

# NETWORK ANALYSIS AND «PREDICTIVE POLICING»: TOWARDS A «PROFILING SOCIETY»?

Federico Costantini

Researcher, Università degli Studi di Udine, Dipartimento di Scienze giuridiche  
Via Treppo 18, 33100, Udine (IT)  
federico.costantini@uniud.it

**Schlagworte:** *Cybercrimes, Cybernetics, Digital evidence, Network analysis, Predictive policing, Onlife Manifesto*

**Abstract:** *Network analysis is a powerful tool that is increasingly used not only to determine events that occurred in the past, but also to predict what may happen in the future. This paper will discuss the perspective, far from being abstract or remote, that such methods may be adopted in order to anticipate crimes. Taking into account current technologies, present legal science and according to the recent «Onlife Manifesto», here are talked three issues, concerning respectively the epistemological, the legal-philosophical and the anthropological aspect. In the first place, the relevance of the information provided in a crime's «prediction», since it does not concern an event of the past. Secondly, the nature of responsibility ascribed on these grounds, as neither an «actus reo» nor a «mens rea» can be found in the case. Finally, the kind of punishment could be given to the supposed criminal, because free will should be doubted if his behaviour could be foreseen.*

## 1. Introduction

It can be said that from a legal perspective the network is considered at least in four ways: (1) as source of information;<sup>1</sup> (2) as subject of discipline;<sup>2</sup> (3) as theoretical model;<sup>3</sup> (4) as heuristic tools.<sup>4</sup>

This paper will address the use of network analysis as a semantic tool – as in the fourth perspective above mentioned – used to retrieve links among people. Indeed, everyday experience brings us that the profiling of Internet users has achieved very advanced levels and, according to recent studies, it is possible to anticipate

<sup>1</sup> Networks are nowadays the major source of information as data are immediately available in large quantities and can be easily processed.

<sup>2</sup> The intensive use of networks has given rise to a specific discipline of studies called *cyberlaw*, whose birth certificate can be identified in the statement: «*The Internet is therefore a unique and wholly new medium of worldwide human communication*» Finding n. 81, *American Civil Liberties Union v. Reno*, 11<sup>th</sup> June 1996, United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, in 929 F. Supp. 824, 830–849 (ED Pa. 1996). The same quote can be found in the appeal decided by the Supreme Court of the United States, *Reno v. American Civil Liberties Union*, case No. 96–511, 19<sup>th</sup> march 1997–26<sup>th</sup> June 1997, in 521 U. S. 844 (1997).

<sup>3</sup> The network has become the representation used by scholars in applying the complex system's theory to law developing a synthesis of theory of the sources of law, theory of legal interpretation and theory of judicial argumentation, PAGALLO, *Il diritto nell'età dell'informazione. Il riposizionamento tecnologico degli ordinamenti giuridici tra complessità sociale, lotta per il potere e tutela dei diritti*, Pagallo, U., *Digitalica*, 12, Giappichelli, Torino, 2015. The decentralized network is the most interesting pattern since it represents information flow, social ties and semantic representations, hence it is argued to show connections among technology, society and mind, BARAN, *On Distributed Communications Networks*. RAND Corporation papers, RAND, 1962.

<sup>4</sup> Recently Network analysis has been used to detect semantic connections among legal documents, hence retrieving information on the legal system in which they are set, see recently the third International Workshop «Network Analysis in Law» in conjunction with JURIX 2015 held in Braga, <http://www.leibnizcenter.org/~winkels/NAiL2015.html> (all web pages last accessed on 9 January 2016).

collective events,<sup>5</sup> influence user's feelings,<sup>6</sup> diagnose mental illnesses,<sup>7</sup> and, in some cases, predict individual behaviour.<sup>8</sup>

So, if one can foresee legitimate activities of Internet users, such as the purchase of goods or services, would it be possible to predict even illegal behaviours and crimes in particular? As an example, let us assume that a subject A: (1) has purchased some dangerous items  $W_1, W_2, W_3, W_n$ ; (2) has shown preferences (for example with the «like» on Facebook) for hateful contents (for example, a YouTube video, an image on Tumblr, a Web page, a Facebook comment)  $X_1, X_2, X_3, X_n$ , which are linked to a sociopathic profile; (3) has connections with users  $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_n$ , all bearing criminal records; (4) is a regular attender of  $Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, Z_n$ , notorious «hot spots» for illicit activities. What if, based on these data, an algorithm stated that – in a certain range of places and time – subject A could commit a crime against an identified subject B?

Since this is not a dystopian science fiction vision but a realistic perspective,<sup>9</sup> and in order to offer a theoretical appraisal, I take into consideration the outlook emerging from the «Onlife Manifesto»,<sup>10</sup> a very interesting volume published by a group of scholars<sup>11</sup> coordinated by LUCIANO FLORIDI, founder of the «Philosophy of Information».<sup>12</sup> The key point of such perspective is the claim that ICTs «*are not mere tools but rather environmental forces that are increasingly affecting: 1. our self-conception (who we are); 2. our mutual interactions (how we socialise); 3. our conception of reality (our metaphysics); and 4. our interactions with reality (our agency)*».<sup>13</sup>

After providing an overview on the state-of-the-art in the field of study called «predictive policing», I focus is on the main emerging issues, namely: (1) the legal value of the information retrieved with such methods; (2) the nature of responsibility in an indictment grounded on such basis; (3) the meaning of punishment in such perspective. In conclusion, I offer some final concerns.<sup>14</sup>

<sup>5</sup> KREIMER, Technologies of protest. Insurgent Social Movements and the First Amendment in the Era of the Internet, University of Pennsylvania Law Review, volume 150, issue 1, 2001, p. 119-171, ZABALA, Predicting the future through online data mining. <http://www.aljazeera.com/indepth/opinion/2012/10/2012102105523661935.html>, 2012.

<sup>6</sup> In 2014 Facebook released a report on the development of romantic relationships on the occasion of day of Saint Valentine, <https://www.facebook.com/data/posts/10152217010993415>.

<sup>7</sup> KRAMER/GUILLORY/HANCOCK, Experimental evidence of massive-scale emotional contagion through social networks, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, volume 111, issue 24, 2014, p. 8788–8790, SAEB/ZHANG/KARR/SCHUELLER/CORDEN/KORDING/MOHR, Mobile Phone Sensor Correlates of Depressive Symptom Severity in Daily-Life Behavior: An Exploratory Study, Journal of Medical Internet Research, volume 17, issue 7, 2015.

