas three samples were below the detection limit of the method (< 5 CFU/g).

Samples 1, 2, 4 and 7, which exibited growth of *Campylobacter* on the selective medium mCCDA both, after direct plating from the homogenisation solution, and after the enrichment step in Preston broth (isolates), were also positive for *Campylobacter* according to PCR, dot blot and the OLED biochip analysis.

295 In fact, isolates from mCCDA were confirmed to be Campylobacter spp. by motility test, 296 Gram staining, oxidase activity, catalase activity and growth at 25°C. The DNA sequences 297 corresponding to the amplicons produced by PCR, obtained from Eurofins MWG Operon 298 centre, matched 100% the Campylobacter sequences retrieved from GenBank using Blast 299 (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi), thus confirming the identification of *Campylobacter* 300 obtained by PCR (Table 2). The blue spots obtained for the samples 1, 2, 4 and 7 through the 301 hybridisation of the specific digoxigenin-labelled probe used in the dot blot with the DNAs 302 extracted from the Preston broths confirmed the presence of *Campylobacter*.

In addition, the OLED biochip analysis confirmed the positivity of the samples 1, 2, 4 and 7. In fact, the luminosity values obtained in the positive samples are consistent with the results obtained for the sensitivity curve (Fig. 1). The images of two samples, i.e., the positive chicken meat sample number 2, and the negative chicken meat sample number 3, which were analysed using the DNA extracted from the physiological solutions, thus without any enrichment step in broths, are reported in Fig. 3.

309 A cut-off value of luminescence count was set at approximately 500 AU, which corresponds

310 to a negative meat sample in all of the other tests (the ISO 10272-1B: 2006 (no colony 311 growth onto selective agar plates), PCR (no amplicons obtained) and dot blot (no blue 312 spots)), to allow the discrimination between positive and negative samples. For this reason, 313 samples below this value (3, 5 and 6) were considered negative. The values between 0 and 314 500 AU, which were obtained for some negative real meat samples, could be due to the 315 presence of dead *Campylobacter* cells, which are able to anneal the specific Alexa-CampyDet probe and produce a weak luminescence that is detectable due to the high sensitivity of the 316 system. The mesophilic aerobes varied from 3.0 $\times 10^4$ to 5.9 $\times 10^8$ CFU (colony forming 317 units)/g, and those of *Enterobacteriaceae* varied from 1.8×10^3 to 3.3×10^7 CFU/g. The 318 319 microbial contamination evaluated on PCA and VRBG indicates that the presence of 320 *Campylobacter* is not affected by the hygienic level of the samples; in fact, it can be present 321 both in low and high levels of sample contamination. A systematic control for the presence of 322 *Campylobacter* spp. should also be performed in meat companies that have a satisfactory 323 level of hygiene during meat samples processing.

324

325 4. Discussion

326 The detection and identification of *Campylobacter* spp.-contaminating poultry meat samples 327 is usually carried out by culturing techniques that are laborious and time consuming. The 328 utilisation of molecular methods such as PCR and dot blots allow for the faster detection of 329 Campylobacter spp. in food samples, because these methods can be applied to DNA 330 extracted from the Preston enrichment broth without requiring cell growth (Silva et al., 2011). Moreover, molecular techniques are able to detect the VBNC (Oliver, 2005) forms of 331 332 *Campylobacter* spp. that often do not grow on selective media due to the stressing conditions of food, although they are present in food. Comparing the time required to obtain results, we 333 334 can assert that the molecular methods used are convenient in comparison with plate count methods because they are able to give results within 48- 72 h, whereas classical
microbiological methods require approximately one week. Due to its sensitivity, the OLED
biochip proposed is even more rapid, and takes only 24 h.

338 Moreover, the OLED biochip was able to detect Campylobacter using the DNA that was extracted directly from the physiological solutions used for the homogenisation of the 339 340 chicken meat samples. Thus, it allows for the first time, for Campylobacter spp. to be 341 detected without any prior enrichment step in Preston broth, a step that is necessary for 342 obtaining sensitive results in the current commercial ELISA tests and qPCR assays. The 343 OLED biochip reached a sensitivity of 0.37 ng/ml DNA, which was approximately 20-fold 344 higher than the sensitivity obtained with dot blot assay (25 ng/ml), when performed under the 345 same conditions using DNA extracted from the reference strains listed in Table 1. The 346 detection of *Campylobacter* in a chicken sample by dot blot requires a 48-h enrichment step 347 in Preston broth to allow *Campylobacter* cells to multiply and to reach a number detectable 348 by this method, whose sensitivity is 20-fold lower than the OLED biochip sensitivity. The 349 OLED biochip system is also highly specific as demonstrated by the analysis made using the 350 DNA probes on the various microorganisms reported in Table 1, considering that only 351 *Campylobacter* DNAs gave positive results using the two DNA probes designed.

