

# A tool for symmetry breaking and multiplicity in some nonlocal problems

Roberta Musina<sup>1</sup>  | Alexander I. Nazarov<sup>2,3</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Dipartimento di Matematica ed Informatica, Università di Udine, via delle Scienze, 206 – 33100, Udine, Italy

<sup>2</sup>St. Petersburg Department of Steklov Institute, Fontanka 27, St. Petersburg, 191023, Russia

<sup>3</sup>St. Petersburg State University, Universitetskii pr. 28, St. Petersburg, 198504, Russia

## Correspondence

Alexander I. Nazarov, St. Petersburg Department of Steklov Institute, Fontanka 27, St. Petersburg 191023, Russia; St. Petersburg State University, Universitetskii pr. 28, St. Petersburg 198504, Russia.  
Email: al.il.nazarov@gmail.com

Communicated by: V. V. Kravchenko

## Funding information

PRID, Grant/Award Number: VARPROGE

We prove some basic inequalities relating the Gagliardo-Nirenberg seminorms of a symmetric function  $u$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and of its perturbation  $u\varphi_\mu$ , where  $\varphi_\mu$  is a suitably chosen eigenfunction of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , thus providing a technical but rather powerful tool to detect symmetry breaking and multiplicity phenomena in variational equations driven by the fractional Laplace operator. A concrete application to a problem related to the fractional Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality is given.

## KEYWORDS

fractional Laplacian, multiplicity, symmetry breaking

## MSC CLASSIFICATION

35R11; 35B06; 35A02

## 1 | INTRODUCTION

Let  $\varphi_\mu$  be an eigenfunction of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , relative to the positive eigenvalue  $\mu$  and normalized by the condition

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi_\mu^2 d\sigma = 1. \quad (1)$$

Take a “good” radial function  $u$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We break the symmetry of  $u$  by defining, via polar coordinates,  $(u\varphi_\mu)(r\sigma) = u(r)\varphi_\mu(\sigma)$ . The function  $u\varphi_\mu$  has the same  $L^2$  norm as  $u$ , and it is orthogonal to  $u$  in  $L^2$ . A popular and efficient technique to detect symmetry breaking and multiplicity results for a large class of variational problems is based on the comparison between the energies of  $u$  and  $u\varphi_\mu$ . We cite for instance Gazzini and Musina<sup>1</sup> and Smets et al.,<sup>2</sup> where the trivial equality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla(u\varphi_\mu)|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-2} |u|^2 dx \quad (2)$$

is crucially used to tackle certain problems driven by the Laplace operator  $-\Delta$ . We cite also Caldiroli and Musina,<sup>3</sup> Kolonitskii and Nazarov,<sup>4</sup> Musina,<sup>5</sup> Nazarov,<sup>6,7</sup> and Scheglova,<sup>8</sup> where the  $p$ -Laplacian or more general second order, possibly degenerate operators in divergence form are considered, and Bhakta and Musina<sup>9</sup> and Caldiroli and Cora<sup>10</sup> that deal with fourth-order variational equations.

In dealing with variational problems involving the Dirichlet Laplacian  $(-\Delta)^s$ ,  $0 < s < 1$ , a simple and powerful identity comparable with (2) is hopeless. However, as a corollary of the more general Lemma 3.1 in Section 3, we obtain the existence of a positive constant  $c_\mu$ , not depending on  $u$ , such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}(u\varphi_\mu)|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u|^2 dx \leq c_\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-2s}|u|^2 dx. \tag{3}$$

Besides its impact on the study of fractional differential equations, inequality (3) might have an independent interest. For instance, it is strongly related to Bochner's relations and to the results in Dyda et al<sup>11</sup> and Grafakos and Teschl.<sup>12</sup>

Inequality (3) and its generalizations below provide quite useful technical tools. In order to illustrate their applications in concrete problems, we take as model the fractional Hardy-Sobolev inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u|^2 dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-2s}|u|^2 dx \geq S_q^\lambda \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-bq}|u|^q dx \right)^{\frac{2}{q}}, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \tag{4}$$

and its associated semilinear Euler-Lagrange equation

$$(-\Delta)^s u + \lambda|x|^{-2s}u = |x|^{-bq}u^{q-1}, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{5}$$

Inequality (4) is clearly related to the fractional Hardy and Sobolev inequalities,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u|^2 dx \geq H_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-2s}|u|^2 dx, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u|^2 dx \geq S_{2_s^*} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{2_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}},$$

where  $2_s^* = \frac{2n}{n-2s}$  is the critical Sobolev exponent. The explicit values of the Hardy constant  $H_s$  and of the Sobolev constant  $S_{2_s^*}$  have been computed in Cotsiolis and Tavoularis<sup>13</sup> and Herbst,<sup>14</sup> respectively.

From now on, we take exponents  $q, b$  satisfying

$$2 < q < 2_s^* = \frac{2n}{n-2s}, \quad \frac{n}{q} - b = \frac{n}{2} - s. \tag{6}$$

By using Hölder's interpolation, it is easy to see that (4) holds with a positive best constant  $S_q^\lambda$  provided that  $\lambda > -H_s$ . Under these assumptions, nowadays, standard arguments give the existence of an extremal for the noncompact minimization problem

$$S_q^\lambda = \inf_{\substack{u \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ u \neq 0}} J_\lambda(u), \quad J_\lambda(u) := \frac{\|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u\|_2^2 + \lambda\| |x|^{-s}u\|_2^2}{\| |x|^{-b}u\|_q^2}; \tag{7}$$

see Corollary 4.3 in Section 4. Thanks to (3), in Section 5, we prove the next result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *If  $\lambda > 0$  is large enough, then symmetry breaking occurs; that is, no extremal for  $S_q^\lambda$  is radially symmetric.*

Up to a Lagrange multiplier, any extremal  $u^\lambda$  for  $S_q^\lambda$  is nonnegative and solves (5) in the weak sense. By Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 4.2, in Section 4, we have that for  $\lambda$  large enough, problem (5) has in fact two distinct nonnegative solutions: the ground state solution  $\underline{u}^\lambda$ , which is not radial, and a radial one, which minimizes  $J_\lambda(u)$  on the space of radial functions.

