

Management of untreatable ventricular arrhythmias during pharmacologic challenges with sodium channel blockers for suspected Brugada syndrome

Stefano Poli^{1,2}*[†], Mauro Toniolo^{1†}, Massimo Maiani¹, Davide Zanuttini¹, Luca Rebellato¹, Igor Vendramin¹, Ermanno Dametto³, Guglielmo Bernardi³, Flavio Bassi⁴, Carlo Napolitano⁵, Ugolino Livi¹, and Alessandro Proclemer¹

¹Cardiothoracic Department, University Hospital of Udine, P.le Santa Maria della Misericordia, 15, 33100, Udine, Italy; ²Department of Medical, Surgical and Health Care Sciences, Postgraduate School in Cardiovascular Medicine, University of Trieste, Strada di Fiume, 447 34149 Trieste, Italy; ³Cardiology Department, "Azienda per i Servizi Sanitari n°5", Via Montereale, 24 33170 Pordenone, Italy; ⁴Emergency and Intensive Care Department, "Azienda per i Servizi Sanitari n°5", Pordenone, Via Montereale, 24 33170 Pordenone, Italy; and ⁵Molecular Cardiology, IRCCS Fondazione Maugeri, Via S. Maugeri, 10 27100 Pavia, Italy

Received 3 December 2016; editorial decision 15 March 2017; accepted 15 March 2017; online publish-ahead-of-print 17 May 2017

Pharmacologic challenge with sodium channel blockers is part of the diagnostic workout in patients with suspected Brugada syndrome. The test is overall considered safe but both ajmaline and flecainide detain well known pro-arrhythmic properties. Moreover, the treatment of patients with life-threatening arrhythmias during these diagnostic procedures is not well defined. Current consensus guidelines suggest to adopt cautious protocols interrupting the sodium channel blockers as soon as any ECG alteration appears. Nevertheless, the risk of life-threatening arrhythmias persists, even adopting a safe and cautious protocol and in absence of major arrhythmic risk factors. The authors revise the main published case studies of sodium channel blockers challenge in adults and in children, and summarize three cases of untreat-able ventricular arrhythmias discussing their management. In particular, the role of advanced cardiopulmonary resuscitation with extra-corporeal membrane oxygenation is stressed as it can reveal to be the only reliable lifesaving facility in prolonged cardiac arrest.

Keywords

Sodium channel blockers challenge • Brugada syndrome • Life-threatening ventricular arrhythmias • Refractory cardiac arrest • Extra-corporeal membrane oxygenation

Introduction

Brugada syndrome (BS) is characterized by specific ST segment and T wave alterations in right precordial leads positioned in the second, third or fourth intercostal space.¹ However, it is well known that these diagnostic features can be concealed or can fluctuate even to the point of complete normalization.^{2,3} This is why pharmacologic challenge with sodium channel blockers is part of the diagnostic workout in patients with non-diagnostic ECG alterations, with history of unexplained syncope, who survived sudden death, or with family history of BS.¹

From a theoretical point of view, different sodium channel blockers are suitable for the pharmacological challenge, but flecainide and ajmaline are the most widely employed. In fact, other class I drugs, such as procainamide, are traditionally considered to be less potent than flecainide and ajmaline at unmasking a Brugada pattern in the ECG; this differences are attributable to a more rapid dissociation of the drug from the sodium channels resulting in a comparatively minor inhibition of Ina currents.^{4–6} In comparison to flecainide, ajmaline presents safety advantages related to its faster binding kinetics and more reliable results⁷; it has also been shown to have a higher sensitivity, probably because it inhibits Ito currents less than flecainide.⁸

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel: +39 0432 552441; fax: +39 0432 482353. E-mail address: poli.sfn@gmail.com

[†] These authors contributed equally to the work.

Published on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology. All rights reserved. © The Author 2017. For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com.



The test is overall considered safe but both ajmaline and flecainide detain well known pro-arrhythmic properties, even in the general population.^{6,9} Moreover, the treatment of patients with life-threatening arrhythmias during these challenges is also not well defined.

Case reports and case studies

A few months ago, the authors [presentation of Toniolo M. at Cardiostim EHRA Europace Congress 2016] met the case of a 32-year-old woman who underwent an ajmaline challenge for a suspected BS and non-diagnostic ECG (*Figure 1*); a previous ECG recorded to her 5-year-old daughter had shown a typical coved type ST-segment elevation in the right precordial leads.

The test was conducted according to current best practice^{6,10} at the dose of 1 mg/kg at an infusion rate of 10 mg/min with continuous 12-lead ECG and blood pressure monitoring. During the test, just a slight prolongation of the QRS (from 104 to 115 ms) was observed and a Brugada type I pattern progressively emerged (*Figure 2*). At the end of the infusion (50 mg), the patient developed a haemodynamically stable monomorphic ventricular tachycardia (VT) at 130 bpm that was treated with a rapid infusion of 0.2 mg isoproterenol and 150 mEq/L sodium bicarbonate 8.4% (3 ampules) according to previous experiences.^{11–16} After this treatment, the QRS became narrower (from 200 to 150 ms), but the VT became more rapid (200 bpm), polymorphic (*Figure 3*), and caused haemodynamic instability and loss of consciousness. An external defibrillation with three direct current (DC) shock at 200 J was then tried but it was ineffective and converted the VT to ventricular fibrillation (VF). Advanced

cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) was therefore started according to current guidelines¹⁷ but, after a prolonged resuscitation with 14 DC shocks, VF persisted and evolved in an agonal rhythm with very fine waves. Therefore, the patient was placed on mechanical ventilation; moreover, mechanical chest compression with LUCAS-2TM device (Physio-Control/Jolife AB, Lund, Sweden) was started. The patient was then transferred to our hospital (50 km away) in about 40 min in order to provide advanced haemodynamic support with veno-arterial (VA) extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO). At the admission to Interventional Catheterization Laboratory, the cardiac rhythm was still a fine wave, agonal, VF. The estimated low flow time was 180 min and haemogasanalysis showed a metabolic acidosis (pH 7.12) with very elevated serum lactates (17 mmol/L). Surgical placement of an ECMO was performed in about 15 min. After 30 min from ECMO placement, a transcutaneous ventricular pacing was effective and in further 35 min the recovery of spontaneous sinus rhythm with narrow QRS was witnessed (all the rhythm's sequence is represented in Figure 4). The clinical conditions improved dramatically in a few days: on hospital day 4, ECMO was removed. The patient was extubated on hospital day 5 and presented completely normal cerebral function (CPC 1) 18 after discontinuation of sedatives. To the best of our knowledge, there is only another published report of survival from cardiac arrest without neurological sequels after a comparable time to the return of spontaneous circulation; in that case, the cardiac arrest was secondary to ischaemia during a myocardial infarction.¹⁹

A cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) could not demonstrate any structural cardiac diseases and excluded out-of-range ventricular dimensions,²⁰ whereas the ECG progressively evolved showing a spontaneous Brugada type I pattern. Genetic testing was carried out

Figure 2 (A) ECG at 1 min, after the first bolus of ajmaline. (C) ECG at 3 min, after the second bolus of ajmaline. (C) ECG at 3 min, after the third bolus of ajmaline. (C) ECG at 3 min, a

в

С

after the third bolus of ajmaline. (C) ECG at 3 min, after the third bolus of ajmaline. (D) ECG at 4 min, after the fourth bolus of ajmaline, showing a slight, though not significative, prolongation of the QRS. (E) ECG at 5 min, after the last infusion of ajmaline, showing significative QRS prolongation and emergence of Brugada type 1 pattern.

with a custom NGS panel (Ion Torrent – Thermofisher) followed by Sanger double strand sequencing for confirmation. A single nucleotide transition leading to a mis-sense mutation in the SCN5A gene (n.3080G > A—p.R1027Q) was identified. This variant was previously reported and showed to impair the sodium current inactivation process.²¹ An additional intronic single nucleotide (n.483-18 C > G) with possible but not certain effect on the splicing of SCN5A based on bioinformatics analysis (http://www.fruitfly.org/seq_tools/splice. html) was identified; this latter variant mutation was present also in the daughter, who has a BS phenotype, thus supporting its pathophysiologic role. For these reasons, our index case could harbor a compound heterozygote SCN5A mutation.

Scarce and contrasting data are available in current literature on the incidence of major arrhythmias during pharmacologic challenge with sodium channel blockers: a review of existing reports on ajmaline and flecainide challenges are presented in *Table 1*; one of the largest registries available is that of Conte *et al.*²⁷ which counts 1043 patients from 1992 to 2013: just 9 out of 503 patients with positive ajmaline challenge (1.8%) presented sustained ventricular arrhythmias (6 VF, 3 haemodynamically instable VT) but they tended to be partially refractory to defibrillation/cardioversion (mean 2.3 DC shocks per patient). Only 1 patient experienced an ECMO placement for refractory cardiac arrest. Data from another large registry⁷ reported an even lower prevalence (0.3%) of major arrhythmias; however, in this registry, very strict safety measures were adopted, with 2.4% of tests stopped for QRS widening or premature



Figure 3 (A) ECG showing ventricular tachycardia induction by a premature ventricular contraction (*). (B) ECG showing monomorphic ventricular tachycardia (130 bpm) before the infusion of isoproterenol. (*C*) ECG after the infusion of isoproterenol showing a more rapid (200 bpm) ventricular tachycardia with a narrower QRS.

ventricular complexes (PVCs) and the protocol was slightly less aggressive (1 mg/kg in 5–10 min instead of in 5 min). Similarly, in the registry of Postema *et al.*²⁴ there were no reported cases of tachyar-rhythmias while there was only one case of sinus arrest out of 269 patients tested; the protocol adopted was cautious (10 mg/min up to 1 mg/kg stopping if Brugada type I developed, if there was a QRS widening to \geq 140% of basal duration or if arrhythmias occurred); lastly, also the report of Zorzi *et al.*²⁶ did not document arrhythmic events. Conversely, other groups^{22,32,33} had previously reported a higher incidence (from 6.2 to 18%) of major arrhythmias during sodium channel blockers challenge, but this was probably due to the presence of baseline spontaneous Brugada pattern type I ECG in most of the patients enrolled. In 2^{32,33} out of 3 of these studies, the drug used was pilsicainide.