352 The ability of the biochip to measure real meat samples has also been demonstrated by the 353 absolute correlation obtained with the standard methods used to analyse the poultry meat 354 samples to detect *Campylobacter* spp., as shown in Table 2, when applying a minimum AU 355 value (cut-off value) of approximately 500 counts. Based on this assumption, the same samples positive by the OLED biochip were alo positive by the other methods used, 356 357 including the direct plate count on mCCDA, which shows a minimum level of detection of 5 CFU/g. This correlation among the different methods adopted for the analysis validated the 358 359 obtained results. Another very important consideration is that this method of analysis 360 preserves the fluorophore functionality after the first analysis. The low optical energy density used to excite the fluorescence (approximately 85 mW/cm^2) does not produce a 361 362 photobleaching effect on the dyes, as is common in other optical tests that uses high optical 363 energy density from unoptimised sources (laser or high power LED). Due to the long stability time of the used and undamaged fluorophore, the proposed OLED biochip is a non-364 365 destructive assay. If stored at 4°C in a dark environment, the biochip can be reused after 366 months, giving nearly the same fluorescence values when measured immediately after its 367 preparation, and excited with the same optical energy density. Two fluorescence images of 368 the same sample (Campylobacter DNA at 6.25 ng/ml), measured at the first sample analyses 369 and one month later, after storage at 4°C in a dark place, are shown in Fig. 4. The measured 370 fluorescence taken after one month, with a different OLED source but at the same optical 371 energy density, shows a difference of less than 5% compared with the value acquired 372 immediately after the preparation. The stable biochip allows the storage of the samples 373 analysed for possible successive checks thereby confirming the robustness of the proposed 374 system.

375

376 5. Conclusions

The OLED-based DNA biochip proposed in this paper is: i) higly sensitive, at least 20-fold more than the classical and molecular methods previously developped; ii) easy to use; iii) stable, as samples can be analysed after one month without variations in the measures.

380

381 Acknowledgements

The work at OR-EL.doo was partially supported by Slovenski Podjetniski Sklad under the
contract SIA10/00020. PM acknowledge support from the FP7 MNT ERA OLED-CHIP
Consortium.

385	
386	References
387	Banerjee, A., Shuai, Y., Dixit, R., Papautsky, & Klotzkin, D. 2010. J. of Lumines. 130,
388	1095–1100.
389	
390	Cecchini, F., Manzano, M., Mandabi, Y., Perelman, E., & Marks, R.S. 2012. J. of Biotechnol.
391	157, 25-30.
392	
393	Fontanot, M., Iacumin, L., Cecchini, F., Comi, G., Manzano, M., 2014. Food Microbiology
394	Journal, 43, 28-34.
395	
396	Hofmann, O., Wang, X., Demello, J.C., Bradley, D.D., & Demello, A.J., 2005. Lab Chip 5
397	(8), 863-868.
398	
399	Jia, K., Toury, T., & Ionescu, R.E., 2012. IEEE Trans. Ultras., Ferroelect. and Freq. Cont.
400	59, 9, 2015-2021.
401	
402	Lamprecht, B., 2010. Physica status solidi 4 (7), 157-159.
403	
404	Lei, Y., Chen, W., & Mulchandani, A., 2006. An. Chim. Acta 568, 200-210.
405	
406	Levi, K., Smedley, J., & Towner, K.J., 2003. Clin. Microbiol. Inf. 9 (7), 754–758.