<sup>8</sup> REED/SEGAL, Social network analysis and counterinsurgency operations. The capture of Saddam Hussein, Sociological Focus, volume 39, issue 4, 2006, p. 251–264, WANG/XU/WU/ZHOU, Link Prediction in Social Networks: the State-of-the-Art, Science China-Information Sciences, volume 58, 2015.

<sup>9</sup> In Italy, Mario Venturi – police officer in Milan – developed a software tool called KeyCrime, which has a conspicuous rate of success in predicting armed robberies, <http://keycrime.com>.

<sup>10</sup> FLORIDI (Ed.), The Onlife Manifesto. Being Human in a Hyperconnected Era, Open Access Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2015, <https://ec.europa.eu/digital-agenda/en/onlife-manifesto>.

<sup>11</sup> Stefana Broadbent, Nicole Dewandre, Charles Ess, Jean-Gabriel Ganascia, Mireille Hildebrandt, Yiannis Laouris, Claire Lobet-Maris, Sarah Oates, Ugo Pagallo, Judith Simon, May Thorseth, and Peter-Paul Verbeek.

<sup>12</sup> A precise definition of «Philosophy of information» has been given as follows: «*The philosophical field concerned with (a) the critical investigation of the conceptual nature and basic principles of information, including its dynamics, utilization and sciences, and (b) the elaboration and application of information-theoretic and computational methodologies to philosophical problems*» FLORIDI, The philosophy of information, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2010, (p. 46).

<sup>13</sup> FLORIDI, Introduction. In: Floridi, L. (Ed.), The Onlife Manifesto Springer International Publishing, 2015, p. 1–3, (p. 2).

<sup>14</sup> For the sake of brevity I show only the main references.

## 2. Short overview on «predictive policing» and its meaning

In 2009, at the first symposium on the subject, «predictive policing» was defined as: «*any policing strategy or tactic that develops and uses information and advanced analysis to inform forward-thinking crime prevention*».<sup>15</sup>

Such «*intelligence-led policing*»<sup>16</sup> is different from both «*criminal pattern analysis*»<sup>17</sup> and «*smart policing*»: the first is a simple activity of «*crime mapping*»<sup>18</sup> based on the estimation of crimes previously committed,<sup>19</sup> the latter is an investigation approach implemented with the most advanced techniques and strategies.<sup>20</sup> Indeed, the key feature of «predictive policing» is not in the use of ICTs,<sup>21</sup> but in its purpose, which is «*to transform policing from a reactive process to a proactive process*».<sup>22</sup>

Many opinions are raised with respect to such new discipline. Evidently the favorable emphasize the benefits to public order and the reduction of the social costs or risk for people, while opponents express concerns from different points of view and in particular: (1) the mathematical models adopted,<sup>23</sup> (2) the risk of abuse by political authorities;<sup>24</sup> (3) the prejudice for the rights of defense in criminal proceedings;<sup>25</sup> (4) the ethical implications of such a pervasive use of data.<sup>26</sup>

The key aspect concerning «predictive policing» in this study can be focused emphasizing the association between «intelligence» and «proactivity». Indeed, here data are not processed simply to establish past facts, or to describe a present situation – as in a traditional investigation – or to forecast upcoming events. Since the scope in «predictive policing» is specifically to anticipate events, connections among causal factors are identified in order to change their impact, thus to obtain the outcome, which was previously set as strategic target.<sup>27</sup>

<sup>15</sup> UCHIDA, A national discussion on predictive policing: Defining our terms and mapping successful implementation strategies. National Institute of Justice, 2009, (p. 1). This definition was given by John Morgan, Director of NIJ's Office of Science and Technology in 2010. In the Report, social network analysis was explicitly mentioned among the fields of this discipline. A more recent definition is offered in GOERZIG, Expanding Predictive Policing, Enhancing Infiltration Strategies, International Journal of Social Science and Humanity, volume 6, 2016, p. 356-363.

<sup>16</sup> SKLANSKY, The persistent pull of police professionalism, New Perspectives in Policing, March, 2011.

<sup>17</sup> SHEKHAR/MOHAN/OLIVER/ZHOU, Crime pattern analysis: A spatial frequent pattern mining approach. DTIC Document, 2012. It is useful to specify that «predictive policing» operates on two levels, the collective one and the individual one: the first intends to anticipate public unrests or fight against criminal organizations or even tackle terrorist groups; the second aims to prevent individual crimes, ADRANGNA/ANDREWS/BOLTON/BROGAN-DING/CARTER/DONOHUE/GLENN/KAHL/MARSHALL/MORALES, Predictive Policing: A Review of the Literature. Criminology and Criminal Justice Senior Capstone. Criminology and Criminal Justice Senior Capstone Project. Paper 5. Portland State University, 2012.

<sup>18</sup> GROFF/LA VIGNE, Forecasting the future of predictive crime mapping, Crime Prevention Studies, volume 13, 2002, p. 29–58.

<sup>19</sup> WANG/RUDIN/WAGNER/SEVIERI, Learning to detect patterns of crime. Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery in Databases Springer, 2013, p. 515–530.

<sup>20</sup> WHITE/UCHIDA, Smart policing: What's in a name?, The Criminologist, volume XXXVIII, issue 5, 2013, p. 54–56.

<sup>21</sup> HARTLE/PARKER/WYDRA, The digital case file: The future of fighting crime with big data, Issues in Information Systems, volume XV, issue 1, 2014, p. 257–266.

<sup>22</sup> Andrew Adams, in GREENGARD, Policing the future, Communications of the ACM, volume LV, 2012, p. 19–21, (p. 19).

<sup>23</sup> RIDGEWAY, The pitfalls of prediction, NIJ Journal, volume 271, 2013, p. 34–40. The author was Director of NIJ when the paper was published.

<sup>24</sup> BYRNE/MARX, Technological innovations in crime prevention and policing. A review of the research on implementation and impact, Journal of Police Studies, volume 20, 2011, p. 17–40.

<sup>25</sup> JOH, Policing by Numbers: Big Data and the Fourth Amendment, Washington Law Review, volume LIX, issue 35, 2014, p. 34–68,

JOH, Bait, Mask, and Ruse: Technology and Police Deception, Harvard Law Review Forum, volume CXXVIII, 2014, p. 246–252.

<sup>26</sup> A critique to «solutionism» in sociological terms, MOROZOV, To save everything, click here. The folly of technological solutionism, Public Affairs, New York, 2013.

<sup>27</sup> It is interesting that the power of manipulation is said to be no longer about the data, but in their strategic or «proactive» use. The conclusion is logically correct but leads to a paradoxical outcome: «*The need to distinguish intelligence from information, which determines what is and is not protected under privacy laws*» UCHIDA, A national discussion on predictive policing: Defining our

Since, according to «predictive policing», crimes can be prevented altering the chain of events by managing the information they embody, it can be argued that this discipline is ultimately a sort of control of human relations: a cybernetic version of policing<sup>28</sup>. Indeed, in it we can see not the mere adoption of technology in criminal investigations, but a technological *Weltanschauung* of the world in which they are carried out.

