

## OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Bert F. Lo Moro G. Corradi A. Acampora A, Agodi A, Brunelli L, et al. (2020) Prevalence of depressive symptoms among Italian medical students: The multicentre cross-sectional "PRIMES" study. PLoS ONE 15(4): e0231845. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231845

Editor: James Curtis West, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, UNITED STATES

Received: January 16, 2020 Accepted: April 1, 2020 Published: April 17, 2020

Copyright: © 2020 Bert et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Data cannot be shared publicly because of Ethics Committee restriction. All relevant data are within the paper. Data are available from the Internal Review Board of the Department of Public Health Sciences of the University of Torino (Italy) (contact via email: igiene.segreteria@unito.it) for researchers who meet the criteria for access to confidential data.

**Funding:** The author(s) received no specific funding for this work.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Prevalence of depressive symptoms among Italian medical students: The multicentre cross-sectional "PRIMES" study

Fabrizio Bert<sup>1,2</sup>, Giuseppina Lo Moro<sup>1\*</sup>, Alessio Corradi<sup>1</sup>, Anna Acampora<sup>3</sup>, Antonella Agodi<sup>4</sup>, Laura Brunelli<sup>5</sup>, Maria Chironna<sup>6</sup>, Silvia Cocchio<sup>7</sup>, Vincenza Cofini<sup>8</sup>, Marcello Mario D'Errico<sup>9</sup>, Carolina Marzuillo<sup>10</sup>, Cesira Pasquarella<sup>11</sup>, Maria Pavia<sup>12</sup>, Vincenzo Restivo<sup>13</sup>, Maria Rosaria Gualano<sup>1</sup>, Paolo Leombruni<sup>2,14©</sup>, Roberta Siliquini<sup>1,2©</sup>, Collaborating Group<sup>1</sup>

- 1 Department of Public Health Sciences, University of Torino, Torino, Italy, 2 A.O.U. City of Health and Science of Torino, Torino, Italy, 3 Sezione di Igiene, Istituto di Sanità Pubblica, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Roma, Italia, 4 Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences and Advanced Technologies "GF Ingrassia", University of Catania, Catania, Italy, 5 Department of Medicine, University of Udine, Udine, Italy,
- 6 Department of Biomedical Sciences and Human Oncology, University of Bari—Aldo Moro, Bari, Italy,
- 7 Department of Cardiac, Thoracic, Vascular Sciences and Public Health, University of Padova, Padova, Italy, 8 Biostatistics and Epidemiology Unit, Department of Life, Health and Environmental Sciences, University of L'Aquila, L'Aquila, Italy, 9 Department of Biomedical Sciences and Public Health, Polytechnic University of Marche, Ancona, Italy, 10 Department of Public Health and Infectious Diseases, Sapienza University of Roma, Roma, Italy, 11 Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of Parma, Parma, Italy,
- 12 Department of Health Sciences, University "Magna Graecia" of Catanzaro, Catanzaro, Italy,
- 13 Department of Science for Health Promotion and Mother to Child Care "G. D'Alessandro", University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy, 14 Clinical Psychology and Psycho-Oncology Unit, Department of Neurosciences, University of Torino, Torino, Italy
- These authors contributed equally to this work.
- ¶ Members of the Collaborating Group are provided in the Acknowledgments.
- giuseppina.lomoro@unito.it

# **Abstract**

## **Background**

Four percent of the world's population suffers from depression, which is a major public health issue. Medical students are at risk, as their depressive symptoms (DS) prevalence is reported to be approximately 27% worldwide. Since few data on Italian medical students exist, this study aimed to estimate their DS prevalence and assess risk and protective factors.

#### **Methods**

The PRIMES was a multicentre cross-sectional study performed in 12 Italian medical schools. Questionnaires were self-reported and included 30 sociodemographic items and the Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II). The primary outcome was the presence of DS (BDI-II score≥14). The main analyses were chi-squared tests and multivariable logistic regressions with a p-value<0.05 considered significant.

**Competing interests:** The author(s) declare no competing interests.

#### Results

The number of collected questionnaires was 2,513 (117 BDI-II incomplete). Females accounted for 61.3% of the respondents, and the median age was 22 years (IQR = 4). The prevalence of DS was 29.5%. Specifically, 14.0% had mild depression, 11.1% had moderate depression, and 4.5% had severe depression. The main risk factors for DS were age, being female, bisexual/asexual orientation, living with partner/housemates, poor economic status (worsened by living far from home), less than 90 min of weekly exercise, relatives with psychiatric disorders, personal chronic disease, judging medical school choice negatively, unsatisfying friendships with classmates, competitive and hostile climate among classmates, thinking that medical school hinders specific activities and being worried about not measuring up to the profession. Protective factors included family cohesion, hobbies, intellectual curiosity as a career motivation and no worries about the future.

#### Conclusion

Italian medical students are at high risk of reporting DS, similar to the global population of medical students'. Medical schools must make efforts to implement preventive and treatment interventions by offering counselling and working on modifiable factors, such as lifestyle and learning climate.

## Introduction

Depressive disorders represent a major public health issue, as 4% of the world's population suffers from these conditions; depressive disorders are also the single largest contributor to nonfatal health loss worldwide, causing 5% of all Years Lived with Disability, with an increasing trend [1]. Depressive disorders consist of two groups, both presenting depressive symptoms (DS): major depressive disorder/depressive episode and dysthymia [2].

Recently, attention on the relationships between DS and medical students has grown, as medical students have been recognized as a high-risk population [3]. An overview concluded that the pooled DS prevalence among medical students was 27.0% (95% confidence interval (CI), 24.7–29.5%) [3]. The European prevalence was 20.1% (95% CI, 15.8–25.1%); however, no meta-analyses included Italian studies [3]. This situation seems to persist in residents and is associated with low-quality care and augmented errors, in addition to the burden of depression per se [4]. Unfortunately, medical students seek help infrequently; only 15.7% of depressed students undergo treatments [5]. Among the main reasons, the avoidance of appropriate help-seeking behaviour is related to viewing mental problems as a weakness and an obstacle to one's career [6].

Given the above, mental health services for medical students are expanding, and a growing body of work is studying interventions [7,8]. Medical schools have the duty to help with supportive and preventive strategies. To organize effective plans, it is important to have information on the steps to become a medical doctor (MD) and collect data on the mental conditions of students. In Italy, each medical school has a fixed number of places for the students, assigned through a yearly admission test. The test is nationwide: students might need to move to attend medical school. The school lasts 6 years: the first three years focus on biological subjects, and the last three focus on clinical subjects, with rotations in the hospital that usually begin at the 4<sup>th</sup> year. The grading system uses a 30-point scale, with 18 as the threshold for

passing exams. The grade average is one of the means to obtain bonus points for admission tests to specialty schools, which also have a fixed number of places, yearly admission tests and nationwide rankings. Admission is highly competitive for medical schools and for specialty schools; for example, in 2018, approximately 1/7 and 1/2 of applicants were admitted to medical schools and specialty schools, respectively [9].

Since no data on Italian medical students were reported in the most relevant works [3], the present study aimed to estimate the DS prevalence among these students and to assess risk and protective factors, making a comparison to factors identified in other medical student populations [5,10]. In particular, this project aimed to explore how DS is affected by some of the abovementioned key components of the Italian medical school context, such as the possibility of moving away from home, the need to keep a good grade average and the worry about the competition for specialty school admission. The final aim is to thoroughly examine all these aspects to have a basis for implementing preventive interventions.

## **Methods**

The Psychosocial Report in Italian MEdical Students (PRIMES) was a multicentre cross-sectional study conducted in 12 medical schools (29.27% of Italian medical schools [11]). Schools were selected by convenience to represent the whole country: 33.3% were located in Northern Italy, 25% in Central Italy and 41.7% in Southern Italy (ISTAT classification [12]). Raosoft<sup>®</sup> was used to determine that the minimum sample size was 383, based on a 5% margin of error, 95% confidence level, 50% response distribution and population of 78,101 (medical students in 2017 [11]).

All procedures performed were in accordance with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments. The Ethics Committee of the University of Torino approved the protocol. Participants were asked to sign an informed consent form and were recruited by opportunity sampling in the 1<sup>st</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> years of their programmes in participating schools to assess DS at the beginning, in the middle and at the end of their academic path (approximately 9,000 eligible students). The enrolment occurred far from exams (November 2018). Participation was voluntary and anonymous, and participants received no compensation.

## The questionnaire

The questionnaire was self-administered. A 30-item sociodemographic part was developed after a literature review on depression-related factors in medical students, and the Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II) [13] was used to assess DS.

The independent variables were coded from the sociodemographic items, which were designed to test hypotheses found in the literature. The sociodemographic items assessed the following possible risk factors: sexual orientation [14]; family history of psychiatric disorders and suicide [15,16]; personal chronic disease [15,17]; distance from home [6,10]; poor economic status [15,17]; and working while in medical school due to financial need [17,18]. Distance from home and economic status were recoded as one variable to examine if their interaction could worsen DS. The following possible protective factors were investigated: social support, e.g., living condition and family cohesion [17,19]; relationship status [20]; exercise [21]; and hobbies/extracurricular activities [22]. It has been reported that depressive risk is higher when students perceive that university does not leave time for other activities [23]; therefore, the survey asked if medical school impeded exercise or other activities. Other medical school-related information was gathered because career motivations and opinions about the choice of medical school might influence mental health [24]. Consequently, judgements about medical school choice and motivations behind it were assessed. Since the academic

curriculum has been reported to affect mental health, grade average, grade satisfaction and being on time with exams were investigated in the  $4^{th}$  and  $6^{th}$  years [10,25]. A grade average  $\geq$ 27/30 was considered high. Climate among classmates and satisfaction with friendships with classmates were assessed because stress/anxiety produced by competitiveness and low social support could lead to depression [5,26]. Since fears about the medical profession could influence mental health [6,10], worries about the future were assessed.

Finally, items about stimulants [27], psychiatric medications and psychologist/psychiatrist follow-up were assessed to evaluate potential under-treatments reported as a risk in medical students [5]. Similarly, the survey asked if participants knew about university psychological counselling and if they would use it in case of need.