- 407
- 408 Lu, L., & Jun, S., 2012. Bios. and Bioelect. 36, 257–261.
- 409

- 410 Manzano, M., Cocolin, L., Cantoni, C., & Comi G., 2003. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 81, 249411 254.
- 412
- 413 Marcello A., Sblattero, D., Cioarec, C., Maiuri, P. & Melpignano, P., 2013. Biosensors and
- 414 Bioelectronics, 46, 44-47.
- 415
- 416 Marks, R.S., Cullen, D., Lowe, D., Weetall, H.H., & Karube, I., 2007. John Wiley & Sons
- 417 Ltd. 5 October 2007. Chapter 2, pp. 23-39.
- 418
- 419 Oliver, J.D. 2005. The J. of Microbiol. 43 S, 93-100.
- 420
- 421 Pais, A., Banerjee, A., Klotzkin, D., & Papautsky, I. 2008. Lab Chip 8 (5), 794-800.
- 422 Panchuk-Voloshina, N., Haugland, R.P., Bishop-Stewart, J., Bhalgat M.K., Millard P.J., Mao,
- 423 F., Leung, W.-Y., 1999. J. Histochem. Cytochem. 47, 9, 1179-1188.
- 424
- 425 Passaro, V. M. N., Dell'Olio, F., Casamassima, B., & De Leonardis, F., 2012. Sensors 7,
 426 508-536.
- 427
- 428 Ramuz, M., Leuenberger, D., Pfeiffer, R., Buergi, L., & Winnewisser, C., 2009. Europ. Phys.
- 429 J. Appl. Phys. 46 (1), 12510.
- 430
- Silva, J., Leite, D., Fernandes, M., Mena, C., Gibbs, P.A.and Teixeira, 2011. Frontiers in
 Microbiology, 2, 1-12.
- 433
- 434 Voedsel en Warent Autoriteit, Ministerie van Landbouw, Natuur en Voedselkwaliteit.
 - 18

- 435 Revision ISO 10272 parts 1 and 2. Ad Hoc Group Campylobacter ISO/CEN, Uppsala,
- 436 October 2010.

437

438 Yager, P., Edwards, T., Fu, E., Helton, K., Nelson, K., Tam, M.R., Weigl, B.H. Nature 442

439 (7101), 412-418, (2006).

- 440
- 441 Yao, B., Luo, G., Wang, L., Gao, Y., Lei, G., Ren, K., Chen, L., Wang, Y., Hu, Y., and Qiu,
- 442 Y., 2005. Lab Chip 5 (10), 1041-1047.
- 443
- 444
- 445

446 Figure 1: Sensitivity curve obtained using different DNA concentrations. The mean 447 number of counts (ranging from 0 to 4095) of the fluorescent signals, recorded with a 12 448 bit digitalization, is reported as a function of DNA concentration. Different images of 449 the obtained fluorescence signals are shown: a) DNA concentration of 12.5 ng/ml; b) 450 DNA concentration of 6.25 ng/ml; c) DNA concentration of 3.12 ng/ml; d) DNA 451 concentration of 1.5 ng/ml; and e) DNA concentration of 0.75 ng/ml. For clarity at the 452 last image is associated its thresholded image. The DNA concentration of 25 ng/ml 453 produced a signal in saturation not reported in the sensitivity curve. The measured fluorescence signals present a linear behaviour as a fuction of DNA concentration (\mathbf{R}^2 = 454 455 0.99).

456

457 Figure 2: Fluorescence images obtained hybridizing at 63°C 6.25 ng/ml DNA (in 458 triplicate) extracted from pure cultures of two different bacteria. The fluorescent signal 459 present for *Campylobacter jejuni* (a) indicates a positive result (around 1500 AU), 460 whereas the absence of fluorescence showed for *Enterobacter cloacae* (b) indicates a 461 negative result (< 10 AU). In both the measures of the two images the background was 462 subtracted.

463

Figure 3: Fluorescence images (in triplicate) obtained with DNA extracted from physiological solutions used for homogenising two chicken meat samples (without enrichment process), after the background subtraction. Sample 2 (a) showed an intense fluorescence signal indicating the presence of *Campylobacter* (> 3400 AU), and thus considered positive. Sample 3 (b) showed a low fluorescent signal, lower than the cutoff limit (< 500 AU), indicating the absence of *Campylobacter* and thus considered negative. These results were confirmed by PCR, dot blot and plate count method.

472 Figure 4: Comparison of the fluorescent signal obtained by the same sample of DNA of 473 *Campylobacter jejuni* at a concentration of 6.25 ng/ml measured just after the sample 474 preparation (a) and one month later (b), after background subtraction. The two 475 samples have been measured with two different OLEDs at the same optical power 476 density (85 mW/cm²) giving the following results: a) 1450 AU and b) 1380 AU.