### 3. Epistemological issues: «predictive policing» in legal proceedings

The first order of issues can be introduced wondering what is worth the information given by the outcome of an algorithm assessing the chance that subject A could assault subject B in a certain range of time and places.

In the «Onlife Manifesto» human experience is called «Infosphere»,<sup>29</sup> which has namely two meanings:<sup>30</sup> the first can be said «logical», since «it denotes the whole informational environment constituted by all informational entities (thus including information agents as well), their properties, interactions, processes, and mutual relations»;<sup>31</sup> the second can be assumed as «ontological», as «it is a concept that, given an informational ontology, can also be used as a synonymous with reality, or Being».<sup>32</sup>

According to this perspective, it can be argued that the prediction that subject A could commit a crime against subject B is very difficult to fit in traditional concepts such as «proof» and «presumption». Hereinafter I offer some considerations with respect to each profile.

It is debatable to qualify as «proof» the mere connection between subjects, because: (1) it refers to odds and not to facts; (2) the concept of «probability» is different both from argumentative «truth» (classical conception of proof) and empirical «certainty» (modern conception of proof); (3) it is difficult to assign onuses and obligations to parties in a process («burden of persuasion» or «burden of production»)<sup>33</sup> with respect to probabilities.

Having regard to «presumptions», namely the judicial proof of an unknown fact by deducing known circumstances, we encounter similar difficulties because: (1) the fact to be proved is placed in the future, so it is not correct to assume simply that it is unknown, because it is actually not happened;<sup>34</sup> (2) it is not clear how in a judicial process should be managed the knowledge represented by a probability (for example, on which grounds it should be considered full evidence or just an *Anscheinsbeweis*).<sup>35</sup>

According to these concerns, it appears that the information provided by «predictive policing» could represent at least a judicial argument, so its influence could depend by elements quite not suitable to be computed, such as the acceptance of the audience<sup>36</sup> or the «warranties» provided by the parties.<sup>37</sup>

---

terms and mapping successful implementation strategies. (p. 10).

<sup>28</sup> WIENER, *Cybernetics or control and communications in the animal and the machine*, Actualités scientifiques et industrielles, 1053, Hermann & Cie-The Technology Press, Paris-Cambridge, 1948.

<sup>29</sup> FLORIDI, *Hyperhistory and the Philosophy of Information Policies*. In: Floridi, L. (Ed.), *The Onlife Manifesto*, (p. 53). This notion is similar to that of the «noosphere», the spiritual dimension reached by humanity once overcome the levels of existence where lie inanimate things (geosphere) and other living creatures (biosphere), according to Theilhard de Chardin.

<sup>30</sup> FLORIDI, *Philosophy and computing. An introduction*, Routledge, London; New York, 1999.

<sup>31</sup> FLORIDI, *The Ethics of Information*, Oxford University Press, London, 2013, (p. 6). This definition is qualified as «minimalistic» in FLORIDI, *The 4th Revolution. How the infosphere is reshaping human reality*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2014, (p. 41).

<sup>32</sup> FLORIDI, *The Ethics of Information*, p. 6. This definition is qualified as «maximalistic» in FLORIDI, *The 4th Revolution. How the infosphere is reshaping human reality*, p. 41.

<sup>33</sup> TUZET, *Filosofia della prova giuridica, Recta ratio. Testi e studi di Filosofia del Diritto. Sesta Serie*, 117, Giappichelli, Torino, 2013.

<sup>34</sup> GETTIER, *Is Justified True Belief Knowledge?*, *Analysis*, volume 23, issue 6, 1963, p. 121–123.

<sup>35</sup> PRAKKEN/SARTOR, *Presumptions and Burdens of Proof*, *Eui Working Papers. Law*, volume 36, 2006.

<sup>36</sup> PERELMAN/OLBRECHTS-TYTECA, *La nouvelle rhétorique. Traité de l'argumentation*, Presses universitaires de France, Paris, 1958.

<sup>37</sup> TOULMIN, *The Uses of Argument*, Cambridge, University Press, 1958.

#### 4. Issues concerning criminal science: «predictive policing» and responsibility

The second aspect can be addressed by wondering what kind of responsibility may be ascribed to subject A with respect to subject B.

According to the «Onlife Manifesto», to understand our Hyperconnected Era we should develop a completely new mindset and specifically «(i) a new philosophy of nature, (ii) a new philosophical anthropology, (iii) a synthetic e-nvironmentalism as a bridge between us and the world, and (iv) a new philosophy of politics among us».<sup>38</sup> If, following this path, it can be said that «what is real is informational and what is informational is real»,<sup>39</sup> the whole society can be described as an unceasing exchange of information among agents.

Provided that, the reaction to crime of the legal system cannot be explained by the definition of responsibility provided in traditional criminal science. Indeed, to charge someone with an indictment a previous behaviour and a guilty mindset are needed, «*actus reus*» and «*mens rea*»,<sup>40</sup> and both are missing in «predictive policing». In such perspective, the liability is allocated only by an algorithm, namely by the simple association of the data related to the crime, the possible victim and the context of the likely conduct. This seems inadequate to support an indictment considered the basic legal principles of western civil culture, and still more in the light of the general prohibition of «automated individual decisions» as stated in Article 15 Directive 95/46/EU,<sup>41</sup> in Article 19 Regulation (EC) No 45/2001,<sup>42</sup> and in Article 20 of the «General Data Protection Regulation» that soon will enter into force.<sup>43</sup>

#### 5. Ethical issues: «predictive policing» and punishment

The third aspect can be introduced, continuing the above example, by asking what penalty – and on what theoretical basis – may be enforced to the subject A for the crime that he is expected to commit against the subject B.

According to the «Onlife Manifesto», the advent of ICTs has made an anthropological change, raising a previously unknown human condition: we would have become «*informational organisms*».<sup>44</sup> Precisely, we should be considered «*inforgs*», since «*we are informational organisms (inforgs), mutually connected and embedded in an information environment (the infosphere), which we share with other informational agents, both natural and artificial, that also process information logically and autonomously*».<sup>45</sup>

Within this perspective, it can be argued that the «anticipation» of crime, the key concept of «predictive policing», is not a punishment. This issue is very important since in criminal science the purpose of punishment

<sup>38</sup> FLORIDI, *Hyperhistory and the Philosophy of Information Policies*. In: Floridi, L. (Ed.), *The Onlife Manifesto*, (p. 54).