The presence of DS was assessed using the BDI-II, a 21-item self-report instrument that measures the severity of DS over the last two weeks. Each item has a score of 0 to 3, and the total score is the sum of all items. Total scores from 0–13 represent no/minimal depression, 14–19 represent mild depression, 20–28 represent moderate depression, and 29–63 represent severe depression [13]. The threshold of 14 has been used in several studies to examine the prevalence of DS, as reported recently in a meta-analysis and in an overview of systematic reviews [3,5]. Therefore, we decided to dichotomize our outcome by referring to a score  $\geq$ 14 to represent DS presence. Moreover, this tool can be separated into affective, cognitive and somatic subscales (details in Table 4) [28]. Since there are no subscale scoring categories, these variables were analysed as continuous.

## Statistical analysis

Descriptive analyses were performed for all variables (categorical variables expressed as frequencies and percentages). Age, BDI-II total score and subscale score were expressed as the median and interquartile range (IQR) since the Shapiro-Wilk test indicated that these data did had non-normal distributions. DS prevalence was calculated with a 95% bootstrap CI (simple random sampling method). Chi-squared tests and adjusted residuals were computed to assess differences between years, far/not far from home participants, and students with/without DS (Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables). Additionally, Chi-squared tests among students with/without DS were stratified by year.

The effects of the independent variables on DS were analysed with multivariable logistic regressions adjusted for age and gender, both examining the whole sample and stratifying by year (details in <u>Table 3</u>). Final models were achieved with a backward stepwise method (results expressed as odds ratios OR, 95% CI).

To assess whether independent variables were differently associated with subscales, multivariable linear regressions adjusted for age and gender were performed for each subscale (details in <u>Table 4</u>). Outlier removal was based on Cook's distance, and variables were selected by backward elimination (results expressed as unstandardized coefficients B, 95% CI).

SPSS software (version 25) was used, and a two-tailed p-value<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Missing values were excluded.

### Results

#### Characteristics of the sample

A total of 2,513 questionnaires were collected (28% of eligible participants). The descriptive analyses were performed on all questionnaires, while the analyses about DS were performed on 2,396 questionnaires (117 BDI-II scales were incomplete).

Females accounted for 61.3% of the population, and the median age was 22 (IQR = 4). Participants studying far from home accounted for 60.4% of the population. Psychiatric

medication consumption during university occurred in 5.7% of students, and 6.1% of students were seeing a psychologist/psychiatrist;  $6^{th}$ -year students reported higher frequencies for both variables (p<0.001). A total of 38.9% of respondents knew about their university counselling, with  $1^{st}$ -year students less likely to know (p<0.001). A total of 42.7% of participants reported that they would use university counselling;  $1^{st}$ -year students were more prone to use counselling, while  $4^{th}$ -year students were less prone (p = 0.008). Other data are presented in Table 1.

## Depressive symptoms

The median BDI-II score was 9 (IQR = 11). Among students with DS, the median was 20 (IQR = 8), while it was 6 among students without DS (IQR = 6). DS prevalence was 29.5% (95% CI: 27.8–31.3%). Specifically, 14.0% had mild depression, 11.1% had moderate depression, and 4.5% had severe depression. DS presence was not equally frequent across years:  $4^{th}$ -year and  $6^{th}$ -year students had higher frequencies (36.9% and 36.6%), and  $1^{st}$ -year students had lower frequencies (26.6%) (p<0.001). Students who took psychiatric medications accounted for 10.5% of people with DS, showing a significant association (p<0.001) confirmed by chi-squared analyses stratified by year. Students seeing a psychologist/psychiatrist accounted for 12.1% of people with DS, showing a significant association (p<0.001), and comparable associations were reported after stratifying by year. Among participants without DS, 3.7% took psychiatric medications, and 3.6% were seeing a psychologist/psychiatrist. No significant associations were identified between DS and hypothetical counselling use. Other data are presented in Table 2.

The whole sample regression (Table 3) showed some risk factors for DS presence, e.g., increasing age, being female, bisexual/asexual orientation, living with partner/housemates, poor economic status (worsened by living far from home), less than 90 min of weekly exercise, relatives with psychiatric disorders, personal chronic disease, judging medical school choice negatively, having unsatisfying friendships with classmates, competitive and hostile climate among classmates, thinking that medical school hinders activities and being worried about not measuring up to the profession. Good/excellent family cohesion, hobbies, intellectual curiosity as a career motivation and no worries about the future were protective factors. Differences in predictors among years of course were investigated in stratified models (Table 3).

Moreover, some risk factors were more frequent in participants far from home, e.g., 62.5% exercised less than 90 min weekly, and 54.5% thought that medical school hindered hobbies, while in students not far from home, these proportions were 49.0% and 49.9% (p<0.001, p = 0.025), respectively. In the 1<sup>st</sup> year, being far from home was associated with negatively judging the medical school choice, with a 16.1% prevalence in students far from home and 7.8% in students not far from home (p<0.001).

### **BDI-II** subscales

Affective, cognitive and somatic subscale scores can potentially range from 0-15, 0-21, and 0-27, respectively. Overall, the affective subscale had a median score of 1 (IQR = 3), the cognitive subscale had a median score of 2 (IQR = 4) and the somatic subscale had a median score of 5 (IQR = 5). Among students with DS, the affective subscale had a median of 4 (IQR = 2), the cognitive subscale of 7 (IQR = 5) and the somatic subscale of 10 (IQR = 4). Kruskal-Wallis tests reported different distributions across years of study for each subscale (p<0.001 in all cases). Indeed, the medians for the affective subscale in the  $1^{st}$ ,  $4^{th}$  and  $6^{th}$  years, respectively, were 1, 2 and 2 (IQR = 2, 3, 3); the medians for the cognitive subscale were 1, 3 and 3 (IQR = 4, 5, 5); and the medians for the somatic subscale were 4, 6 and 6 (IQR = 5, 5, 6). Mann-Whitney tests showed differences across genders for cognitive and somatic subscales (p<0.001 in both

Table 1. Overall characteristics of the whole sample and grouped by year of course attended: Descriptive and chi-squared analysis (2018).

Characteristic		Overall	1 <sup>st</sup> year	4 <sup>th</sup> year	6 <sup>th</sup> year	p*
		(n = 2513)	(n = 1041)	(n = 744)	(n = 728)	
		N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	
Age**		22 (4)	19 (1)	22 (1)	24 (1)	< 0.001
Gender						
Male		969 (38.7)	410 (39.5)	283 (38.2)	276 (38.0)	0.778
Female		1536 (61.3)	628 (60.5)	458 (61.8)	450 (62.0)	
Medical school geographical	area					
Northern Italy		995 (39.6)	416 (40.0)	295 (39.7)	284 (39.0)	0.096
Central Italy		563 (22.4)	244 (23.4)	178 (23.9)	141 (19.4)	
Southern Italy		955 (38.0)	381 (36.6)	271 (36.4)	303 (41.6)	
Nationality						
talian		2468 (98.6)	1012(97.7) <sup>b</sup>	735 (99.1)	721 (99.3) <sup>a</sup>	0.007
Other		36 (1.4)	24 (2.3) <sup>a</sup>	7 (0.9)	5 (0.7) <sup>b</sup>	
Relationship status						
Single		1218 (48.6)	576 (55.6) <sup>a</sup>	355 (47.8)	287 (39.5) <sup>b</sup>	< 0.001
nvolved		1286 (51.4)	460 (44.4) <sup>b</sup>	387 (52.2)	439 (60.5) <sup>a</sup>	
Sexual orientation						
Heterosexual		2144 (86.1)	896 (87.2)	632 (85.5)	616 (85.3)	0.096
Homosexual		45 (1.8)	11 (1.1)	19 (2.6)	15 (2.1)	
Bisexual		283 (11.4)	116 (11.3)	85 (11.5)	82 (11.4)	
exual		17 (0.7)	5 (0.5)	3 (0.4)	9 (1.2)	
Living condition						
Alone		119 (4.7)	49 (4.7)	33 (4.4)	37 (5.1)	0.087
With parents/relatives		1261 (50.3)	552 (53.1)	347 (46.7)	362 (49.9)	
With partner/housemates		1129 (45.0)	439 (42.2)	363 (48.9)	327 (45.0)	
Family cohesion						
Very poor/poor/excessive		277 (11.1)	99 (9.5) <sup>b</sup>	85 (11.5)	93 (12.8)	< 0.001
Good		935 (37.3)	345 (33.3) <sup>b</sup>	306 (41.2) <sup>a</sup>	284 (39.1)	
Excellent		1293 (51.6)	593 (57.2) <sup>a</sup>	351 (47.3) <sup>b</sup>	349 (48.1) <sup>b</sup>	
Norking because of income r	ieed					
No job/job not for income need		2400 (95.7)	1007 (96.8)	707 (95.0)	686 (94.8)	0.061
Yes		108 (4.3)	33 (3.2)	37 (5.0)	38 (5.2)	
Distance from home and eco	nomic status					
Not far from home:	Good status	873 (35.2)	370 (36.2)	235 (31.8)	268 (31.8)	0,043
	Poor status	107 (4.3)	39 (3.8)	31 (4.2) <sup>b</sup>	37 (5.1)	
Far from home:	Good status	1354 (54.6)	552 (54.0)	416 (56.4)	386 (53.5)	
	Poor status	148 (6.0)	62 (6.1)	56 (7.6) <sup>a</sup>	30 (4.2) <sup>b</sup>	
Having at least one hobby <sup>#</sup>		1741 (69.8)	772 (74.7) <sup>a</sup>	483 (65.4) <sup>b</sup>	486 (67.2)	< 0.001
Exercising less than 90 min w	eekly <sup>#</sup>	1435 (57.2)	631 (60.8) <sup>a</sup>	388 (52.2) <sup>b</sup>	416 (57.3)	0.001
st/2 <sup>nd</sup> degree relatives with p	sychiatric disorders <sup>#</sup>	595 (23.8)	209 (20.1) <sup>b</sup>	180 (24.3)	206 (28.5) <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001
uicides/suicide attempts <sup>#</sup> an elatives <sup>#</sup>	nong 1 <sup>st</sup> /2 <sup>nd</sup> degree	147 (5.9)	58 (5.6)	43 (5.8)	46 (6.3)	0.797
Having a chronic disease <sup>#</sup>		180 (7.2)	37 (3.6) <sup>b</sup>	47 (6.3)	96 (13.2) <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001
Taking stimulants to improve performance <sup>#</sup>	e academic	125 (5.0)	39 (3.8) <sup>b</sup>	53 (7.1)	33 (4.5) <sup>a</sup>	0.005
udging the choice of medical	school					
Positively		1983 (79.4)	906 (87.5) <sup>a</sup>	572 (77.1)	505 (70.0) <sup>b</sup>	< 0.001
Losinivery						