In literature, there is also another published case of flecainide challenge in a 16-year-old woman complicated by refractory cardiac arrest (RCA) which needed ECMO placement, but in that case, cardiac CMR and genetic tests revealed the presence of an underlying arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy.³⁴ The three reported cases of ECMO placement for RCA after sodium channel blockers administration are reported in *Table 2*. Moreover, in literature there are now at least four published case reports demonstrating the successful use of ECMO as salvage therapy following severe flecainide intoxication.^{35–38}

V2



lation to a coarse wave fibrillation after 2 DC 360 J shocks. After infusion of amiodarone, potassium chloride and calcium chloride, it became more organized allowing an external pacing to be effective. At last, a spontaneous rhythm occurred.

Risk factors for ventricular arrhythmias

The 2005 consensus conference³⁹ suggested to adopt cautious protocols interrupting the sodium channel blocker test as soon as Brugada pattern type I emerges, QRS broadens to \geq 130% of baseline or frequent PVCs appear. However, this strategy could determine a certain percentage of false negative results, so it was proposed to interrupt the test when the QRS broadens to \geq 150% in patients without baseline intraventricular conduction anomalies and when the QRS broadens to \geq 125% in patients with baseline intraventricular conduction prolongation.²³ Furthermore, wider than normal basal QRS was associated to major arrhythmias during ajmaline challenge, especially if associated with a positive test (emergence of Brugada type I pattern).²⁷

The 2013 expert consensus statement on the diagnosis and management of patients with inherited primary arrhythmia syndromes¹ and the 2015 guidelines for the management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias⁴⁰ explicitly suggest to monitor the patient with right precordial leads positioned also in the upper intercostal spaces (third and second), as it is normal practice for baseline-ECG identification of Brugada Type I. In fact, it was confirmed^{25,41,42} that high-positioned right precordial leads are more sensitive in identifying Brugada Type-I during the pharmacologic challenge, with a trend towards a minor dose of ajmaline administrated in case of presence of Brugada Type-I in the high-positioned right precordial leads.²⁵ However, this practice could result in an overdiagnosis of BS, particularly in patients displaying a Brugada Type-I only after a drug challenge.^{43,44} Data suggest the latter population is at very low risk and that the presumed falsepositive rate of pharmacologic challenge is not trivial.⁴⁵ In addition, Miyamoto *et al.*⁴⁶ could not demonstrate the occurrence of cardiac events in the follow-up of patients with a positive class I drugs challenge with both normal and high-positioned right precordial leads. However, it is the authors' opinion that the systematic use of highpositioned right precordial leads should be registered for safety reasons during every sodium channel blockers challenge; in fact, in the case index, it is not possible to rule out that the test would have been interrupted earlier, avoiding the subsequent cardiac complications, if high-positioned right precordial leads had been registered.

Other possible risk factors for ventricular arrhythmias are an history of sinus node dysfunction or atrioventricular conduction disturbances.^{14,27} The latter have been reported in association with specific mutations of sodium channels, with increased sensitivity to sodium channels blockers and higher risk of ventricular arrhythmias during ajmaline test.¹⁴ As known, patients harboring SCN5A mutations can be particularly prone to the slowing of intraventricular and atrioventricular conduction⁴⁷; therefore it is advisable to take into account the genetic findings whenever available and to adapt the

able I ru	idusnea seri	es ot ajmau	ne anu n	lecalniue	testing in	er suspecter	d b rugaua	l synarome					
Investigator	Number of patients	Age h (years)	Males	Brugada ECG Type 1	Brugada ECG Type 2	Ajmaline I	Flecainide	Number of symptomatic patients	Syncope/ pre-syncope	Aborted sudden death	Familial SCD	Positive test	Complications
Rolf et al. 2003 ⁶	158	42 (11–89) N	∀ ≯	0	Б	158 (100%)	0 (%0) 0	119	95	21	56	37 (23%)	2 sustained ventricular Tachycardia (1 53 vear old female: 1 40 vear old male)
Gasparini et <i>a</i> l. 2003 ²²	22	34 (15–63) 1	9 (86%)	19	AA	0 (0%)	21 (95%)	10	ω	2	ø	21 (95%)	2 sustained ve ntricular Tachycardia (gender and age not specified)
Hong et al. 2004	l ³ 71	A NA	Ą	24	NA	71 (100%)	0 (0%)	AA	NA	AN	ΝA	30 (42%)	None reported
Wolpert et al.	22	NA N	٩Þ	15	ΝA	22 (100%)	22 (100%)	11	11	NA	NA	22 (100%)	1 Urticaria
2005 ⁸													 isolated premature ventricular beats (gender and age not specified)
Batchvarov et <i>a</i> l. 2009 ²³	. 148	36 ± 15 9	12 (62%)	0	AN	148 (100%)	0 (%0) 0	ΥN	24	19	46	30 (20%)	3 short runs of ventricular Tachycardia (1 50 year old male, 1 57 year old male, 1
													40 year old female)
Veltmann et al.	677	42.5±16 3	93 (58%)	0	110	677 (100%)	0 (%0) 0	246	196	12	25	262 (39%)	8 isolated premature ventricular beats
20097		(6–83)											(gender and age not specified) 1 atrial fibrillation (female)
													1 short non-sustained ventricular
													Tachycardia (gender and age not
													specified)
													1 rapid polymorphic non-sustained
													Ventricular Tachycardia (35 year old
													male)
													1 ventricular fibrillation with recovery after
Postema et al.	269	42 ± 14 1	49 (55%)	0	AN	269 (100%)	0 (0%)	60	47	13	135	91 (34%)	single DC shock (61 year old male) 1 sinus arrest (gender and age not specified)
2010 ²⁴			~			~	~					~	-
Govindan et al 2010 ²⁵	183	36 ± 14 1	12 (61%)	0	AA	183 (100%)	0 (%0) 0	NA	26	18	83	31 (16%)	None reported
Zorzi et al. 2012 ²⁶	153	42 ± 14 1	28 (83%)	0	91	79 (52%)	74 (48%)	41	36	5	36	76 (50%)	None
Conte <i>et al.</i> 2013 ²⁷	1043	40.7 ^a 2	92 (28%) ^a	0 ^a	66 ^a	1043 (100%)	(%0) 0	232 ^a	130 ^a	18 ^a	240 ^a	503 (48%)	6 ventricular fibrillation (one with recovery after more than 10 shocks and ECMO immlartation).5
													females; mean age 26 and 1 29 year old male
													3 suistained ventricular Tachvcardia (with
													necessity of DC shock)—3 males; mean
													age 27
													Continued