<sup>39</sup> FLORIDI, *The 4th Revolution. How the infosphere is reshaping human reality*, p. 41. This is a remarkable quote of Hegel «*was vernünftig ist, das ist wirklich, und was wirklich ist, das ist vernünftig*» HEGEL, *Grundlinien der Philosophie des Rechts*. In: Glockner, H. (Ed.), *Sämtliche Werke. Jubiläumsausgabe in zwanzig Bänden. Einer Hegel-Monographie und einem Hegel-Lexikon* F. Frommann Verlag (Gunther Holzboog), Stuttgart-Bad Cannstadt, 1964, (p. 33) (17 original version), HEGEL, *Enzyklopädie der philosophischen Wissenschaften*. In: Reinicke, H. (Ed.), *Werke in zwanzig Bänden, Suhrkamp Taschenbuch Wissenschaft*, 601–621, Suhrkamp, Frankfurt am Main, 1986, (p. 47) (§6).

<sup>40</sup> KLIP, *European criminal law. An integrative approach, Ius communitatis*, 2, Intersentia, Antwerp, 2012, (p. 200–205).

<sup>41</sup> Directive 95/46/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 October 1995 *on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data*, in OJEU L 281, 23 November 1995, p. 31–50.

<sup>42</sup> Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2000 *on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data by the Community institutions and bodies and on the free movement of such data*, in OJEU L 008, 12 January 2001, p. 1–22.

<sup>43</sup> Proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council *on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation)*, COM/2012/011 final.

<sup>44</sup> FLORIDI, *Hyperhistory and the Philosophy of Information Policies*. In: Floridi, L. (Ed.), *The Onlife Manifesto*, (p. 58).

<sup>45</sup> FLORIDI, *The 4th Revolution. How the infosphere is reshaping human reality*, p. 94.

depends by the anthropological perspective adopted, and specifically by acknowledging or not free will in human nature. Indeed, the «anticipation» is not envisaged in any of the theories of penalty debated in criminal science – remuneration (*Vergeltung*), atonement (*Sühne*), general prevention or individual deterrence – and does not even comply with current definition of European law, which requires explicitly «*effective, proportionate and dissuasive criminal sanctions for a specific conduct*».<sup>46</sup> A striking consequence is that, strictly speaking, a «proactive» reaction to crime does not need to be brought to court and so it may directly be enforced: this is another matter of concern.

The key point is that, in light of «Onlife Manifesto», the reaction provided by the «predictive policing» to the probability of crime might be considered as a kind of «feedback» of the legal system. In this view, it should be said that the likely crime is nothing more than an anomaly or a kind of disturbance that induces the system to generate a certain effect aimed to neutralize it and restore the equilibrium in the flow of information.

Many scholars who have dealt with such issue do not fully estimate these entailments. If «predictive police» has to «anticipate» crime, then its goal is not just to punish the agent after the offense, but properly to control his behaviour in order to reduce the risk of committing it; in an «Information society», this task could be accomplished only by gauging the inputs from which the subject shapes his representation of reality. In such perspective, it seems difficult to argue that free will could belong to humans – seen as *Inforgs* – since it is assumed not only that our actions are predictable, but also that they should be controllable by some kind of police authority.

## 6. Conclusions

Apart from the example provided, we should acknowledge that – as a matter of fact – network analysis is an useful tool of «predictive policing» actually more suitable to be applied to collective phenomena rather than to individual behaviour, and more effective with cybercrimes than with common offenses. Some relevant concerns emerge from the theoretical assumptions, expressed in the «Onlife Manifesto», and from the perspective that these technologies could be used – also in a near future – as a tool of massive and pervasive social control.

The «Onlife Manifesto» represents a cybernetic vision in which our everyday experience – the «Society of Information» – is assessed in a naturalistic perspective, or «*juxta propria principia*».<sup>47</sup> The «predictive policing» is well suited in this context, since it exploits the two main claims of cybernetics: the ontological one, intrinsic in the reduction of reality to information, and the epistemological one, concerning the control of human behaviour.<sup>48</sup>

We can argue that the use of network analysis in «predictive policing» could lead to a kind of «profiling society», since it assumes that people's behaviour should be constantly monitored, because only in this way it would be possible to «anticipate» crimes and thus to «influence» agents.<sup>49</sup> This perspective could be seen as

---

<sup>46</sup> «*Effectiveness requires that the sanction is suitable to achieve the desired goal, i.e. observance of the rules; proportionality requires that the sanction must be commensurate with the gravity of the conduct and its effects and must not exceed what is necessary to achieve the aim; and dissuasiveness requires that the sanctions constitute an adequate deterrent for potential future perpetrators.*» COM/2011/573 final, *Towards an EU Criminal Policy: Ensuring the effective implementation of EU policies through criminal law*.

<sup>47</sup> TELESIO, *De rerum natura iuxta propria principia*, Opera omnia, 4, Casa del libro, Cosenza, 1965-1971.

<sup>48</sup> From a historical perspective may be drawn an analogy with Nineteenth Century's positivism, ADOLF/STEH, *The Return of Social Physics? Proc. Of The The Technology of Information, Communication and Administration – An Entwined History*, 2015. This perspective is confirmed by a recent renewal of interest for Lombrosian studies VELO DALBRENTA, *In search of the Lombrosian type of delinquent*. In: Knepper, P. and Ystehede, P. (Eds.), *The Cesare Lombroso Handbook* Routledge, Abingdon, 2013, p. 214–225.

<sup>49</sup> This is very interesting for Legal informatics, hence such field of inquiry finds its roots in a proposal to study judicial proceedings in order to «anticipate» decisions and thus develop a strategic – «intelligent», we could say today – analysis on litigation, LOEVINGER, *Jurimetrics: The Next Step Forward*, Minnesota Law Review, volume 33, 1949, p. 455–493, LOEVINGER, *Jurimetrics: Science and Prediction in the Field of Law*, Minnesota Law Review, volume 46, 1961, p. 255–275.

an evolution in the concept of social control, from a «bio-political» to an «info-political» one.<sup>50</sup>

If it is true that law exists where there is free will, and this is why both are prerogatives of humankind – animals do not dispute in courts and machines are not constraint in prison – the use of network analysis as a «predictive policing» tool, in the theoretical background of the «Onlife Manifesto», reveals a technological vision of law, which ultimately justifies a kind of cybernetic «control» over society and discards moral freedom as the essence of human nature.