Table 1. (Continued)

Characteristic		Overall	1 <sup>st</sup> year	4 <sup>th</sup> year	6 <sup>th</sup> year	p*
		(n = 2513)	(n = 1041)	(n = 744)	(n = 728)	
		N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	
Satisfying friendships with a	a circle of classmates					
Yes/Not yet		2331 (94.6)	998 (98.6) <sup>a</sup>	674 (91.7) <sup>b</sup>	659 (91.8) <sup>b</sup>	< 0.001
No		134 (5.4)	14 (1.4) <sup>b</sup>	61 (8.3) <sup>a</sup>	59 (8.2) <sup>a</sup>	
Climate among classmates						
Friendly/Competitive but stin	nulating/No opinion	2094 (83.9)	1008(97.3) <sup>a</sup>	584 (79.0) <sup>b</sup>	502 (69.6) <sup>b</sup>	< 0.001
Competitive and hostile		402 (16.1)	28 (2.7) <sup>b</sup>	155 (21.0) <sup>a</sup>	219 (30.4) <sup>a</sup>	
Grade average and satisfact	ion for grade average					
High average:	Satisfied	634 (43.6)	-	283 (38.4) <sup>b</sup>	351 (48.9) <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001
	Not satisfied	276 (19.0)	-	136 (18.5)	140 (19.5)	
Low/medium average:	Satisfied	153 (10.5)	-	82 (11.1)	71 (9.9)	
	Not satisfied	392 (26.9)	-	236 (32.0) <sup>a</sup>	156 (21.7) <sup>b</sup>	
Grade average and being on	time with exams					
High average:	On time	753 (51.6)	-	352 (47.6) <sup>b</sup>	401 (53.3) <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001
	Not on time	158 (10.8)	-	68 (9.2) <sup>b</sup>	90 (12.5) <sup>a</sup>	
Low/medium average:	On time	305 (20.9)	-	192 (25.9) <sup>a</sup>	113 (15.7) <sup>b</sup>	
_	Not on time	244 (16.7)	-	128 (17.3)	116 (16.1)	
Thinking that medical schoo	l hinders:°					
Exercising <sup>#</sup>		1132 (45.3)	388 (37.5) <sup>b</sup>	372 (50.1) <sup>a</sup>	372 (51.4) <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001
Having hobbies <sup>#</sup>		1314 (52.5)	350 (33.8) <sup>b</sup>	466 (62.7) <sup>a</sup>	498 (68.8) <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001
Seeing friends <sup>#</sup>		1077 (43.1)	328 (31.7) <sup>b</sup>	360 (48.5) <sup>a</sup>	389 (53.7) <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001
Sleeping properly#		1009 (40.3)	315 (30.5) <sup>a</sup>	355 (47.8) <sup>a</sup>	339 (46.8) <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001
Resting and relaxing <sup>#</sup>		1206 (48.2)	403 (39.0) <sup>b</sup>	405 (54.5) <sup>a</sup>	398 (55.0) <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001
Having chosen medical scho	ol for:°					
High-gain opportunities <sup>#</sup>	•	252 (10.1)	80 (7.7) <sup>b</sup>	81 (10.9)	91 (12.6) <sup>a</sup>	0.003
Employment opportunities/	/social status <sup>#</sup>	736 (29.4)	282 (27.2)	219 (29.6)	235 (32.4)	0.060
Personal/family experience	of disease <sup>#</sup>	415 (16.6)	194 (18.7) <sup>a</sup>	118 (15.9)	103 (14.2) <sup>b</sup>	0.037
Acquaintance/relative MD i	nfluence <sup>#</sup>	163 (6.5)	59 (5.7)	44 (5.9)	60 (8.3)	0.072
Helping people who suffer#		1290 (51.5)	603 (58.1) <sup>a</sup>	359 (48.4) <sup>b</sup>	328 (45.2) <sup>b</sup>	< 0.001
Interest in human relations	#	922 (36.8)	393 (37.9)	261 (35.2)	268 (37.0)	0.513
Intellectual curiosity <sup>#</sup>		1130 (45.1)	424 (40.9) <sup>b</sup>	359 (48.4) <sup>a</sup>	347 (47.9)	0.001
Imposition by parents/relat	ives <sup>#</sup>	14 (0.6)	2 (0.2)	5 (0.7)	7 (1.0)	0.089
Worries about the future:						
No, future is stimulating <sup>#</sup>		611 (24.5)	397 (38.5) <sup>a</sup>	121 (16.3) <sup>b</sup>	93 (12.8) <sup>b</sup>	< 0.001
No, not thinking about futu	re <sup>#</sup>	158 (6.3)	90 (8.7) <sup>a</sup>	54 (7.3)	14 (1.9) <sup>b</sup>	< 0.001
Yes, not measured up to the		1164 (46.6)	414 (40.2) <sup>b</sup>	378 (50.9) <sup>a</sup>	372 (51.2) <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001
Yes, about the specialty cho	*	522 (20.9)	80c (7.8) <sup>b</sup>	192 (25.9) <sup>a</sup>	250 (34.4) <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001
Yes, about specialty/job lim		1157 (46.3)	322 (31.3) <sup>b</sup>	372 (50.1) <sup>a</sup>	463 (63.8) <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001

n = sample size.

Figures are expressed as number (N) and column percentages (%).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231845.t001

<sup>\*</sup> p-value obtained via chi-squared test between each variable and years of course (except for age: Kruskal-Wallis test performed).

<sup>\*\*</sup> Figures are expressed as median and interquartile range in brackets.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> adjusted residual >1.96.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm b}$  adjusted residual < -1.96.

<sup>#</sup> possible options: "No" and "Yes". "Yes" reported in table.

<sup>°</sup> possibility to select more options.

Table 2. Associations between depressive symptom presence and characteristics of the sample: Overall and stratified by year of course chi-squared analyses (2018).

Characteristic		Overall			1 <sup>st</sup> year			4 <sup>th</sup> year			6 <sup>th</sup> year	
	Depre	essive symp	toms	Depr	essive sym <sub>[</sub>	otoms	Depr	essive sym	ptoms	Depr	essive sym <sub>[</sub>	otoms
	No	Yes	:	No	Yes		No	Yes		No	Yes	
	n = 1688	n = 708	p <sup>1</sup>	n = 804	n = 188	p <sup>2</sup>	n = 428	n = 261	p <sup>3</sup>	n = 456	n = 259	p <sup>4</sup>
	%	%		%	%		%	%	-	%	%	
Age*	22 (5)	23 (3)	< 0.001	19 (1)	20 (1)	0.007	22 (1)	22 (1)	0.004	24 (1)	25 (1)	0.009
Gender				, ,				` '		, , ,		
Male	42.9 <sup>a</sup>	28.2 <sup>b</sup>	< 0.001	41.6 <sup>a</sup>	30.3 <sup>b</sup>	0.004	46.7ª	23.3 <sup>b</sup>	< 0.001	41.5ª	31.7 <sup>b</sup>	0.009
Female	57.1 <sup>b</sup>	71.8ª		58.4 <sup>b</sup>	69.7ª		53.3 <sup>b</sup>	76.7 <sup>a</sup>		58.5 <sup>b</sup>	68.3ª	
Medical school geographical area												
Northern Italy	40.9	39.3	0.439	40.9	38.3	0.096	43.2	39.5	0.221	38.6	39.8	0.868
Central Italy	21.1	19.9		23.9	18.6		17.3	22.6		19.7	18.1	0.000
Southern Italy	38.0	40.8		35.2	43.1		39.5	37.9	-	41.7	42.1	
Nationality	. 30.0	10.0		33.2	13.1		37.5	. 37.5		11.7	12.1	
Italian	98.9	98.4	0.393	98.2	97.3	0.415	99.3	98.8	0.536	99.6	98.8	0.268
Other	1.1	1.6	0.575	1.8	2.7	0.113	0.7	1.2	0.550	0.4	1.2	0.200
Relationship status	1.1	1.0		1.0	2.7		0.7	1.2		0.4	1.2	
Single	48.5	47.6	0.671	55.7	52.4	0.418	46.4	49.2	0.466	38.0	42.5	0.243
Involved	51.5	52.4	0.071	44.3	47.6	0.410	53.6	50.8	0.400	62.0	57.5	0.243
Sexual orientation	31.3	32.4	:	44.3	47.0		33.0	50.8		02.0	37.3	
Heterosexual	88.8ª	80.3 <sup>b</sup>	< 0.001	89.9 <sup>a</sup>	75.7 <sup>b</sup>	< 0.001	88.5	81.7	0.090	87.2	82.1	0.034
Homosexual	1.6	2.1	0.001	1.0	1.6	0.001	1.9	3.1	0.090	2.4	1.6	0.034
Bisexual	9.2 <sup>b</sup>	16.2 <sup>a</sup>		8.8 <sup>b</sup>	21.1 <sup>a</sup>		9.1			9.9		
Asexual	9.2 0.4 <sup>b</sup>	10.2 1.4 <sup>a</sup>		0.3 <sup>b</sup>	1.6 <sup>a</sup>			14.8 0.4		0.4 <sup>b</sup>	14.0 2.3 <sup>a</sup>	
	0.4	1.4		0.3	1.0		0.5	0.4		0.4	2.3	
Living condition  Alone	5.5 <sup>a</sup>	2.5 <sup>b</sup>	0.004	4.9	2.7	0292	F 4	2.3	0.147	6.6	2.7	0.067
With parents/relatives	:	:	0.004		:	0292	5.4	:	0.14/	6.6	49.8	0.067
	50.6	49.6		53.2	51.1		46.4	48.3	-	49.9		
With partner/housemates	44.0	47.9		41.9	46.3	:	48.2	49.4		43.5	47.5	
Family cohesion	7.9 <sup>b</sup>	17.68	10.001	7.1 <sup>b</sup>	17.68	10.001	7.3 <sup>b</sup>	17.28	-0.001	9.9 <sup>b</sup>	15.08	10.001
Very poor/poor/excessive		17.6ª	<0.001		17.6ª	<0.001		17.3 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001		17.8 <sup>a</sup>	<0.001
Good	34.2 <sup>b</sup>	45.8 <sup>a</sup>		3.7 <sup>b</sup>	44.4 <sup>a</sup>		37.9 <sup>b</sup>	48.1 <sup>a</sup>		36.7 <sup>b</sup>	44.4 <sup>a</sup>	
Excellent	57.9 <sup>a</sup>	36.7 <sup>b</sup>		62.2ª	38. <sup>b</sup>		54.8ª	34.6 <sup>b</sup>		53.4ª	37.8 <sup>b</sup>	
Working because of income need		. h	:			:		:			. h	
No job/job not for income need	96.7ª	93.2 <sup>b</sup>	<0.001	97.4ª	94.1 <sup>b</sup>	0.024	96.3	93.5	0.098	96.0ª	92.2 <sup>b</sup>	0.030
Yes	3.3 <sup>b</sup>	6.8ª		2.6 <sup>b</sup>	5.9ª		3.7	6.5	1	4.0 <sup>b</sup>	7.8 <sup>a</sup>	
Distance from home and economic status												
Not far from home: Good status	36.1	33.2	< 0.001	36.8	31.6	< 0.001	33.6	30.2	< 0.001	37.2	37.4	<0,001
Poor status	3.3 <sup>b</sup>	7.0 <sup>a</sup>		3.2 <sup>b</sup>	7.0 <sup>a</sup>		3.1 <sup>b</sup>	6.6 <sup>a</sup>		3.8 <sup>b</sup>	7.4 <sup>a</sup>	
Far from home: Good status	57.1 <sup>a</sup>	48.7 <sup>b</sup>		55.5	48.7		60.1 <sup>a</sup>	50.0 <sup>b</sup>		57.1ª	47.5 <sup>b</sup>	
Poor status	3.5 <sup>b</sup>	11.1 <sup>a</sup>		4.6 <sup>b</sup>	12.8ª		3.3 <sup>b</sup>	13.2 <sup>a</sup>		2.0 <sup>b</sup>	7.8 <sup>a</sup>	
Having at least one hobby <sup>#</sup>	74.9 <sup>a</sup>	58.0 <sup>b</sup>	< 0.001	77.8ª	63.6 <sup>b</sup>	< 0.001	72.6ª	53.6 <sup>b</sup>	< 0.001	71.9ª	58.3 <sup>b</sup>	< 0.001
Exercising less than 90 min weekly <sup>#</sup>	54.8 <sup>b</sup>	63.3ª	< 0.001	58.7 <sup>b</sup>	70.2ª	0.012	48.4 <sup>b</sup>	58.2ª	0.014	53.8 <sup>b</sup>	63.3ª	0.004
1 <sup>st</sup> /2 <sup>nd</sup> degree relatives with psychiatric disorders <sup>#</sup>	21.0 <sup>b</sup>	32.3ª	<0.001	17.9 <sup>b</sup>	32.3ª	<0.001	21.4 <sup>b</sup>	31.8ª	0.002	26.0	32.8	0.054
Suicides/suicide attempts among 1st/2nd degree relatives#	4.9 <sup>b</sup>	7.6ª	0.009	4.9	8.0	0.085	4.0 <sup>b</sup>	8.1ª	0.022	5.9	6.9	0.591
	5.9 <sup>b</sup>	11.08	<0.001	2.9 <sup>b</sup>	6.9 <sup>a</sup>	0.000	5.0	0.1	0.240	11.2 <sup>b</sup>	17.08	0.000
Having a chronic disease <sup>#</sup>	5.9	11.0 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001	∠.9	: 0.9	0.008	5.8	8.1	0.249	11.2	17.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.029