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/europace/article-abstract/20/2/234/3831300 by Goteborgs Universitet user on 12 February 2018

Table I Co	ntinued												
Investigator	Number of patients	Age (years)	Males	Brugada ECG Type 1	Brugada ECG Type 2	Ajmaline	Flecainide	Number of symptomatic patients	Syncope/ pre-syncope	Aborted sudden death	Familial SCD	Positive test	Complications
McMillan et <i>al.</i> 2014 ²⁸	95	12.5 ± 3.3 (5-18)	54 (56%)	0	ο	95 (100%)	0 (%0) 0	6	5	0	39	19 (20%)	None
Gandjbakhch et <i>a</i> l. 2014 ¹⁴	m	50.3 ± 14.€ (34−62)	6 2 (66%)	0	-	3 (100%)	(%0) 0	~	-	0	0	1 (33%)	3 syncopal polymorphic ventricular Tachycardia after widening of QRS (2 males; 1 female)
Conte et al. 2014 ²⁹	169	<12	24 (60%) ^a	Oa	e v	169 (100%)	0 (0%)	10 ^a	ŵ	2ª	24 ^a	40 (24%)	 ventricular fibrillation with recovery after DC shock (gender and age not specified) polymorphic ventricular Tachycardia ter- minated by a high dose of isoproterenol infusion (7 year old male)
Wong et al. 2014 ³⁰	2	10.5	1 (50%)	0	AN	2 (100%)	0 (%0) (AA	AN	AN	7	2 (100%)	None reported
Andorin et <i>al.</i> 2016 ³¹	70	13.7	₹Z	0	ΔA	42 (60%)	27 (39%)	7	۸A	AN	AN	70 (100%)	2 non-sustained ventricular Tachycardia (16 and 17 year old, gender not available)
SCD, sudden cardia ^a Data are available c	c death. vnly for the popul	ation with po:	isitive provoca	ative test.									

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/europace/article-abstract/20/2/234/3831300 by Goteborgs Universitet user on 12 February 2018

ARVC with Brugada Brugada syndrome Table 2 Characteristics of patients who experienced ECMO implantation for untreatable ventricular arrhythmias after sodium channel blockers challenge: all patients ECG pattern Diagnosis mutation **SCN5A** °Z °Z neurological Death or damages °Z ð Numbers of DC shock before ECMO implantation >10 ₹Z Atrioventricular block and ventricular fibrillation Ventricular fibrillation Life-threatening arrhythmia symptoms Previous Syncope ₹ (no reported dose) Flecainide (50 mg) Drug (mg) Ajmaline Female Female Sex Age 24 16 were young females Corrado et al. 2016³⁴ Conte et al. 2013²⁷ Author

Brugada syndrome

Yes

° Z

20

Ventricular tachicardia and

None

Ajmaline (50 mg)

Female

32

Poli et al.

ventricular fibrillation

ARVC, arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy.

administration protocols with a slower injection rate. The R1027Q variant, present in our index case, was identified several years ago by comparison of the available cardiac sodium channels human clones derived from heart tissue (and used for functional assessment of mutants) with the human sequence derived from the genome project. It was then found to be a very rare variant in the population (frequency 0.005% in the population according to the ExAc genome aggregator database—http://exac.broadinstitute.org/gene/ENSG000 00183873). The presence of a glutamine (Q) in position 1027 is associated with a negative shift of steady state inactivation and a significantly slower channel recovery²¹, leading to a loss of function phenotype as compared with the most widely expressed (wild type) sodium channel variant. It is still presently not known if this variant is associated with a greater risk of arrhythmia compared with other variants.

The patient described in the index case, presented a normal QRS at baseline and just slight QRS widening at the end of the test, with the simultaneous appearance of Brugada type I pattern. In addition, she had no history of abnormalities of sinus node or atrioventricular junction. These aspects confirm the intrinsic presence of a not negligible risk connected with sodium channel blockers, even adopting a safe and cautious protocol in patients without known risk factors.

In BS, male sex has been found to confer a higher arrhythmic risk.⁴⁸ In spite of it, we find it interesting to note that ECMO, in all the reported cases, was exclusively used in females.