## 7. References

- ADOLF, MARIAN/STEH, NICO, *The Return of Social Physics? The Technology of Information, Communication and Administration – An Entwined History*, 2015.
- ADRANGNA, RENEE/ANDREWS, JOYCE/BOLTON, DAVID/BROGAN-DING, TIMOTHY/CARTER, HOLLY/DONOHUE, TIMOTHY/GLENN, LORRYN/KAHL, ASHLEY/MARSHALL, CANDICE/MORALES, BEATRIZ, *Predictive Policing: A Review of the Literature*. Criminology and Criminal Justice Senior Capstone. Criminology and Criminal Justice Senior Capstone Project. Paper 5. Portland State University, 2012.
- BARAN, PAUL, *On Distributed Communications Networks*. RAND Corporation papers, RAND, 1962.
- BYRNE, JAMES/ MARX, GARY, *Technological innovations in crime prevention and policing. A review of the research on implementation and impact*, *Journal of Police Studies*, volume 20, 2011, p. 17–40.
- FLORIDI, LUCIANO, *The 4th Revolution. How the infosphere is reshaping human reality*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2014.
- FLORIDI, LUCIANO, *The Ethics of Information*, Oxford University Press, London, 2013.
- FLORIDI, LUCIANO, *Hyperhistory and the Philosophy of Information Policies*. In: Floridi Luciano (Ed.), *The Onlife Manifesto* Springer International Publishing, 2015, p. 51–63.
- FLORIDI, LUCIANO, *Introduction*. In: Floridi Luciano (Ed.), *The Onlife Manifesto* Springer International Publishing, 2015, p. 1–3.
- FLORIDI, LUCIANO (Ed.), *The Onlife Manifesto. Being Human in a Hyperconnected Era*, Open Access Springer International Publishing, Cham 2015.
- FLORIDI, LUCIANO, *Philosophy and computing. An introduction*, Routledge, London; New York, 1999.
- FLORIDI, LUCIANO, *The philosophy of information*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2010.
- FOUCAULT, MICHEL, *Surveiller et punir. Naissance de la prison*, Gallimard, Paris, 1975.
- GETTIER, EDMUND, *Is Justified True Belief Knowledge?*, *Analysis*, volume 23, issue 6, 1963, p. 121–123.
- GOERZIG, C., *Expanding Predictive Policing, Enhancing Infiltration Strategies*, *International Journal of Social Science and Humanity*, volume 6, 2016, p. 356–363.
- GREENGARD, SAMUEL, *Policing the future*, *Communications of the ACM*, volume LV, 2012, p. 19–21.
- GROFF, ELIZABETH R./ LA VIGNE, NANCY G., *Forecasting the future of predictive crime mapping*, *Crime Prevention Studies*, volume 13, 2002, p. 29–58.
- HARTLE, FRANK III/PARKER, MICHAEL/WYDRA, CHRISTOPHER, *The digital case file: The future of fighting crime with big data*, *Issues in Information Systems*, volume XV, issue 1, 2014, p. 257–266.
- HEGEL, GEORG WILHELM FRIEDRICH, *Enzyklopädie der philosophischen Wissenschaften*. In: Reinicke Helmut (Ed.), *Werke in zwanzig Bänden*, Suhrkamp Taschenbuch Wissenschaft, 601–621, Suhrkamp, Frankfurt am Main, 1986.
- HEGEL, GEORG WILHELM FRIEDRICH, *Grundlinien der Philosophie des Rechts*. In: Glockner Hermann (Ed.), *Sämtliche Werke. Jubiläumsausgabe in zwanzig Bänden. Einer Hegel-Monographie und einem Hegel-Lexikon* F. Frommann Verlag (Gunther Holzboog), Stuttgart-Bad Cannstadt, 1964.
- JOH, ELIZABETH E., *Policing by Numbers: Big Data and the Fourth Amendment*, *Washington Law Review*, volume LIX, issue 35, 2014, p. 34–68.

<sup>50</sup> Symbolically, as well as the public torture carried out on the physical body of the subject displayed the absolute power of the sovereign in the Renaissance, today the management of personal data – the «digital body» of the inforg – shows the power of who owns technologies, FOUCAULT, *Surveiller et punir. Naissance de la prison*, Gallimard, Paris, 1975.

- JOH, ELIZABETH E., Bait, Mask, and Ruse: Technology and Police Deception, *Harvard Law Review Forum*, volume CXXVIII, 2014, p. 246–252.
- KLIP, ANDRÉ, *European criminal law. An integrative approach*, *Ius communitatis*, 2, Intersentia, Antwerp, 2012.
- KRAMER, ADAM D. I./GUILLORY, JAMIE E./HANCOCK, JEFFREY T., Experimental evidence of massive-scale emotional contagion through social networks, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, volume 111, issue 24, 2014, p. 8788–8790.
- KREIMER, S. F., Technologies of protest. Insurgent Social Movements and the First Amendment in the Era of the Internet, *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, volume 150, issue 1, 2001, p. 119–171.
- LOEVINGER, LEE, Jurimetrics: Science and Prediction in the Field of Law, *Minnesota Law Review*, volume 46, 1961, p. 255–275.
- LOEVINGER, LEE, Jurimetrics: The Next Step Forward, *Minnesota Law Review*, volume 33, 1949, p. 455–493.
- MOROZOV, EVGENY, *To save everything, click here. The folly of technological solutionism*, Public Affairs, New York, 2013.
- PAGALLO, UGO, *Il diritto nell’eta dell’informazione. Il riposizionamento tecnologico degli ordinamenti giuridici tra complessità sociale, lotta per il potere e tutela dei diritti*, Pagallo Ugo, Digitalica, 12, Giappichelli, Torino, 2015.
- PERELMAN, CHAÏM/OLBRECHTS-TYTECA, LUCIE, *La nouvelle rhétorique. Traité de l’argumentation*, Presses universitaires de France, Paris, 1958.
- PRAKKEN, H./SARTOR, G., Presumptions and Burdens of Proof, *EUI Working Papers. Law*, volume 36, 2006.
- REED, BRIAN J./SEGAL, DAVID R., Social network analysis and counterinsurgency operations. The capture of Saddam Hussein, *Sociological Focus*, volume 39, issue 4, 2006, p. 251–264.
- RIDGEWAY, GREG, The pitfalls of prediction, *NIJ Journal*, volume 271, 2013, p. 34–40.
- SAEB, SOHRAB/ZHANG, MI/KARR, CHRISTOPHER J./SCHUELLER, STEPHEN M./CORDEN, MARYA E./KORDING, KONRAD P./MOHR, DAVID C., Mobile Phone Sensor Correlates of Depressive Symptom Severity in Daily-Life Behavior: An Exploratory Study, *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, volume 17, issue 7, 2015.
- SHEKHAR, SHASHI/MOHAN, PRADEEP/OLIVER, DEV/ZHOU, XUN, *Crime pattern analysis: A spatial frequent pattern mining approach*. DTIC Document, 2012.
- SKLANSKY, DAVID ALAN, The persistent pull of police professionalism, *New Perspectives in Policing*, March, 2011.
- TELESIO, BERNARDINO, *De rerum natura iuxta propria principia, Opera omnia*, 4, Casa del libro, Cosenza, 1965–1971.
- TOULMIN, STEPHEN EDELSTON, *The Uses of Argument*, Cambridge, University Press, 1958.
- TUZET, GIOVANNI, *Filosofia della prova giuridica, Recta ratio. Testi e studi di Filosofia del Diritto. Sesta Serie*, 117, Giappichelli, Torino, 2013.
- UCHIDA, CRAIG D., A national discussion on predictive policing: Defining our terms and mapping successful implementation strategies. National Institute of Justice, 2009.
- VELO DALBRENTA, DANIELE, In search of the Lombrosian type of delinquent. In: Knepper Paul, Ystehede Per (Eds.), *The Cesare Lombroso Handbook* Routledge, Abingdon, 2013, p. 214–225.
- WANG, PENG/XU, BAOWEN/WU, YURONG/ZHOU, XIAOYU, Link Prediction in Social Networks: the State-of-the-Art, *Science China-Information Sciences*, volume 58, 2015.
- WANG, TONG/RUDIN, CYNTHIA/WAGNER, DANIEL/SEVIERI, RICH, *Learning to detect patterns of crime*, *Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery in Databases* Springer, 2013, p. 515–530.
- WHITE, MICHAEL D./UCHIDA, CRAIG, Smart policing: What’s in a name?, *The Criminologist*, volume XXXVIII, issue 5, 2013, p. 54–56.
- WIENER, NORBERT, *Cybernetics or control and communications in the animal and the machine*, *Actualités scientifiques et industrielles*, 1053, Hermann & Cie-The Technology Press, Paris-Cambridge, 1948.
- ZABALA, SANTIAGO, Predicting the future through online data mining. Al Jazeera English, <http://www.aljazeera.com/indepth/opinion/2012/10/2012102105523661935.html>, 2012.