Table 2. (Continued)

Characteri	stic		Overall			1st year			4 <sup>th</sup> year			6 <sup>th</sup> year	
		Depre	essive symp	toms	Depr	essive sym <sub>[</sub>	ptoms	Depr	essive sym	ptoms	Depr	essive sym <sub>[</sub>	otoms
		No	Yes		No	Yes		No	Yes	:	No	Yes	
		n = 1688	n = 708	p <sup>1</sup>	n = 804	n = 188	p <sup>2</sup>	n = 428	n = 261	p <sup>3</sup>	n = 456	n = 259	p <sup>4</sup>
		%	%	:	%	%		%	%		%	%	
	Taking stimulants to improve academic performance <sup>#</sup>		6.6ª	0.008	3.6	4.3	0.672	5.1 <sup>b</sup>	9.2ª	0.039	3.9	5.8	0.259
Judging the choice of	medical school												
Positively		87.6ª	61.0 <sup>b</sup>	< 0.001	90.6ª	74.5 <sup>b</sup>	< 0.001	88.7ª	61.7 <sup>b</sup>	< 0.001	81.2ª	50.6 <sup>b</sup>	< 0.001
Negatively/No opinion		12.4 <sup>b</sup>	39.0ª		9.4 <sup>b</sup>	25.5ª		11.3 <sup>b</sup>	38.3ª	:	18.8 <sup>b</sup>	49.4 <sup>a</sup>	
Satisfying friendships of classmates	with a circle												
Yes/Not yet		96.6ª	90.5 <sup>b</sup>	< 0.001	98.9	97.2	0.095	96.0ª	87.4 <sup>b</sup>	< 0.001	93.4ª	89.0 <sup>b</sup>	0.043
No		3.4 <sup>b</sup>	9.5ª		1.1	2.8		4.0 <sup>b</sup>	12.6 <sup>a</sup>		6.6 <sup>b</sup>	11.0 <sup>a</sup>	
Climate among classn	nates												
Friendly/Competitive b No opinion	ut stimulating/	89.2ª	71.3 <sup>bb</sup>	<0.001	98.5ª	93.1 <sup>b</sup>	<0.001	86.2ª	68.0 <sup>b</sup>	<0.001	75.7ª	58.8 <sup>b</sup>	<0.001
Competitive and hostile	?	10.8 <sup>b</sup>	28.7 <sup>a</sup>		1.5 <sup>b</sup>	6.9 <sup>a</sup>		13.8 <sup>b</sup>	32.0 <sup>a</sup>	-	24.3 <sup>b</sup>	41.2 <sup>a</sup>	
Grade average and sat grade average	isfaction for												
High average:	Satisfied	51.8ª	30.8 <sup>b</sup>	< 0.001	-			47.2ª	24.8 <sup>b</sup>	< 0.001	56.1ª	36.7 <sup>b</sup>	< 0.001
	Not satisfied 14.	14.4 <sup>b</sup>	25.3ª		-			13.4 <sup>b</sup>	24.0 <sup>a</sup>		15.4 <sup>b</sup>	26.6ª	
Low/medium average:	Satisfied	12.3ª	7.7 <sup>b</sup>		-		•	13.2ª	7.8 <sup>b</sup>		11.4	7.7	
	Not satisfied	21.5 <sup>b</sup>	36.2ª		-			26.2 <sup>b</sup>	43.4ª		17.1 <sup>b</sup>	29.0ª	
Grade average and be	ing on time												
High average:	On time	60.1ª	37.9 <sup>b</sup>	< 0.001	_			56.6ª	33.2 <sup>b</sup>	<0.001	63.5ª	42.6 <sup>b</sup>	<0.001
88	Not on time	5.9 <sup>b</sup>	18.0ª		_			4.0 <sup>b</sup>	15.4 <sup>a</sup>		7.7 <sup>b</sup>	20.5ª	
Low/medium average:	On time	23.7ª	16.8 <sup>b</sup>		_			30.5 <sup>a</sup>	21.2 <sup>b</sup>	-	17.3	12.4	
	Not on time	10.3 <sup>b</sup>	27.3ª		_			8.9 <sup>b</sup>	30.1 <sup>a</sup>		11.5 <sup>b</sup>	24.4ª	
Thinking that medical hinders:°													
Exercising <sup>#</sup>		42.6 <sup>b</sup>	52.5 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001	36.4	43.6	0.065	46.7 <sup>b</sup>	56.9 <sup>a</sup>	0.010	49.7	54.5	0.218
Having hobbies#		46.3 <sup>b</sup>	68.5ª	< 0.001	29.5 <sup>b</sup>	53.7 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001	55.4 <sup>b</sup>	75.4 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001	67.3	72.4	0.156
Seeing friends <sup>#</sup>		39.0 <sup>b</sup>	53.6ª	< 0.001	29.8 <sup>b</sup>	41.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.003	44.2 <sup>b</sup>	57.3 <sup>a</sup>	0.001	50.5 <sup>b</sup>	59.1 <sup>a</sup>	0.027
Sleeping properly#		33.2 <sup>b</sup>	57.4ª	< 0.001	26.9 <sup>b</sup>	44.7 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001	37.9 <sup>b</sup>	64.2ª	< 0.001	40.0 <sup>b</sup>	59.9 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001
Resting and relaxing#		43.1 <sup>b</sup>	61.8 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001	35.9 <sup>b</sup>	52.7 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001	47.7 <sup>b</sup>	68.1 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001	51.4 <sup>b</sup>	62.3 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001
Having chosen medica	l school for:°												
High-gain opportunit	ies <sup>#</sup>	9.1 <sup>b</sup>	12.9 <sup>a</sup>	0.005	7.4	10.7	0.129	10.6	11.1	0.830	10.8 <sup>b</sup>	16.3 <sup>a</sup>	0.034
Employment opportu status <sup>#</sup>	nities/social	29.5	29.0	0.825	26.8	25.1	0.640	30.1	30.7	0.883	33.6	30.2	0.352
Personal/family exper disease <sup>#</sup>	rience of	15.9	19.0	0.064	18.0ª	24.1 <sup>b</sup>	0.056	13.6 <sup>b</sup>	19.5ª	0.040	14.3	14.7	0.872
Acquaintance/relative MD influence#		6.5	6.4	0.923	4.9	8.6	0.047	6.8	4.6	0.232	9.0	6.6	0.256
Helping people who s	uffer#	53.3 <sup>a</sup>	47.2 <sup>b</sup>	0.006	58.6	56.7	0.632	50.1	45.2	0.212	46.8	42.2	0.239
Interest in human rela	ations#	36.4	37.5	0.595	38.8	33.7	0.196	33.2	37.9	0.205	35.2	39.9	0.206
Intellectual curiosity <sup>#</sup>		54.6	55.4	0.738	41.1	41.7	0.888	49.6	45.6	0.302	48.8	45.7	0.433
Imposition by parents	/relatives#	0.4	1.0	0.93	0.1	0.5	0.261	0.2	1.5	0.052	1.1	0.8	0.674