Benito et al.⁴⁹ found that women showed more conduction disturbances and longer QTc interval in response to sodium channel blockers than men. For these electrocardiographic characteristics, even if men display a greater risk profile at baseline, women could have a higher arrhythmic risk in response to sodium-blockers. In line with this hypothesis, Sieira et al.⁵⁰ described a high proportion (1.9%) of sustained ventricular arrhythmias in a cohort of 210 women submitted to the drug challenge test but they did not give the same datum for males. Moreover, 3 out of 4 reported cases of ECMO use in flecainide intoxication,^{35,37,38} were females. However, it is the authors' opinion that with only a few reported cases in the literature, this hypothesis must be taken with caution. In fact, neither Batcharov et al.²³ in a cohort of 148 patients, nor Conte et al.²⁷ in a cohort of 1043 patients, could find significant differences between men and women in the incidence of all ventricular arrhythmias during pharmacologic challenge in their case series.

Treatment of life-threatenig ventricular arrhythmias

The optimal treatment of life-threatening ventricular arrhythmias refractory to multiples DC shock is not clearly established. It seems logical that the first approach should be pharmacologic. The use of oral quinidine or intravenous isoproterenol in patients with BS to treat electrical storms is supported by literature.^{11–13,51} Quinidine, a class IA antiarrhythmic exerts its beneficial effects in BS by inhibiting the Ito outward current, thereby restoring electrical homogeneity; in addition, it prolongs ventricular refractoriness.^{52,53} Conversely isoproterenol, stimulating beta-adrenoceptors, and augmenting Ica, reduces ST segment elevation in patients with BS.⁵⁴ Isoproterenol was effective in suppressing VF in a 36-year-old male with BS¹² and

subsequently determined the disappearance of the short-coupled PVCs, which are the triggers of VF. The efficacy of isoproterenol was also confirmed in a case series of Watanabe et al.,¹³ in which ventricular arrhythmias were successfully abolished after the infusion of isoproterenol in 6 patients with BS. However, the role of both quinidine and isoproterenol to treat iatrogenic VT in suspected BS is not clear and has not been previously described. Moreover, guinidine is often not available in Emergency Departments and Intensive Care Units due to supply shortages in the market.⁵⁵ Sodium bicarbonate is an appropriate treatment of sodium channel blockers-induced cardiotoxicity⁵⁶ and its indications are cardiac arrest, widening of QRS complex and hypotension refractory to intravenous fluid therapy.⁵⁷ After administration of sodium bicarbonate as an antidote, the QRS duration narrows with possible normalization of the ECG. The efficacy of sodium bicarbonate is supported by animal studies and human cases both in adults and in children.^{14–16,56,58} The effect is likely mediated by systemic alkalaemia and provision of sodium ions to myocardial fast-acting sodium channels. Magnesium is usually the drug of choice to treat torsade de pointes⁴⁰ but not to treat VT induced by sodium channel blockers. A case report describing its use in flecainide-toxicity has been published⁵⁹, but available data do not support this indication. Anyway, survival and complete recovery of patients with cardiac arrest and very prolonged time to return of spontaneous circulation seem to be rare. On the other hand, recent advances in resuscitative medicine have provided interesting tools to promote survival in the appropriate setting. ECMO is an option of treatment for refractory cardiogenic shock or cardiac arrest in patients with reversible underlying pathology.⁶⁰ Acute poisoning represents an indication for ECMO because restoring circulation allows for intrinsic drug metabolism and elimination.⁶¹ This strategy is limited to a restricted number of centres with capabilities to implant ECMO and manage patients on this support. As an additional therapy to traditional advanced CPR management, ECMO has improved outcomes in terms of survival to hospital discharge and outcomes at 1 year.⁶² The authors' opinion is that sodium channel blockers challenge should be performed under close supervision in an appropriate environment with all advanced CPR facilities available, ideally including the possibility of performing a VA ECMO in case of untreatable ventricular arrhythmias.

Safety of sodium channel-blockers challenge in children

Concerning the role of ajmaline challenge in children, data on safety are quite conflicting: Mc Millan *et al.*²⁸ could not demonstrate the occurrence of ventricular arrhythmias in 98 children with a positive test in 20% of the individuals. On the other hand, Conte *et al.*²⁹ reported their experience of 40 positive ajmaline challenges in children younger than 12 years, where 10% of tests were complicated by arrhythmias. In their survey, ajmaline-induced sustained ventricular arrhythmias were observed more frequently in children compared with older patients (10% vs. 1.3%). However, BS seems to have a benign behaviour in children and it is likely characterized by an age-dependent penetrance too. The use of pharmacologic challenges at a young age appears to be questionable, because of the low risk of life-threatening arrhythmias in patients without a spontaneous type I

ECG pattern.³⁰ It must also be considered that the management of young patients is further made difficult by a different benefit-risk ratio of implantable device: in this subgroup, positioning an Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillator (ICD) has a higher risk of inappropriate shocks and infections, not considering the higher probability of catheter dislocation related to body growth.⁶³

On this topic, Andorin *et al.*³¹ have recently published a proposal of evidence based management of children with a suspected BS, in which genetic tests play a crucial role in the decision for or against the implantation of an ICD, regardless of pharmacologic challenges.