[books@ocg.at](mailto:books@ocg.at)



Erich Schweighofer, Franz Kummer,  
Walter Hötendorfer, Georg Borges (Hrsg. / Eds.)

## **Netzwerke**

### **Networks**



**Tagungsband des 19. Internationalen  
Rechtsinformatik Symposions**

## **IRIS 2016**



**Proceedings of the 19<sup>th</sup> International  
Legal Informatics Symposium**



**OESTERREICHISCHE  
COMPUTER GESELLSCHAFT**  
AUSTRIAN  
COMPUTER SOCIETY





Erich Schweighofer, Franz Kummer, Walter Hötendorfer, Georg Borges  
(Hrsg./Eds.)

**Netzwerke**  
**Networks**

**Tagungsband des**  
**19. Internationalen Rechtsinformatik Symposions**

**IRIS 2016**

**Proceedings of the**  
**19th International Legal Informatics Symposium**

25. bis 27. Februar 2016, Universität Salzburg

<http://www.univie.ac.at/RI/IRIS16>

# HAUPTSPONSOREN DES IRIS 2016



universität  
wien

UNIVERSITÄT  
SALZBURG



swisslex

30 JAHRE  
ANS



LexisNexis




THOMSON REUTERS

OGB VERLAG

WKS

Unternehmensberatung · Buchhaltung · IT



RTR

VERLAG  
ÖSTERREICH

WKO

Unternehmensberatung · IT  
STEIERMARK

Lindeonline  
EINFACH ZU RECHT FINDEN

ispa  
Internet Service Providers Austria



PEHB  
RECHTSANWÄLTE

Heid Schiefer  
Rechtsanwälte

SINC | SYSTEMS INTEGRATION  
NETWORK  
CONSULTING

MANZ

BRZ

[www.weblaw.ch](http://www.weblaw.ch)

KMUS

Zivilgesellschaft

© Österreichische Computer Gesellschaft  
& Erich Schweighofer  
[www.ocg.at](http://www.ocg.at)

Druck: Druckerei Riegelnik  
1080 Wien, Piaristengasse 19

ISBN 978-3-903035-09-6

# INHALTSVERZEICHNIS / TABLE OF CONTENTS

Vorwort / Preface	11
Tagungsprogramm / Conference Programme – IRIS 2016	13
IRIS 2016 Organisation: Trustees, Generalvorsitz, Lokale Organisation, Programmkomitee, Schwerpunktorganisatoren, Publikationsvorsitz	25
Abkürzungsverzeichnis / Abbreviations	29
<b>1. Gedenken / Commemoration</b>	<b>37</b>
Herbert Fiedler (1929–2015), <i>Erich Schweighofer, Roland Traunmüller, Maria Wimmer, Friedrich Lachmayer, Thomas F. Gordon</i>	37
<b>2. Zum Generalthema: Netzwerke / Notes on the General Topic: Networks</b>	<b>41</b>
Netzwerke & Rechtsinformatik, <i>Erich Schweighofer, Friedrich Lachmayer</i>	41
<b>3. Rechtsinformation &amp; Suchtechnologien / Legal Information &amp; Search Technologies</b>	<b>49</b>
Vom Kundenwunsch zur Online-Datenbank, <i>Miron Schneckenberger</i>	49
verfassung.li – Der Online-Kommentar zur liechtensteinischen Verfassung, <i>Patricia M. Schiess Rütimann</i>	51
Online-Zugang zum Recht – Am Beispiel der Tschechischen Republik und der Slowakei, <i>Vlastislav Stavinoha, Silvia Švejdová</i>	57
<b>4. Juristische Informatik-Systeme und Anwendungen / Advanced Legal Informatics Systems and Applications</b>	<b>63</b>
Performing and Evaluating Inferences in JUDIPRO – A Legal Support System in Divorce Cases, <i>Michal Araszkievicz, Agata Łopatkiewicz, Tomasz Zurek</i>	63
Unveiling References in Legal Texts: Implicit versus Explicit Network Structures, <i>Jörg Landthaler, Bernhard Waltl, Florian Matthes</i>	71
Citation Analysis of the Czech Case-Law: First Step Towards the Gold Standard, <i>Jakub Harašta, Matěj Myška, Michal Malaník, Jakub Mišek</i>	79
Network of Legal Metalevels, <i>Vytautas Čyras, Friedrich Lachmayer, Erich Schweighofer</i>	83
Network Analysis and «Predictive Policing»: Towards a «Profiling Society»?, <i>Federico Costantini</i>	93