N°	Microorganism	Source
1	Weissella cibaria	*DSM 14295
2	Vibrio spp.	*DSM 14379
3	Escherichia coli	°DISTAM
4	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	°DISTAM
5	P. migulae	°DISTAM
6	P. fluorescens	°DISTAM
7	P. brennerii	°DISTAM
8	Bacillus coagulans	*DSM 2308
9	B. subtilis	*DSM 1029
10	B. cereus	*DSM 2301
11	Proteus vulgaris	°DISTAM
12	Yersinia enterocolitica	°DISTAM
13	Morganella morganii	°DISTAM
14	Salmonella enteritidis	*DSM 4883
15	Listeria monocytogenes	[§] ATCC 7644
16	Citrobacter freundii	*DSM 15979
17	Enterobacter cloacae	*DSM 30054
18	Aeromonas sobria	*DSM 19176
19	Lactobacillus plantarum	*DSM 20174
20	Pediococcus pentosaceus	*DSM 20336
21	Leuconostoc lactis	[#] CECT 4173
22	Saccharomyces cerevisiae	[§] ATCC 36024

477 Table 1: Reference microorganisms used to test sensitivity and specificity of the DNA

probes.

23	Campylobacter jejuni subsp. jejuni	*DSM 4688			
24	C. jejuni	[§] ATCC BAA-1153			
25	C. jejuni	[§] ATCC 49943			
26	C. coli	*DSM 24155			
27	C. coli	*DSM 24128			
28	C. coli	[§] ATCC 43478			
29	C. lari subsp. lari	*DSM 11375			
30	C. upsaliensis	*DSM 5365			
31	Helicobacter pylorii p1	^Hospital of Udine			
32	Helicobacter pylorii p2	^Hospital of Udine			
479	ATCC: American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, USA)			

480 °DISTAM: Dipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie Alimentari e Microbiologiche (Milan,
481 Italy)

482 *DSM: Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganism und Zellkulturen GmbH (Braunschweigh,

483 Germany)

484 [#]CECT: Colección Española de Cultivos Tipo (University of Valencia, Spain)

485 ^: isolated from hospitalized patient (Hospital of Udine, Italy)

homogenization, whereas PCR and dot blot on DNA extracted from Preston bro								oth after
48 h enrichment.								
Sample	Sample mCCDA ^{§§}		PCR*	PCA§	VR	VRBG°		
broth °°	OLED**							
					isolates	dot ble	ot	
	-1.5×10^{1}	+	$4.0 \ge 10^4$	5.3 x 1	0^3 +	+	+	
2	1.5×10^{1}		+ 6.5	x 10 ⁷	6.0 x 10 ⁵	+	+	+
3	< 5	-	3.6 x 10 ⁶	1.8 x 1	- 0 ³	-	-	
4	3.6×10^3		+ 3.1	x 10 ⁸	7.0 x 10 ⁵	+	+	+
5	< 5	-	3.7×10^7	3.9 x 1	- 0 ⁵	-	-	
6	< 5	-	5.9 x 10 ⁸	3.3 x 1	- 0 ⁷	-	-	
7	$1.6 \ge 10^3$		+ 3.0	x 10 ⁴	2.7×10^3	+	+	+
7	1.6 x 10 ³		+ 3.0	x 10 ⁴	2.7 x 10 ³	+	+	

Table 2: Data of the microbial evaluation of 7 chicken samples using: plate count

- 511 Bile Glucose Agar;
- 512 * PCR was performed according to the protocol described by Fontanot et al. (16);
- 513 °° positivity was assigned when *Campylobacter* colonies were present onto the selective
- 514 media (isolates), and when the blue spot due to the annealing of the specific probe was
- 515 obtained;
- 516 ** positivity was assigned when AU (Arbitrary Units) values were above 500 AU

1 Figure-1 Manzano



1 Figure-2 Manzano



- 1
- 2 Figure-3 Manzano





- 1 Figure-4 Manzano
- 2



b)



- A DNA OLED based biochip was developped for pathogen detection
- The biochip was able to measure real meat samples showing high sensitivity
- The proposed OLED biochip is a non-destructive assay