Conclusions

This review supports the evidence that sodium channel blockers challenge in suspected BS can have major, though rare, complications and should always be carried out in a safe and monitored environment, strictly adhering to protocols. Moreover, the possible occurrence of a cardiac arrest refractory to ordinary CPR maneuvers should be kept in mind, especially when dealing with young patients; however, in these circumstances the clinical outcome after a prolonged cardiac arrest seems to be excellent. Therefore, the availability of advanced CPR techniques should always be checked. The creation of an international registry of untreatable ventricular arrhythmias during sodium channel blockers challenge would be desirable in order to describe their actual epidemiology and to improve their management.

Conflict of interest: none declared.

References

- Priori SG, Wilde AA, Horie M, Cho Y, Behr ER, Berul C et al. Executive summary: HRS/EHRA/APHRS expert consensus statement on the diagnosis and management of patients with inherited primary arrhythmia syndromes. *Europace* 2013;**15**:1389–406.
- Veltmann C, Schimpf R, Echternach C, Eckardt L, Kuschyk J, Streitner F et al. A prospective study on spontaneous fluctuations between diagnostic and nondiagnostic ECGs in Brugada syndrome: implications for correct phenotyping and risk stratification. Eur Heart J 2006;27:2544–52.
- Hong K, Brugada J, Oliva A, Berruezo-Sanchez A, Potenza D, Pollevick GD et al. Value of electrocardiographic parameters and ajmaline test in the diagnosis of Brugada syndrome caused by SCN5A mutations. *Circulation* 2004;**110**:3023–7.
- Woosley RL, Funck-Brentano C. Overview of the clinical pharmacology of antiarrhythmic drugs. Am J Cardiol 1988;61:61A–69A.
- Shimizu W, Antzelevitch C, Suyama K, Kurita T, Taguchi A, Aihara N et al. Effect of sodium channel blockers on ST segment, QRS duration, and corrected QT interval in patients with Brugada syndrome. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2000;11:1320–9.
- Rolf S, Bruns HJ, Wichter T, Kirchhof P, Ribbing M, Wasmer K et al. The ajmaline challenge in Brugada syndrome: diagnostic impact, safety, and recommended protocol. Eur Heart J 2003;24:1104–12.
- Veltmann C, Wolpert C, Sacher F, Mabo P, Schimpf R, Streitner F et al. Response to intravenous ajmaline: a retrospective analysis of 677 ajmaline challenges. *Europace* 2009;**11**:1345–52.
- Wolpert C, Echternach C, Veltmann C, Antzelevitch C, Thomas GP, Spehl S et al. Intravenous drug challenge using flecainide and ajmaline in patients with Brugada syndrome. *Heart Rhythm* 2005;2:254–60.
- Tamargo J, Heuzey JY Le, Mabo P. Narrow therapeutic index drugs: a clinical pharmacological consideration to flecainide. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2015;71:549–67.
- Sarkozy A, Sorgente A, Boussy T, Casado R, Paparella G, Capulzini L et al. The value of a family history of sudden death in patients with diagnostic type I Brugada ECG pattern. Eur Heart J 2011;32:2153–60.
- Ohgo T, Okamura H, Noda T, Satomi K, Suyama K, Kurita T et al. Acute and chronic management in patients with Brugada syndrome associated with electrical storm of ventricular fibrillation. *Heart Rhythm* 2007;**4**:695–700.