Die normative Dichte von Rechtsvorschriften – Ist die Zahl der in einem Text enthaltenen Rechtsnormen schätzbar?, <i>Felix Gantner</i>	101
<b>5. CEILI Workshop on Legal Data Analysis</b>	<b>109</b>
LEXIA: A Data Science Environment for Semantic Analysis of German Legal Texts, <i>Bernhard Waltl, Florian Matthes, Tobias Waltl, Thomas Grass</i>	109
The Role of AI & Law in Legal Data Science, <i>Erich Schweighofer</i>	117
Annotating Legal Documents with Ontology Concepts, <i>Kolawole John Adebayo, Luigi Di Caro, Guido Boella</i>	Jusletter IT 25. Februar 2016 <a href="http://jusletter-it.eu/issues/2016/IRIS.html">jusletter-it.eu/issues/2016/IRIS.html</a>
<b>6. Recht, Sprache &amp; Kommunikation / Law, Language &amp; Communication</b>	<b>125</b>
Computational Analysis of International Investment Agreements, <i>Wolfgang Alschner, Dmitriy Skougarevskiy</i>	125
Österreichische und Deutsche Gerichtsentscheidungen im Sprachvergleich, <i>Bettina Mielke, Christian Wolff</i>	129
Korpusbasierte Analyse österreichischer Parlamentsreden, <i>Colin Sippl, Manuel Burghardt, Christian Wolff, Bettina Mielke</i>	139
Applying Lexical Knowledge for Search and Navigation Support in Legal Databases, <i>Bernhard Waltl, Laura Altamirano Sainz, Florian Matthes</i>	149
Der ist kein echter Mann, der nicht «Nein» sagen kann! Beobachtungen zur Häufigkeit der Verwendung von Negationen, <i>Gerhard Varga</i>	159
Klassifikation und Ranking von Dokumenttypen im juristischen Wissenskorpus, <i>Erich Schweighofer</i>	167
<b>7. E-Government</b>	<b>175</b>
IKT-Governance als Herausforderung, <i>Roland Traunmüller</i>	175
Smart Government – Intelligent vernetztes Regierungs- und Verwaltungshandeln, <i>Jörn von Lucke</i>	179
Ein europaweites Netzwerk vertrauenswürdiger Identitäten?, <i>Alexander Konzelmann</i>	187
Identity Theft and the Finnish Criminal Code, <i>Juhani Korja</i>	195
Wie viel «Informationsfreiheit» darf es sein?, <i>Alexander Balthasar</i>	203
Aspekte der Inklusion aus Sicht der E-Partizipation, <i>Vinzenz Heussler, Judith Schossböck, Janos Böszörményi</i>	213

Bürgerinformationssysteme und semantische Technologien, <i>Andreas Krenmayr, Roland Traummüller</i>	221
Nutzen und Zulässigkeit kommerzieller und eigener Social Media durch öffentliche Einrichtungen, <i>Peter Schilling</i>	227
Bürokratieabbau durch Vernetzung von Organisationen, <i>Arthur Winter, Silke Gspan</i>	233
eCohesion: Die Anforderungen der EU als eine Voraussetzung zur internen und externen Vernetzung von Verwaltungen, <i>Michael Tonndorf</i>	239
	Jusletter IT 25. Februar 2016 <a href="http://jusletter-it.eu/issues/2016/IRIS.html">jusletter-it.eu/issues/2016/IRIS.html</a>
<b>8. E-Justiz / E-Justice</b>	<b>239</b>
Ersetzendes Scannen – Kernelement im Gesamtkonzept einer elektronischen Aktenführung?, <i>Jochen Krüger, Christoph Sorge, Stephanie Vogelgesang</i>	239
Attributbasierte Kryptographie für die Justiz, <i>Aljoscha Dietrich, Christoph Sorge</i>	247
E-Justiz und Telearbeit in Brasilien, <i>Patricia Eliane da Rosa Sardeto, Aires José Rover</i>	255
Das besondere elektronische Anwaltspostfach (beA), <i>Friederike Lummel, Thomas Fenske, Heiner Boyn</i>	261
Die Zertifizierungsstelle der Bundesnotarkammer als akkreditierter Zertifizierungsdiensteanbieter im Projekt beA, <i>Martin Davies, Cosmina Radu</i>	265
Die digitale Rechtsanwaltskanzlei der Zukunft, <i>Hans Lecker</i>	269
<b>9. E-Democracy</b>	<b>277</b>
Zentrale Wählerevidenz – nach mehr als 30 Jahren höchste Zeit für «Runderneuerung», <i>Robert Stein</i>	277
CAHVE: Das neue Ad-hoc-Komitee des Europarates für E-Voting, <i>Gregor Wenda</i>	287
Update of the Council of Europe Recommendation on Legal, Operational and Technical Standards for E-Voting – A Legal Perspective, <i>Ardita Driza Maurer</i>	295
E-Democracy in Wassenaar, <i>Alexander Prosser</i>	305
Leben in Netzwerken – Auswirkungen auf Wahlen und partizipative Demokratie, <i>Werner Faßbinder, Robert Müller-Török, Arne Pautsch</i>	313
Schwerpunkt «Elektronische Rechtsetzung», <i>Günther Schefbeck</i>	313
	Jusletter IT 25. Februar 2016 <a href="http://jusletter-it.eu/issues/2016/IRIS.html">jusletter-it.eu/issues/2016/IRIS.html</a>