Table 2. (Continued)

Characteristic		Overall			1st year			4 <sup>th</sup> year		6 <sup>th</sup> year			
	Depressive symptoms			Depr	Depressive symptoms			Depressive symptoms			Depressive symptoms		
	No n = 1688	Yes n = 708	p <sup>1</sup>	No	Yes n = 188	p <sup>2</sup>	No n = 428	Yes n = 261	p <sup>3</sup>	No n = 456	Yes		
				n = 804							n = 259	p <sup>4</sup>	
	%	%		%	%		%	%		%	%		
Worries about the future:°													
No, future is stimulating <sup>#</sup>	31.4 <sup>a</sup>	8.1 <sup>b</sup>	< 0.001	43.9 <sup>a</sup>	15.0 <sup>b</sup>	< 0.001	22.8ª	6.5 <sup>b</sup>	< 0.001	17.5ª	4.6 <sup>b</sup>	< 0.001	
No, not thinking about future <sup>#</sup>	7.8 <sup>a</sup>	2.8 <sup>b</sup>	< 0.001	10.0 <sup>a</sup>	3.2 <sup>b</sup>	0.003	9.4ª	4.2 <sup>b</sup>	0.012	2.4	1.2	0.245	
Yes, not measured up to the	37.9 <sup>b</sup>	67.8 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001	33.9 <sup>b</sup>	66.8ª	< 0.001	40.6 <sup>b</sup>	69.0 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001	42.3 <sup>b</sup>	67.2ª	< 0.001	
profession <sup>#</sup>													
Yes, about the specialty choice#	16.8 <sup>b</sup>	30.0 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001	6.1 <sup>b</sup>	15.0 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001	21.4 <sup>b</sup>	31.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.005	31.4 <sup>b</sup>	39.8 <sup>a</sup>	0.023	
Yes, about specialty/job limited chances <sup>#</sup>	40.6 <sup>b</sup>	60.4ª	<0.001	27.3 <sup>b</sup>	49.7ª	<0.001	44.1 <sup>b</sup>	59.8ª	<0.001	60.5 <sup>b</sup>	68.7ª	0.029	

n = sample size.

Figures are expressed as column percentages (%).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231845.t002

cases) but not for the affective subscale (p = 0.061). The median for the cognitive subscale was 2 for males and 3 for females (IQR = 4, 5), and the median for the somatic subscale was 4 for males and 6 for females (IQR = 5, 6). The regressions performed to assess differences in predictors for each subscale are reported in Table 4.

#### **Discussion**

The PRIMES aimed to evaluate DS prevalence among Italian medical students and assess risk and protective factors to have a basis for planning preventive interventions.

Our DS prevalence was 29.5%, consistent with the prevalence among medical students worldwide (27.0%, 95% CI: 24.7–29.5%) but higher than that among European students (20.1%, 95% CI: 15.8–25.1%) [3]. The PRIMES confirmed that medical students are a highrisk population: PASSI, one of the major Italian surveys, reported a DS prevalence of 4.6% (95% CI, 4.3–4.9) among people 18–34 years old [29]. Moreover, the prevalence of depressive disorders is 3.59% [95% CI, 3.34–3.89%] worldwide, 4.17% (95% CI, 3.89–4.50) in Europe and 4.11% (95% CI, 3.80–4.46%) in Italy [1]. When considering persons 20–24 years old, their prevalence is approximately 4% [1]. Although DS prevalence among medical students is evidently higher than among the general population, there is no sufficient evidence to infer whether DS are more common among medical students than among other university students [30].

Generally, the predictors were consistent with the literature. For instance, sexual minorities are high-risk populations for mental issues [14]; however, few studies have focused on this

<sup>\*</sup> Figures are expressed as median and interquartile range in brackets.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> p-value obtained via chi-squared test between each variable and depressive symptom presence in the whole sample (except for age: Mann-Whitney U test performed).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> p-value obtained via chi-squared test between each variable and depressive symptoms in the 1<sup>st</sup> year (except for age: Mann-Whitney U test performed).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> p-value obtained via chi-squared test between each variable and depressive symptoms in the 4<sup>th</sup> year (except for age: Mann-Whitney U test performed).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> p-value obtained via chi-squared test between each variable and depressive symptoms in the 6<sup>th</sup> year (except for age: Mann-Whitney U test performed).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> adjusted residual >1.96.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> adjusted residual < -1.96.

<sup>#</sup> possible options: "No" and "Yes". "Yes" reported in table.

<sup>°</sup> possibility to select more options.

Table 3. Predictors for depressive symptom presence: Overall and stratified by year of course multivariable logistic regression models (2018).

Predictors for depres	sive symptoms	Overall*		1st year		4th year		6th year	
presence		adjOR (95% CI)	p	adjOR (95% CI)	p	adjOR (95% CI)	p	adjOR (95% CI)	p
Age		1.09 (1.03–1.15)	0.004	1.09 (0.96–1.23)	0.173	1.11 (0.95–1.30)	0.196	1.05 (0.97–1.15)	0.227
Gender									
Male		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
Female		1.49 (1.17-1.90)	0.001	1.27 (0.82-1.95)	0.282	2.80 (1.72-4.56)	< 0.001	1.04 (0.69–1.56)	0.860
Medical school geogr	aphical area								
Northern Italy		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.			
Central Italy		0.89 (0.65-1.20)	0.441	0.84 (0.48-1.48)	0.547	2.33 (1.27-4.27)	0.006		
Southern Italy		1.35 (1.06–1.74)	0.017	2.17 (1.39–3.41)	0.001	1.41 (0.87-2.27)	0.160		
Nationality									
Italian				Ref.					
Other			:	3.80 (0.88–16.42)	0.074				
Relationship status				,					
Single		Ref.				Ref.			
Involved		0.82 (0.65–1.03)	0.085			0.70 (0.46–1.07)	0.100		
Sexual orientation		(1.12 2.13)				()			
Heterosexual		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.			
Homosexual		1.53 (0.68–3.47)	0.304	1.59 (0.27-9.53)	0.611	9.39 (2.41–36.55)	0.001		
Bisexual		1.70 (1.22–2.36)	0.002	2.96 (1.70–5.15)	< 0.001	1.41 (0.74–2.70)	0.298		
Asexual		3.84 (1.12–13.09)	0.032	16.47 (1.63–165.96)	0.017	0.097 (0.00-6.56)	0.278		
Living condition		(1111 (1111 1111)		(2002 2000 2)		(0.000 0.000)			
Alone		Ref.						Ref.	
With parents/relatives		1.76 (0.91-3.42)	0.095					1.92 (0.64–5.81)	0.246
With partner/houseme		1.98 (1.05–3.75)	0.036					3.21 (1.10–9.42)	0.034
Family cohesion	***************************************	1.50 (1.03 3.73)	0.050					3.21 (1.10 3.12)	0.031
Very poor/poor/excess	ive	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	
Good		0.65 (0.46-0.93)	0.019	0.59 (0.30–1.13)	0.109	0.55 (0.28–1.11)	0.095	0.66 (0.37–1.20)	0.172
Excellent		0.41 (0.29–0.59)	< 0.001	0.31 (0.16–0.60)	<0.001	0.33 (0.16–0.66)	0.002	0.47 (0.26–0.85)	0.013
Distance from home	and economic	0.11 (0.25 0.55)	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	0.31 (0.10 0.00)	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	0.55 (0.10 0.00)	0.002	0.17 (0.20 0.03)	0.013
status	and economic								
Not far from home:	Good status	Ref.				Ref.		Ref.	
noi jui from nome.	Poor status	1.94 (1.17–3.22)	0.010			1.88 (0.68–5.25)	0.226	2.66 (1.07–6.58)	0.035
Far from home	Good status	0.84 (0.60–1.18)	0.320			0.79 (0.50–1.24)	0.220	0.72 (0.40–1.30)	0.033
rai from nome	Poor status	2.64 (1.58–4.41)	<0.001			3.28 (1.31–8.26)	0.294	3.05 (0.99–9.31)	0.278
Having at least one h		0.65 (0.51-0.82)	< 0.001	0.50 (0.30, 0.00)	0.012		0.012	ì	0.031
Exercising less than 9	·			0.58 (0.38-0.89)	0.012	0.53 (0.34–0.82)	0.004	0.62 (0.42-0.93)	0.019
1 <sup>st</sup> /2 <sup>nd</sup> degree relative	•	1.35 (1.07–1.70)	0.010	1.49 (0.04. 2.22)	0.004		:	1 50 (1.05, 2.42)	0.020
psychiatric disorders		1.41 (1.10–1.81)	0.007	1.48 (0.94–2.33)	0.094		:	1.59 (1.05–2.42)	0.028
Having a chronic disc		1.48 (1.00-2.19)	0.048						
		1.46 (1.00-2.19)	0.046						
Judging the choice of Positively	medicai school	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.	:	Ref.	
			<0.001		0.001	<del> </del>	<0.001		ZO 001
Negatively/No opinion		2.76 (2.12–3.59)	<0.001	2.42 (1.42–4.11)	0.001	2.83 (1.70–4.72)	<0.001	3.18 (2.10-4.82)	< 0.001
Satisfying friendship classmates	s with a circle of								
Yes/Not yet		Ref.				Ref.			
No		1.71 (1.06–2.75)	0.027			2.02 (0.89-4.55)	0.092		
Climate among class	mates								

Table 3. (Continued)