- Maury P, Couderc P, Delay M, Boveda S, Brugada J. Electrical storm in Brugada syndrome successfully treated using isoprenaline. *Europace* 2004;6:130–3.
- Watanabe A, Fukushima Kusano K, Morita H, Miura D, Sumida W, Hiramatsu S et al. Low-dose isoproterenol for repetitive ventricular arrhythmia in patients with Brugada syndrome. *Eur Heart J* 2006;**27**:1579–83.
- Gandjbakhch E, Fressart V, Duthoit G, Marquié C, Deharo JC, Pousset F et al. Malignant response to ajmaline challenge in SCN5A mutation carriers: experience from a large familial study. Int J Cardiol 2014;**172**:256–8.
- Goldman MJ, Mowry JB, Kirk MA. Sodium bicarbonate to correct widened QRS in a case of flecainide overdose. J Emerg Med 1997;15:183–6.
- Devin R, Garrett P, Anstey C. Managing cardiovascular collapse in severe flecainide overdose without recourse to extracorporeal therapy. *Emerg Med Australas* 2007;**19**:155–9.
- Link MS, Berkow LC, Kudenchuk PJ, Halperin HR, Hess EP, Moitra VK et al. Part 7: adult advanced cardiovascular life support: 2015 American Heart Association guidelines update for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care. *Circulation* 2015;**132**:S444–64.
- Phelps R, Dumas F, Maynard C, Silver J, Rea T. Cerebral performance category and long-term prognosis following out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Crit Care Med* 2013;41:1252–7.
- Nusbaum DM, Bassett ST, Gregoric ID, Kar B. A case of survival after cardiac arrest and 3¹/₂ hours of resuscitation. Tex Heart Inst J 2014;**41**:222–6.
- Rudic B, Schimpf R, Veltmann C, Doesch C, Tülümen E, Schoenberg SO et al. Brugada syndrome: clinical presentation and genotype—correlation with magnetic resonance imaging parameters. Europace 2016;**18**:1411–9.
- Makielski JC, Ye B, Valdivia CR, Pagel MD, Pu J, Tester DJ et al. A Ubiquitous splice variant and a common polymorphism affect heterologous expression of recombinant human SCN5A heart sodium channels. *Circ Res* 2003;93:821–8.
- Gasparini M, Priori SG, Mantica M, Napolitano C, Galimberti P, Ceriotti C et al. Flecainide test in Brugada syndrome: a reproducible but risky tool. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2003;26:338–41.
- Batchvarov VN, Govindan M, Camm AJ, Behr ER. Significance of QRS prolongation during diagnostic ajmaline test in patients with suspected Brugada syndrome. *Heart Rhythm* 2009;6:625–31.
- 24. Postema PG,van Dessel PF, Kors JA, Linnenbank AC, van Herpen G, Ritsema van Eck HJ et al. Local depolarization abnormalities are the dominant pathophysiologic mechanism for Type 1 electrocardiogram in Brugada Syndrome. A study of electrocardiograms, vectorcardiograms, and body surface potential maps during ajmaline provocation. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010;55:789–97.
- Govindan M, Batchvarov VN, Raju H, Shanmugam N, Bizrah M, Bastiaenen R et al. Utility of high and standard right precordial leads during ajmaline testing for the diagnosis of Brugada syndrome. *Heart* 2010;**96**:1904–8.
- Zorzi A, Migliore F, Marras E, Marinelli A, Baritussio A, Allocca G et al. Should all individuals with a nondiagnostic Brugada-electrocardiogram undergo sodiumchannel blocker test? *Heart Rhythm* 2012;9:909–16.
- 27. Conte G, Sieira J, Sarkozy A, de Asmundis C, Di Giovanni G, Chierchia GB et al. Life-threatening ventricular arrhythmias during ajmaline challenge in patients with Brugada syndrome: Incidence, clinical features, and prognosis. *Heart Rhythm* 2013;**10**:1869–74.
- McMillan MR, Day TG, Bartsota M, Mead-Regan S, Bryant R, Mangat J et al. Feasibility and outcomes of ajmaline provocation testing for Brugada syndrome in children in a specialist paediatric inherited cardiovascular diseases centre. Open Heart 2014;1:e000023.
- Conte G, Dewals W, Sieira J, de Asmundis C, Ciconte G, Chierchia GB et al. Drug-induced Brugada syndrome in children: clinical features, device-based management, and long-term follow-up. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014;63:2272–9.
- Wong LCH, Roses-Noguer F, Till JA, Behr ER. Cardiac evaluation of pediatric relatives in sudden arrhythmic death syndrome: a 2-center experience. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2014;**7**:800–6.
- Andorin A, Behr ER, Denjoy I, Crotti L, Dagradi F, Jesel L et al. Impact of clinical and genetic findings on the management of young patients with Brugada syndrome. *Heart Rhythm* 2016;**13**:1274–82.
- Morita H, Morita ST, Nagase S, Banba K, Nishii N, Tani Y et al. Ventricular arrhythmia induced by sodium channel blocker in patients with Brugada Syndrome. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003;42:1624–31.
- 33. Chinushi M, Komura S, Izumi D, Furushima H, Tanabe Y, Washizuka T et al. Incidence and initial characteristics of pilsicainide-induced ventricular arrhythmias in patients with Brugada syndrome. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2007;**30**:662–71.
- 34. Corrado D, Zorzi A, Cerrone M, Rigato I, Mongillo M, Bauce B et al. Relationship between arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy and Brugada Syndrome: new insights from molecular biology and clinical implications. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol* 2016;**9**:e003631.
- Corkeron MA, van Heerden PV, Newman SM, Dusci L. Extracorporeal circulatory support in near-fatal flecainide overdose. Anaesth Intensive Care 1999;27:405–8.