<b>10. Rechtstheorie / Legal Theory</b>	<b>319</b>
Der «juristische Syllogismus» als rationaler Rahmen der Entscheidung und seine Anwendung im elektronischen Formularverfahren, <i>Marijan Pavčnik, Friedrich Lachmayer</i>	319
«Inference to the Best Explanation» in Behauptungs-Netzwerken, <i>Hanna Maria Kreuzbauer</i>	329
Methoden der Cybersecurity in Anwendung und Recht. Eine methodologische Reflexion, <i>Nikolaus Schatt</i>	339
<b>11. Rechtsvisualisierung – Multisensorisches Recht / Legal Visualisation – Multisensory Law</b>	<b>349</b>
Visuelle Modellierung für rechtsberatende Berufe am Beispiel der gesetzlichen Erbfolge, <i>Hans-Georg Fill, Katharina Haiden</i>	349
Kooperationsvisualisierung im interbranchenspezifischen Vergleich – Implikationen für die Kooperationsvertragsvisualisierung, <i>Erik Kolek</i>	357
Rechtsvisualisierung als Vernetzung von Sprache und Bild – Anmerkungen zum Buch «Kennst du das Recht?», <i>Caroline Walser Kessel, Friedrich Lachmayer, Vytautas Čyras, Peter Parycek, Yueh-Hsuan Weng</i>	365
Next Generation Deal Design: Comics and Visual Platforms for Contracting, <i>Helena Haapio, Daniela Alina Plewe, Robert de Rooy</i>	373
Design Patterns for Contracts, <i>Helena Haapio, Margaret Hagan</i>	381
Error in Persona, pVV und c.i.c. in Song und Video: Zur inhaltlichen und formalen Vielfalt juristischer Videos, <i>Bettina Mielke, Christian Wolff</i>	389
Putting Financial Regulation to Work: Using Simplification and Visualization for Consumer-Friendly Information, <i>Marika Salo, Helena Haapio, Stefania Passera</i>	399
Design of Good Commercial Contracts – Practical Tools for Contract Drafters, <i>Tetiana Tsygankova</i>	407
Rechtsvisualisierung mit ComicTools – Vergleich und Weiterentwicklungsperspektiven, <i>Thorsten Schoormann, Ralf Knackstedt</i>	415
<b>12. Sicherheit &amp; Recht / Security &amp; Law</b>	<b>423</b>
Balance for Privacy, Freedom of Information and National Security, <i>Agnes Zaure</i>	423
Rechtsschutz für sekundäre Zielpersonen von «Watchlists» aus österreichischer Perspektive, <i>Janos Böszörmenyi, Agnes Balthasar-Wach</i>	433
Forschungsmatrix für eine (globale) Cyberlaw-Agenda – «Cyberlaw All 4 – 2016», <i>Viola Schmid</i>	441

<b>13. Datenschutz / Data Protection</b>	<b>449</b>
Online-Netzwerke als neue rechtliche Gemengelage, <i>Rolf H. Weber, Lennart Chrobak</i>	449
Privacy and Security by Design im agilen Softwareentwicklungsprozess, <i>Oliver Terbu, Walter Hötendorfer, Maria Leitner, Arndt Bonitz, Stefan Vogl, Sebastian Zehetbauer</i>	457
Anonymisierung: Methoden und Zulässigkeit, <i>Michael Sonntag</i>	465
«The play's the thing wherein I'll catch the conscience of the King» – Religious and Political Affiliation in Online Games as Data Protection Issue, <i>Burkhard Schafer, Walter Hötendorfer</i>	475
Datenschutz in Zeiten von Universal Analytics, <i>Sebastian Meyer</i>	483
Openness, Journalism and Personal Data Protection – Lessons from the Taxation Data Business, <i>Ahti Saarenpää</i>	489
Cooperation Among Data Privacy Supervisory Authorities: Lessons from Parallel European Mechanisms, <i>Antonella Galetta, Dariusz Kloza</i>	495
Security and Privacy Issues in Internet of Things, <i>Zahraddeen Gwarzo</i>	499
<b>14. IP-Recht / IP Law</b>	<b>509</b>
Netzeffekte, Wechselkosten und Customer Lock-In auf Softwaremärkten und das Urheberrecht, <i>Ralf Blaha</i>	509
Post mortem auctoris? Software zur Unterstützung von Bibliotheken bei der Auffüllung ihrer Bestände, <i>Frederik Möllers, Stefan Hessel</i>	517
Der Zugang zum Netz – Patente, Standards und Selbstregulierung, <i>Maria Pregartbauer</i>	523
Kein Mensch ist unwesentliches Beiwerk – Urheber- und persönlichkeitsrechtliche Überlegungen zu Fotos mit zufällig abgebildeten Personen, <i>Clemens Thiele</i>	531
Controlling Data in Networked Research, <i>Matěj Myška, Michal Koščík</i>	537
Sound-Sampling – Aktuelle Entwicklungen im Streit um den Klang, <i>Raphael Elia Schanda</i>	545
<b>15. E-Commerce, E-Procurement &amp; Telekommunikationsrecht / E-Commerce, E-Procurement &amp; Telecommunications Law</b>	<b>553</b>
Ein Framework zur Beschreibung von Aufgaben, rechtlichen Herausforderungen und IT-basierten Lösungen für die Nachnutzung von Traktionsbatterien, <i>Sebastian Bräuer, Benjamin Klör</i>	553



Rechtliche Implikationen bei einem automatisierten Vertrauensschluss – Machine to Machine (M2M), <i>Rolf-Dieter Kargl</i>	563
Digital Inheritance in Finland, <i>Helena Korja</i>	571
Dokumentation von Software-Mängeln, <i>Markus Knasmüller</i>	579
Befangenheit und Ausgeschlossenheit von Netzwerkmitgliedern im Rahmen der Abschlussprüfung: § 271b UGB und das Register nach § 23 A-QSG, <i>Christian Szücs</i>	583
Vernetzte Produktion, vernetzte Produkte – Herausforderung für die Produkthaftung?, <i>Anne-Kathrin Müller</i>	589
Prozess-Muster für den Entwurf von rechtsnorm-basierten Prozessdiagrammen, <i>Sven Niemand, Andreas Speck</i>	
Datenlizenzierung in der Cloud – Status quo und Implikationen, <i>Tassilo Pellegrini, Ivan Ermilov</i>	
Herstellerhaftung für selbstfahrende Autos, <i>Georg Borges</i>	611
Das geplante europäische elektronische Zugangportal zur Vernetzung der amtlich bestellten Systeme nach der revidierten Transparenzrichtlinie, <i>Gisela Heindl</i>	619
Gemeinnützige und mildtätige Stiftungen: Registerzugang mittels Internet(?) – Das Gemeinnützigkeitspaket 2015, <i>Christian Szücs</i>	625
Open Data vs Crypto-Welten: Chancen und Risiken in dezentralen Netzen, <i>Elisabeth Hödl</i>	629
Cloud Computing in the Public Sector – Key Factors and Lessons Learned from the EU-Project «Cloud for Europe», <i>Linda Strick, Carl-Markus Piswanger</i>	637
Die elektronische Vergabe nach der BVergG-Novelle 2015 und die interkommunale Kooperation – eine Bestandsaufnahme, <i>Philipp Götzl</i>	645
Kommunikation und soziale Kompetenz im Bauprojektmanagement des öffentlichen Auftraggebers, <i>Gerlinde Birnbacher</i>	653
Netzneutralität auf europäischer Ebene – Ein Konzept mit vielen Ausnahmen?, <i>Hannah Grafl</i>	661
<b>16. Ontologischer Index / Ontological Index</b>	<b>671</b>
Autorenindex / Author Index	671
Stichwortindex / Descriptor Index	673