Predictors for depress	ive symptoms	Overall*		1st year		4th year		6th year	
presence		adjOR (95% CI)	p	adjOR (95% CI)	р	adjOR (95% CI)	p	adjOR (95% CI)	р
Friendly/Competitive b No opinion	ut stimulating/	Ref.		Ref.				Ref.	
Competitive and hostile	·	2.10 (1.58–2.81)	<0.001	5.28 (2.00–13.95)	0.001			2.03 (1.35–3.04)	0.001
Grade average and satisfaction for grade average									
High average:	Satisfied	-		-		Ref.		Ref.	
	Not satisfied	-		-		2.01 (1.09-3.73)	0.026	1.80 (1.09-2.98)	0.022
Low/medium average:	Satisfied	-		-		3.16 (1.31–7.65)	0.011	2.07 (0.92-4.67)	0.079
, and the second	Not satisfied	-		-		5.68 (2.96–10.89)	< 0.001	3.24 (1.85–5.67)	< 0.001
Grade average and bei with exams	ng on time								
——————————————————————————————————————	On time	-		_		Ref.	:	Ref.	
0 0	Not on time	-		-	:	4.40 (1.92–10.09)	< 0.001	2.15 (1.19–3.89)	0.012
Low/medium average:	On time	-		-	:	2.64 (1.46-4.80)	0.001	2.02 (1.09-3.75)	0.025
	Not on time	-		-	:	5.68 (2.96–10.89)	< 0.001	3.24 (1.85–5.67)	< 0.001
Thinking that medical	school hinders:								
Having hobbies <sup>#</sup>		1.31 (1.03–1.67)	0.027	1.78 (1.18–2.69)	0.006	1.65 (1.05–2.59)	0.032		
Sleeping properly <sup>#</sup>		1.79 (1.41-2.26)	< 0.001			2.26 (1.47–3.47)	< 0.001	1.67 (1.14–2.45)	0.008
Resting and relaxing#		1.29 (1.02-1.64)	0.034	1.61 (1.08-2.41)	0.021				
Having chosen medica	l school for:°				:		:		:
Employment opportus status <sup>#</sup>	nities/social							1.01 (0.66–1.54)	0.973
Acquaintance/relative	MD influence#			2.07 (0.97-4.44)	0.063				
Helping people who su	ıffer <sup>#</sup>	0.82 (0.65-1.03)	0.091			0.79 (0.52-1.20)	0.265		
Intellectual curiosity <sup>#</sup>		0.74 (0.59-0.93)	0.011						:
Worries about the futu	re:°								
No, future is stimulati	ng <sup>#</sup>	0.48 (0.33-0.70)	<0.001					0.52 (0.25–1.07)	0.077
No, not thinking abou	t future#	0.50 (0.27-0.89)	0.019						
Yes, not measured up profession <sup>#</sup>	to the	1.97 (1.52–2.55)	<0.001	3.15 (2.06–4.81)	<0.001	2.27 (1.46–3.54)	<0.001	2.00 (1.33–3.01)	0.001
Yes, about the specialt	y choice#			1.60 (0.99-2.59)	0.058				
Yes, about specialty/jo	b limited			2.16 (1.436–3.26)	< 0.001				

Figures are expressed as adjusted odds ratios (adjOR) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs).

 $All\ models\ included\ age\ and\ gender.\ Final\ multivariable\ logistic\ regression\ models\ are\ presented\ in\ this\ table.$ 

*Variables entered the first step (backward stepwise method)*: school geographical area, nationality, relationship status, sexual orientation, living condition, family cohesion, working condition, distance from home and economic status, having a hobby, practising sport, family history of psychiatric disorders and suicides/attempts, personal chronic disease, stimulant consumption, medical school choice judgement, friendship satisfaction, classmates climate, all hindrances by medical school, all career motivations and all worries about future. Grade average satisfaction and being on time with exams were entered only in the 4<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> year analyses.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231845.t003

aspect of medical students' lives, confirming that medical students belonging to sexual minorities have a greater DS risk [31]. Furthermore, a family history of psychiatric issues [32] and negative life events, e.g., personal disease [15], have been associated with depression.

<sup>\*</sup> this final model included year of course (non-significant).

<sup>\*</sup> possible options: "No" and "Yes". "No" considered the reference level.

<sup>°</sup> possibility to select more options.

Table 4. Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II) subscales: Multivariable linear regression models (2018).

Predictors		Affective subs	cale	Cognitive subs	cale	Somatic subscale		
		adjB (95% CI)	p	adjB (95% CI)	р	adjB (95% CI)	p	
Age		0.03 (-0.00; 0.06)	0.070	0.05 (-0.01; 0.10)	0.100	0.05 (-0.01; 0.12)	0.113	
Gender								
Male		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		
Female		-0.12 (-0.24; 0.01)	0.073	0.33 (0.12; 0.54)	0.002	0.65 (0.40; 0.90)	< 0.001	
Year of course								
$1^{st}$		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		
$4^{th}$		-0.01 (-0.19; .17)	0.912	0.22 (0.08; 0.51)	0.144	0.33 (-0.03; 0.68)	0.071	
$6^{th}$		-0.16 (-0.39; 0.08)	0.186	-0.26 (-0.65; 0.13)	0.184	-0.48 (-0.95; 0.01)	0.046	
Medical school geograp	hical area							
Northern Italy		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		
Central Italy		-0.04 (-0.19; 0.12)	0.639	0.01 (-0.26; 0.27)	0.993	0.10 (-0.22; 0.42)	0.554	
Southern Italy		0.28 (0.15; 0.41)	< 0.001	0.34 (0.12; 0.56)	0.002	0.56 (0.29; 0.82)	< 0.001	
Relationship status								
Single		Ref.		Ref.				
Involved		-0.30 (-0.42; -0.19)	< 0.001	-0.36 (-0.55; -0.16)	< 0.001			
Sexual orientation								
		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		
Homosexual		0.18 (-0.27; 0.62)	0.437	1.07 (0.33; 1.80)	0.004	-0.08 (-0.97; 0.81)	0.857	
Bisexual		0.32 (0.13; 0.51)	0.001	0.56 (0.24 0.89)	0.001	0.78 (0.38; 1.17)	< 0.001	
Living condition				Ì				
Alone		Ref.		Ref.				
With parents/relatives		-0.01 (-0.31; 0.29)	0.947	0.15 (-0.36; 0.66)	0.566			
With partner/housemate	?s	-0.15 (-0.43; 0.14)	0.311	-0.11 (-0.59; 0.37)	0.646			
Family cohesion				, ,				
Very poor/poor/excessive	?	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		
Good		-0.42 (-0.63; -0.21)	< 0.001	-0.56 (-0.92; -0.20)	0.002	-0.36 (-0.78; 0.07)	0.098	
Excellent		-0.68 (-0.89; -0.47)	< 0.001	-1.14 (-1.49; -0.79)	< 0.001	-0.72 (-1.14; -0.30)	0.001	
Working because of inc	come need			( , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		( , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
No job/job not for incom		Ref.				Ref.		
Yes		0.37 (0.05; 0.70)	0.024			0.65 (-0.03; 1.31)	0.060	
Distance from home an status*	nd economic							
Not far from home:	Good status	Ref.				Ref.		
	Poor status	0.49 (0.16; 0.82)	0.003	0.21 (-0.31; 0.73)	0.43	1.48 (0.82; 2.13)	< 0.001	
Far from home	Good status	0.06 (-0.11; 0.23)	0.478	0.14 (-0.15; 0.43)	0.331	-0.15 (-0.40; 0.10)	0.237	
	Poor status	0.47 (0.17; 0.77)	0.002	0.91 (0.38; 1.43)	0.001	1.07 (0.79; 1.64)	< 0.001	
Having at least one hob	oby <sup>#</sup>	-0.36 (-0.49; -0.23)	< 0.001	-0.24 (-0.46; -0.02)	0.032	-0.68 (-0.94; -0.42)	< 0.001	
Exercising less than 90	min weekly#	0.10 (-0.01; 0.22)	0.086	0.21 (0.01; 0.41)	0.043	0.44 (0.20; 0.69)	< 0.001	
1 <sup>st</sup> /2 <sup>nd</sup> degree relatives disorders <sup>#</sup>	with psychiatric	0.21 (0.07; 0.34)	0.003	0.38 (0.15; 0.62)	0.001	0.25 (-0.03; 0.53)	0.079	
Having a chronic diseas	se <sup>#</sup>	0.21 (-0.01; 0.43)	0.066	0.40 (0.02; 0.79)	0.039	0.78 (0.31; 1.24)	0.001	
Judging the choice of m								
Positively		Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		
Negatively/No opinion		0.93 (0.77; 1.08)	< 0.001	1.46 (1.20; 1.73)	< 0.001	1.19 (0.87; 1.50)	< 0.001	
Satisfying friendships v classmates	vith a circle of							
Yes/Not yet		Ref.	:	Ref.		Ref.		

Table 4. (Continued)

Predictors	Affective subs	cale	Cognitive sub	scale	Somatic subscale		
	adjB (95% CI)	p	adjB (95% CI)	p	adjB (95% CI)	p	
No	0.76 (0.46; 1.06)	< 0.001	1.16 (0.61; 1.70)	< 0.001	0.62 (0.02; 1.23)	0.045	
Climate among classmates							
Friendly/Competitive but stimulating/No opinion	Ref.		Ref.		Ref.		
Competitive and hostile	0.65 (0.48; 0.82)	< 0.001	1.11 (0.82; 1.40)	< 0.001	1.26 (0.91; 1.61)	< 0.001	
Thinking that medical school hinders:°				:			
Exercising <sup>#</sup>					0.23 (-0.03; 0.48)	0.069	
Having hobbies <sup>#</sup>	0.27 (0.15; 0.40)	< 0.001	0.33 (0.12; 0.54)	0.002	0.38 (0.12; 0.64)	0.005	
Sleeping properly#	0.35 (0.23; 0.48)	< 0.001	0.55 (0.34; 0.76)	< 0.001	1.34 (1.08; 1.60)	< 0.001	
Resting and relaxing <sup>#</sup>	0.27 (0.05; 0.44)	< 0.001			0.82 (0.57; 1.08)	< 0.001	
Having chosen medical school for:°							
High-gain opportunities <sup>#</sup>	0.24 (0.05; 0.44)	0.013					
Employment opportunities/social status			-0.18 (-0.39; 0.04)	0.112			
Helping people who suffer <sup>#</sup>	-0.27 (-0.39; -0.15)	< 0.001					
Intellectual curiosity <sup>#</sup>	-0.13 (-0.25; -0.01)	0.033			-0.32 (-0.55; -0.08)	0.009	
Worries about the future:°							
No, future is stimulating <sup>#</sup>					-0.46 (-0.85; -0.07)	0.021	
No, not thinking about future <sup>#</sup>				:	-0.76 (-1.34; -0.22)	0.006	
Yes, not measured up to the profession <sup>#</sup>	0.49 (0.37; 0.61)	< 0.001	1.39 (1.18; 1.89)	<0.001	0.76 (0.46; 1.07)	< 0.001	
Yes, about the specialty choice <sup>#</sup>	0.24 (0.09; 0.39)	0.002	0.33 (0.086; 0.59)	0.010		:	
Yes, about specialty/job limited chances <sup>#</sup>	0.27 (0.14; 0.39)	< 0.001	0.38 (0.17; 0.58)	0.001	0.45 (0.15; 0.75)	0.003	

Affective subscale items: sadness, pessimism, loss of pleasure, suicidal thoughts or wishes, loss of interest.