- Auzinger GM, Scheinkestel CD. Successful extracorporeal life support in a case of severe flecainide intoxication. *Crit Care Med* 2001;29:887–90.
- Yasui RK, Culclasure TF, Kaufman D, Freed CR. Flecainide overdose: is cardiopulmonary support the treatment? *Ann Emerg Med* 1997;29:680–2.
- Reynolds JC, Judge BS. Successful treatment of flecainide-induced cardiac arrest with extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in the ED. Am J Emerg Med 2015;33:1542.e1-2.
- Antzelevitch C, Brugada P, Borggrefe M, Brugada J, Brugada R, Corrado D et al. Brugada Syndrome: report of the second consensus conference. *Circulation* 2005;**111**:659–70.
- 40. Priori SG, Blomström-Lundqvist C, Mazzanti A, Blom N, Borggrefe M, Camm J et al. 2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of patients with ventricular arrhythmias and the prevention of sudden cardiac death: The Task Force for the Management of Patients with Ventricular Arrhythmias and the Prevention of Sudden Cardiac Death of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Endorsed by: Association for European Paediatric and Congenital Cardiology (AEPC). Europace 2015;17:1601–87.
- Sangwatanaroj S, Prechawat S, Sunsaneewitayakul B, Sitthisook S, Tosukhowong P, Tungsanga K. Right ventricular electrocardiographic leads for detection of Brugada syndrome in sudden unexplained death syndrome survivors and their relatives. *Clin Cardiol* 2001;**24**:776–81.
- Veltmann C, Papavassiliu T, Konrad T, Doesch C, Kuschyk J, Streitner F et al. Insights into the location of type I ECG in patients with Brugada syndrome: correlation of ECG and cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging. *Heart Rhythm* 2012;9:414–21.
- 43. Antzelevitch C, Yan GX, Ackerman MJ, Borggrefe M, Corrado D, Guo J et al. J-Wave syndromes expert consensus conference report: emerging concepts and gaps in knowledge. Endorsed by the Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society (APHRS), the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA), the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS), and the Latin American Society of Cardiac Pacing and Electrophysiology (Sociedad Latinoamericana de Estimulacifin Cardíaca y Electrofisiología [SOLAECE]). Europace 2016 Jul 13; pii:euw235 [Epub ahead of print].
- Viskin S, Rosso R, Friedensohn L, Havakuk O, Wilde AAM. Everybody has Brugada syndrome until proven otherwise? *Heart Rhythm* 2015;**12**:1595–8.
- 45. Priori SG, Gasparini M, Napolitano C, Della Bella P, Ottonelli AG, Sassone B et al. Risk stratification in Brugada syndrome: results of the PRELUDE (PRogrammed ELectrical stimUlation preDictive valuE) registry. J Am Coll Cardiol 2012;59:37–45.
- 46. Miyamoto K, Yokokawa M, Tanaka K, Nagai T, Okamura H, Noda T et al. Diagnostic and prognostic value of a type 1 Brugada electrocardiogram at higher (Third or Second) V1 to V2 recording in men with Brugada Syndrome. Am J Cardiol 2007;**99**:53–7.
- Probst V, Allouis M, Sacher F, Pattier S, Babuty D, Mabo P et al. Progressive cardiac conduction defect is the prevailing phenotype in carriers of a Brugada syndrome SCN5A mutation. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2006;17:270–5.

- Gehi AK, Duong TD, Metz LD, Gomes JA, Mehta D. Risk stratification of individuals with the Brugada electrocardiogram: a meta-analysis. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2006;17:577–83.
- Benito B, Sarkozy A, Mont L, Henkens S, Berruezo A, Tamborero D et al. Gender differences in clinical manifestations of Brugada syndrome. J Am Coll Cardiol 2008;52:1567–73.
- Sieira J, Conte G, Ciconte G, de Asmundis C, Chierchia G-B, Baltogiannis G et al. Clinical characterisation and long-term prognosis of women with Brugada syndrome. *Heart* 2016;**102**:452–8.
- Jongman JK, Jepkes-Bruin N, Ramdat Misier AR, Beukema WP, Delnoy PP, Oude Lutttikhuis H et al. Electrical storms in Brugada syndrome successfully treated with isoproterenol infusion and quinidine orally. Neth Heart J 2007;15:151–5.
- Imaizumi Y, Giles WR. Quinidine-induced inhibition of transient outward current in cardiac muscle. Am J Physiol 1987;253:H704–8.
- Belhassen B, Glick A, Viskin S. Efficacy of quinidine in high-risk patients with Brugada syndrome. *Circulation* 2004;**110**:1731–7.
- Yan GX, Antzelevitch C. Cellular basis for the Brugada syndrome and other mechanisms of arrhythmogenesis associated with ST-segment elevation. *Circulation* 1999;**100**:1660–6.
- Viskin S, Belhassen B, Wilde AA. To the editor–Irreplaceable antiarrhythmic medications are disappearing: the case of quinidine. *Heart Rhythm* 2010;**7**:863.
- Bou-Abboud E, Nattel S. Relative role of alkalosis and sodium ions in reversal of class I antiarrhythmic drug-induced sodium channel blockade by sodium bicarbonate. *Circulation* 1996;**94**:1954–61.
- Kit YC, Yin LH, Leung MK, Gay KP, Tak WY. CASH as a mnemonic for indications of sodium bicarbonate for sodium channel blocker intoxication. *Am J Emerg* Med 2009;27:1013–5.
- Jang DH, Hoffman RS, Nelson LS. A case of near-fatal flecainide overdose in a neonate successfully treated with sodium bicarbonate. J Emerg Med 2013;44:781–3.
- Williamson DG, Sinha A, Frost I, Singh VK. Management of persistent wide QRS in flecainide overdose with magnesium sulphate. *Emerg Med J* 2010;27:487–8.
- Chang CH, Chen HC, Caffrey JL, Hsu J, Lin JW, Lai MS et al. Survival analysis after extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in critically Ill adults: a Nationwide Cohort Study. *Circulation* 2016;**133**:2423–33.
- Johnson NJ, Gaieski DF, Allen SR, Perrone J, DeRoos F. A review of emergency cardiopulmonary bypass for severe poisoning by cardiotoxic drugs. J Med Toxicol 2013;9:54–60.
- 62. Chen YS, Lin JW, Yu HY, Ko WJ, Jerng JS, Chang WT et al. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation with assisted extracorporeal life-support versus conventional cardiopulmonary resuscitation in adults with in-hospital cardiac arrest: an observational study and propensity analysis. *Lancet* 2008;**372**:554–61.
- Pettit SJ, McLean A, Colquhoun I, Connelly D, McLeod K. Clinical experience of subcutaneous and transvenous implantable cardioverter defibrillators in children and teenagers. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2013;**36**:1532–8.