Cognitive subscale items: past failure, guilty feelings, punishment feelings, self-dislike, self-criticalness, indecisiveness, worthlessness.

Somatic subscale items: crying, agitation, loss of energy, changes in sleeping pattern, irritability, changes in appetite, concentration difficulty, tiredness or fatigue, loss of interest in sex.

Figures are expressed as adjusted unstandardized coefficient B (adjB) and 95% confidence interval (CI).

All models included age and gender. Final multivariable linear regression models are presented in this table.

Variables entered the first step (backward elimination method): year, school geographical area, nationality, relationship status, sexual orientation, living condition, family cohesion, working condition, distance from home and economic status, having a hobby, exercising, family history of psychiatric disorders and suicides/attempts, personal chronic disease, stimulant consumption, medical school choice judgement, friendship satisfaction, classmates climate, all hindrances by medical school, all career motivations and all worries about future.

\* possible options: "No" and "Yes". "No" considered the reference level.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231845.t004

Moreover, depression and bipolar disorders have been widely recognized as familial conditions [16]. Financial concerns and constraints have been identified as stressors for medical students [17], and interestingly, we found higher ORs in participants far from home. Perhaps the distance could amplify one's poor economic status, and supportive interventions for this category of students need to be planned. In addition, the transition of moving away from home has been reported as stressful [6], but it did not emerge from the PRIMES. However, the higher prevalence of certain risk factors in students far from home, e.g., low exercise, medical school being an obstacle to hobbies and negative judgement about medical school choice, might be a warning to dedicate special care to such students.

Conversely, social support seems to influence resiliency among medical students. Strong support from family, medical school staff and peers and positive perceptions of the learning climate were found to be associated with resilience and protection against mental issues [18,26], as confirmed by our findings on family cohesion, friendships with classmates and

climate among classmates. Moreover, consistent with the PRIMES, physical inactivity has been reported as a risk factor for mental issues [33]. Exercise is known to be an effective intervention for depression [34], and several projects proposed exercise-related activities in medical students' curriculum due to mental benefits [21,35]. Additionally, sports and hobbies, as extracurricular activities, can reduce stress [22]. The lack of time has been reported as a barrier to exercise among medical students [36]. Since other factors emerged from the PRIMES, e.g., thinking that medical schools hinder hobbies/sleeping/resting, investigating whether such barriers are also related to these activities would be useful. Finally, fears of not measuring up to the profession have been identified as stressors [6], and it is not surprising that not having worries about future careers was protective against DS. Even if intellectual curiosity has already been reported among the common motivations for starting medical school [24], this study is the first to report that this motivation is related to a significantly lower risk of DS. Interestingly, the PRIMES highlighted that students who negatively judged the choice of attending medical school had higher risk of DS independent of the motivations behind such choices or the worries about the future. These relationships among DS and career motivations or judgements should be further investigated.

Contrary to the relevant literature about medical students and depressive symptoms, in our study, age, being female and living with partners/housemates were associated with DS. Specifically, a recent meta-analysis found that the associations between DS and gender or age were not significant in medical students [5]. However, epidemiological data confirmed that women's depressive risk is higher in the general population [37]. This relationship among medical students should be further investigated, and additional efforts must be concentrated on understanding the associations with age. Moreover, living with partners/housemates was expected not to be a risk factor due to the protective nature of social support [18]; therefore, it is necessary to study cohabitation aspects in more detail.

Finally, the association of DS with studying in medical schools in Southern Italy is not clear. Further analyses should be addressed to understand whether emerged differences are linked to medical schools or regional characteristics, as regions have always been different in size, geographical character, economic development and culture [38].

The regression analyses showed no outstanding differences between the overall and stratified models. DS presence was not equally frequent across years, but the year of study did not have an association with DS in the regression model. This difference might be explained by other model variables rather than year per se. Indeed, a recent meta-analysis concluded that the association of DS with year of study is unclear [10]. Nevertheless, the frequencies of risk and protective factors are differently distributed among years (Table 1); therefore, different preventive strategies may be advisable. Furthermore, the 4<sup>th</sup>- and 6<sup>th</sup>-year models included grade average, satisfaction for grade average and being on time with exams, which affected DS presence. In this regard, it has been reported that pass/fail evaluation systems can improve well-being without negatively affecting academic results, and tiered systems may hinder the ability to achieve a desired specialty [39].

Our subscale analyses underlined some differences in predictors among BDI-II dimensions; however, few studies focused on subscales, and few comparable studies on medical students exist. The affective cluster was found to be associated with leisure and colleagues, suggesting that the development of certain depression types is influenced by social factors, while the somatic cluster was related to studies and patients [40]. In the PRIMES, the affective subscale differed mainly for financial items, and the somatic differed mainly for protective effects of not being worried for future, thus highlighting other possible mechanisms below. These analyses were performed to produce hypotheses on DS clustering since most studies concentrated on

total scores, but further insights are required to understand DS characteristics among medical students to develop specific plans.

Data on students taking psychiatric medications and students seeing a psychologist/psychiatrist (10.5% and 12.1% of participants with DS, respectively) showed a possible under-treatment, as reported in meta-analyses [5,10]. Rotenstein et al. found that 15.7% of depressed medical students sought treatment, and Puthran et al. reported a prevalence of 12.9% [5,10]. This phenomenon is mainly explained by fear of negative impact on career, disease denial, poor insight, lack of time, stigma related to mental services utilization and undesired interventions [10]. Moreover, only 38.9% of our sample knew about university counselling, and alarmingly, less than half reported that they would use it if necessary. Currently, mental health services for medical students are expanding, and effective interventions are under investigation [7,8]. Beyond the indispensable mental services and counselling that the university must provide [7], individually focused mindfulness-based interventions seem to be the most effective in lowering depression levels in medical students [8]. Furthermore, student well-being might be improved by mandatory exercise [35] and a pass/fail grading system [39].

This study had several strengths and limitations that must be acknowledged. Above all, the PRIMES was the first Italian multicentre study investigating DS prevalence among medical students. The multicentre design and the large sample permitted us to estimate potential predictors that had never been evaluated in this context. Nevertheless, the limitations included the cross-sectional structure, which restricts causal interpretations and makes it difficult to understand if some factors lead to depression or appear as risk factors because of the typical negative thinking of depression [41], and the self-reported measures of DS rather than structured interviews and clinical diagnoses. However, the BDI-II is one of the most commonly used instruments, with firm cutoffs that are strongly predictive of clinical alterations [3,13]. Another important limitation concerned the opportunistic sampling: no data about students who did not attend lessons or refused to participate were collected, causing a potential loss of information. Finally, although the PRIMES considered several variables to explore predictors of DS, it must be stated that other potential confounders might exist, and further analyses must be addressed to study these relationships.

In conclusion, the PRIMES showed that Italian medical students are at high risk of depression; the prevalence in our study was similar to that of medical students worldwide and higher than that of European colleagues. Medical schools must make efforts to plan and implement preventive and treatment interventions by offering counselling and working on modifiable factors to improve well-being, such as lifestyle and learning climate. Further studies should more deeply examine the causes involved and find the most effective preventive strategies.

# **Supporting information**

S1 Appendix. PRIMES sociodemographic questionnaire (translated version). (DOCX)

## **Acknowledgments**

Collaborating Group: Dario Arzani, Sezione di Igiene, Istituto di Sanità Pubblica, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Roma, Italia; Valentina Baccolini, Department of Public Health and Infectious Diseases, Sapienza University of Roma, Roma, Italy; Vincenzo Baldo, Department of Cardiac, Thoracic, Vascular Sciences and Public Health, University of Padova, Padova, Italy; Martina Barchitta, Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences and Advanced Technologies "GF Ingrassia", University of Catania, Catania, Italy; Alessandra Casuccio, Department

of Science for Health Promotion and Mother to Child Care "G. D'Alessandro", University of Palermo, Palermo, Italy; Daniele Celotto, Department of Medicine, University of Udine, Udine, Italy; Martina D'Errico, SAP: psychological counselling for university students, Polytechnic University of Marche, Ancona, Italy; Davide Di Fonzo, Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of Parma, Parma, Italy; Simona D'Onofrio, Biostatistics and Epidemiology Unit, Department of Life, Health and Environmental Sciences, University of L'Aquila, L'Aquila, Italy; Daniela Loconsole, Department of Biomedical Sciences and Human Oncology, University of Bari—Aldo Moro, Bari, Italy; Elena Olivero, Department of Public Health Sciences, University of Torino, Torino, Italy; Paola Rossello, Department of Public Health Sciences, University of Torino, Torino, Italy.

The Authors would also like to thank Prof. Brusaferro, President of Istituto Superiore di Sanità, for his valuable collaboration and Sonia Barello, Michele Nicoletti, Dr. Domenico Pascucci, Dr. Michele Sassano, Dr. Leonardo Villani for helping with the questionnaires' distribution. Sincere thanks also to all the students involved in this study.

## **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Paolo Leombruni, Roberta Siliquini.

Data curation: Fabrizio Bert, Giuseppina Lo Moro, Alessio Corradi.

Formal analysis: Fabrizio Bert, Giuseppina Lo Moro, Alessio Corradi.

Investigation: Giuseppina Lo Moro, Anna Acampora, Antonella Agodi, Laura Brunelli, Maria Chironna, Silvia Cocchio, Vincenza Cofini, Marcello Mario D'Errico, Carolina Marzuillo, Cesira Pasquarella, Maria Pavia, Vincenzo Restivo.

Methodology: Fabrizio Bert, Maria Rosaria Gualano, Paolo Leombruni, Roberta Siliquini.

Project administration: Fabrizio Bert, Giuseppina Lo Moro.

Resources: Anna Acampora, Antonella Agodi, Laura Brunelli, Maria Chironna, Silvia Cocchio, Vincenza Cofini, Marcello Mario D'Errico, Carolina Marzuillo, Cesira Pasquarella, Maria Pavia, Vincenzo Restivo, Paolo Leombruni, Roberta Siliquini.

Supervision: Fabrizio Bert, Maria Rosaria Gualano, Paolo Leombruni, Roberta Siliquini.

Visualization: Fabrizio Bert, Giuseppina Lo Moro.

Writing - original draft: Fabrizio Bert, Giuseppina Lo Moro.

Writing - review & editing: Maria Rosaria Gualano, Paolo Leombruni, Roberta Siliquini.

#### References

- Global Burden of Disease Collaborative Network. Global Burden of Disease Study 2017 (GBD 2017)
  Results. Seattle, United States: Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME). 2018 [cited 2019
  Jul 25]. Available from: http://ghdx.healthdata.org/gbd-results-tool
- WHO-World Health Organization. Depression and Other Common Mental Disorders Global Health Estimates Geneva; 2017 [cited 2019 Jul 25]. Available from: <a href="https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/254610/WHO-MSD-MER-2017.2-eng.pdf">https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/254610/WHO-MSD-MER-2017.2-eng.pdf</a>
- Tam W, Lo K, Pacheco J. Prevalence of depressive symptoms among medical students: overview of systematic reviews. Med Educ 2019; 53(4):345–54. https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.13770 PMID: 30474128
- Mata DA, Ramos MA, Bansal N, Khan R, Guille C, Di Angelantonio E, et al. Prevalence of Depression and Depressive Symptoms Among Resident Physicians. JAMA 2015; 314(22):2373. https://doi.org/10. 1001/jama.2015.15845 PMID: 26647259

- Rotenstein LS, Ramos MA, Torre M, Segal JB, Peluso MJ, Guille C, et al. Prevalence of Depression, Depressive Symptoms, and Suicidal Ideation Among Medical Students. JAMA 2016; 316(21):2214. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.17324 PMID: 27923088
- Chew-Graham CA, Rogers A, Yassin N. "I wouldn't want it on my CV or their records": medical students' experiences of help-seeking for mental health problems. Med Educ 2003; 37(10):873–80. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2923.2003.01627.x">https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2923.2003.01627.x</a> PMID: 12974841
- Karp JF, Levine AS. Mental Health Services for Medical Students—Time to Act. N Engl J Med 2018; 379(13):1196–8. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1803970 PMID: 30257155
- 8. Witt K, Boland A, Lamblin M, McGorry PD, Veness B, Cipriani A, et al. Effectiveness of universal programmes for the prevention of suicidal ideation, behaviour and mental ill health in medical students: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Evid Based Ment Heal 2019; 22(2):84–90.
- 9. MINISTERO DELL'ISTRUZIONE, DELL'UNIVERSITA' E DELLA RICERCA web site [cited 2019 Jul 31]. Available from: https://www.miur.gov.it/web/guest/universita
- Puthran R, Zhang MWB, Tam WW, Ho RC. Prevalence of depression amongst medical students: a meta-analysis. Med Educ 2016; 50(4):456–68. https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.12962 PMID: 26995484
- 11. MIUR—Ministero dell'Istruzione dell'Università e della R. Anagrafe Nazionale Studenti [cited 2019 Jul 31]. Available from: http://anagrafe.miur.it/php5/home.php?&anni=2017-18&categorie=area-classi&status=iscritti&tipo\_corso=TU&&code\_area=1&code\_classe=3042
- ISTAT Istituto Nazionale di Statistica. Ripartizione geografica [cited 2019 Jul 31]. Available from: <a href="http://dwcs.istat.it/cis/docs/4-8.htm">http://dwcs.istat.it/cis/docs/4-8.htm</a>
- Beck A, Steer R, Brown G. Beck depression inventory-II San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation Harcourt Brace & Company; 1996
- Plöderl M, Tremblay P. Mental health of sexual minorities. A systematic review. Int Rev Psychiatry 2015; 27(5):367–85. https://doi.org/10.3109/09540261.2015.1083949 PMID: 26552495
- Dyrbye LN, Thomas MR, Huntington JL, Lawson KL, Novotny PJ, Sloan JA, et al. Personal Life Events and Medical Student Burnout: A Multicenter Study. Acad Med 2006; 81(4):374–84. https://doi.org/10. 1097/00001888-200604000-00010 PMID: 16565189
- 16. Wilde A, Chan H-N, Rahman B, Meiser B, Mitchell PB, Schofield PR, et al. A meta-analysis of the risk of major affective disorder in relatives of individuals affected by major depressive disorder or bipolar disorder. J Affect Disord 2014; 158:37–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2014.01.014 PMID: 24655763
- Dyrbye L, Shanafelt T. A narrative review on burnout experienced by medical students and residents. Med Educ 2016; 50(1):132–49. https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.12927 PMID: 26695473
- Dyrbye LN, Power D V, Massie FS, Eacker A, Harper W, Thomas MR, et al. Factors associated with resilience to and recovery from burnout: a prospective, multi-institutional study of US medical students. Med Educ 2010; 44(10):1016–26. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2923.2010.03754.x PMID: 20880371
- Dyrbye LN, Thomas MR, Massie FS, Power D V, Eacker A, Harper W, et al. Burnout and Suicidal Ideation among U.S. Medical Students. Ann Intern Med 2008; 149(5):334. <a href="https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-149-5-200809020-00008">https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-149-5-200809020-00008</a> PMID: 18765703
- Miletic V, Lukovic JA, Ratkovic N, Aleksic D, Grgurevic A. Demographic risk factors for suicide and depression among Serbian medical school students. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2015; 50 (4):633–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-014-0950-9 PMID: 25205334
- Slavin SJ, Schindler DL, Chibnall JT. Medical student mental health 3.0: improving student wellness through curricular changes. Acad Med 2014; 89(4):573–7. https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM. 00000000000166 PMID: 24556765
- Fares J, Saadeddin Z, Al Tabosh H, Aridi H, El Mouhayyar C, Koleilat MK, et al. Extracurricular activities associated with stress and burnout in preclinical medical students. J Epidemiol Glob Health 2016; 6 (3):177–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jegh.2015.10.003 PMID: 26644345
- Støen Grotmol K, Gude T, Moum T, Vaglum P, Tyssen R. Risk factors at medical school for later severe depression: A 15-year longitudinal, nationwide study (NORDOC). J Affect Disord 2013; 146(1):106–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2012.08.047 PMID: 23017539
- 24. Pagnin D, De Queiroz V, Oliveira Filho MA De, Gonzalez NVA, Salgado AET, Oliveira BCE, et al. Burnout and career choice motivation in medical students. Med Teach 2013; 35(5):388–94. https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2013.769673 PMID: 23458255
- 25. Fares J Al Tabosh H Saadeddin Z El Mouhayyar C Aridi H. Stress, Burnout and Coping Strategies in Preclinical Medical Students. N Am J Med Sci 2016; 8(2):75–81. https://doi.org/10.4103/1947-2714. 177299 PMID: 27042604
- Dahlin M, Joneborg N, Runeson B. Stress and depression among medical students: a cross-sectional study. Med Educ 2005; 39(6):594–604. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2929.2005.02176.x PMID: 15910436

- 27. Fallah G, Moudi S, Hamidia A, Bijani A. Stimulant use in medical students and residents requires more careful attention. Casp J Intern Med 2018; 9(1):87–91.
- Vanheule S, Desmet M, Groenvynck H, Rosseel Y, Fontaine J. The Factor Structure of the Beck Depression Inventory–II. Assessment 2008; 15(2):177–87. https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191107311261 PMID: 18182478
- PASSI. Sorveglianza Passi on line 2015–2018 [cited 2019 Jul 28]. Available from: https://www.epicentro.iss.it/passi/dati/depressione#dati
- Bacchi S, Licinio J. Qualitative Literature Review of the Prevalence of Depression in Medical Students Compared to Students in Non-medical Degrees. Acad Psychiatry 2015; 39(3):293–9. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s40596-014-0241-5">https://doi.org/10.1007/s40596-014-0241-5</a> PMID: 25398265
- Przedworski JM, Dovidio JF, Hardeman RR, Phelan SM, Burke SE, Ruben MA, et al. A Comparison of the Mental Health and Well-Being of Sexual Minority and Heterosexual First-Year Medical Students. Acad Med 2015; 90(5):652–9. https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.000000000000658 PMID: 25674912
- Meng X, Brunet A, Turecki G, Liu A, D'Arcy C, Caron J. Risk factor modifications and depression incidence: a 4-year longitudinal Canadian cohort of the Montreal Catchment Area Study. BMJ Open 2017; 7(6):e015156. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-015156 PMID: 28601831
- Meng X, D'Arcy C. The projected effect of increasing physical activity on reducing the prevalence of common mental disorders among Canadian men and women: A national population-based community study. Prev Med (Baltim) 2013; 56(1):59–63.
- 34. Kvam S, Kleppe CL, Nordhus IH, Hovland A. Exercise as a treatment for depression: A meta-analysis. J Affect Disord 2016; 202:67–86. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2016.03.063 PMID: 27253219
- 35. Bitonte RA, DeSanto DJ oll. Mandatory physical exercise for the prevention of mental illness in medical students. Ment Illn 2014; 6(2):5549. https://doi.org/10.4081/mi.2014.5549 PMID: 25553235
- Blake H, Stanulewicz N, Mcgill F. Predictors of physical activity and barriers to exercise in nursing and medical students. J Adv Nurs 2017; 73(4):917–29. https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.13181 PMID: 27731886
- Kuehner C. Gender differences in unipolar depression: an update of epidemiological findings and possible explanations. Acta Psychiatr Scand 2003; 108(3):163–74. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0447.
   2003.00204.x PMID: 12890270
- **38.** Toth F. How health care regionalisation in Italy is widening the North–South gap. Heal Econ Policy Law 2014; 9(3):231–49.
- Spring L, Robillard D, Gehlbach L, Moore Simas TA. Impact of pass/fail grading on medical students' well-being and academic outcomes. Med Educ 2011; 45(9):867–77. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2923.2011.03989.x PMID: 21848714
- Depression in medical students: Cluster symptoms and management. J Affect Disord 2013; 150 (1):110–4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2012.11.050 PMID: 23270975
- Joormann J. Cognitive Inhibition and Emotion Regulation in Depression. Curr Dir Psychol Sci 2010; 19 (3):161–6.