The exploitation of different symmetries and Lemma 3.1 lead to the next multiplicity results.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $n \geq 2$  be even. For any integer  $h \geq 1$ , there exists  $\lambda_h > 0$  such that for  $\lambda > \lambda_h$ , problem (5) has at least  $h$  nonnegative solutions that are distinct modulo rotations of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .*

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $n \geq 3$  be odd. Then for  $\lambda$  large enough problem (5) has  $N(n) \geq 4$  nonnegative solutions that are distinct modulo rotations of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .*

Let us conclude this introduction by pointing out few facts.

If  $\lambda \in (-H_s, 0]$ , then any nonnegative solution to (5) is radially symmetric about the origin; for the proof, notice that  $b > 0$  and adapt the moving plane argument in Dipierro et al.<sup>15</sup> In particular, any extremal for  $S_q^\lambda$  is radially symmetric if  $\lambda \leq 0$  (the last statement can be also proved by the Schwarz symmetrization; see, e.g., Kawohl<sup>16</sup>, Theorem 2.31 and Sec. II.2).

By Theorem 1.1, there exists an optimal parameter  $\hat{\lambda} = \hat{\lambda}(n, s, q) \geq 0$  such that symmetry breaking occurs whenever  $\lambda > \hat{\lambda}$ . In the local case  $s = 1$ , symmetry breaking has been firstly pointed out in Catrina and Wang.<sup>17\*</sup> Nowadays, the picture is complete, thanks to the results in previous studies,<sup>18-20</sup> one gets that  $\hat{\lambda} = \frac{4(n-1)}{q^2-4}$ .

In the nonlocal case, we cannot prove even whether the set of  $\lambda$  providing the symmetry breaking is connected, nor we have any conjecture about the value of  $\hat{\lambda}$ . By exploiting our proof and thanks to Remark 2.2, one can find rough upper bounds on  $\hat{\lambda}$  in case  $n \geq 4$ , or  $n = 3$  and  $0 < s \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .

The paper is organized as follows. In the next two sections, we prove some crucial inequalities, including (3). The main tools are the Caffarelli-Silvestre extension technique<sup>21</sup> and the results in Musina and Nazarov.<sup>22</sup> Section 4 contains the main variational tools and a criterion to distinguish solutions to (5) enjoying different symmetry properties; see the *Basic Lemma* 4.5. The proofs of the main theorems are collected in Section 5.

*Remark 1.4.* Minor modifications in the variational arguments give symmetry breaking and multiplicity of positive solutions to the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u = u^{q-1} & \text{in } A = \{R < |x| < R + 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus A \end{cases}$$

for  $q \in (2, 2_s^*)$  and  $R$  large. See however Ustinov,<sup>23</sup> where a different argument is used.

## 2 | PRELIMINARIES

The fractional Laplacian  $(-\Delta)^s$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , is formally defined by

$$\mathcal{F} [(-\Delta)^s u] = |\xi|^{2s} \mathcal{F}[u],$$

where  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}[u](\xi) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i\xi \cdot x} u(x) dx$  is the Fourier transform.

Thanks to the Sobolev inequality, the space

$$\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ u \in L^{2s}(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \right\}$$

naturally inherits a Hilbertian structure from the scalar product

$$(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{2s} \mathcal{F}[u] \overline{\mathcal{F}[v]} d\xi.$$

From now on, we will always use the shorter notation  $\mathcal{D}^s$  instead of  $\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

In the breakthrough paper,<sup>21</sup> Caffarelli and Silvestre investigated the relations between the nonlocal operator  $(-\Delta)^s$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n \ni x$  and the pointwise defined differential operator  $-\operatorname{div}(y^{1-2s} \nabla)$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \equiv \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty) \ni (x, y)$ . It turns out that any function  $w$  in the space

$$\mathcal{W}^s = \mathcal{W}^s(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}) = \left\{ w : \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable, } \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^{1-2s} |\nabla w|^2 dx dy < \infty \right\}$$

\*The equation considered in Catrina and Wang<sup>17</sup> is related to (5) with  $s = 1$  via functional change  $u(x) \mapsto |x|^a u(x)$ .

has a trace on the boundary of  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ ,  $w|_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \in \mathcal{D}^s$ , and for any  $u \in \mathcal{D}^s$ , we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u|^2 dx = \inf_{\substack{w \in \mathcal{W}^s \\ w|_{\partial\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} = u}} C_s \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^{1-2s} |\nabla w|^2 dx dy, \quad C_s = \frac{\Gamma(s)}{2^{1-2s}\Gamma(1-s)}. \tag{8}$$

The Caffarelli-Silvestre extension  $w_u$  of a function  $u \in \mathcal{D}^s$  is the unique solution to the convex minimization problem in (8); hence, it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(y^{1-2s}\nabla w_u) &= 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, \quad w_u(x, 0) = u(x), \\ C_s \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^{1-2s} |\nabla w_u|^2 dx dy &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Recall that the Hardy type inequality

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^{1-2s} |\nabla w|^2 dx dy \geq \left(\frac{n-2s}{2}\right)^2 \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^{1-2s} \frac{|w|^2}{|x|^2 + y^2} dx dy, \quad w \in \mathcal{W}^s$$

holds with a sharp and not achieved constant; see Musina and Nazarov<sup>24</sup>, Section 2. In particular,  $\mathcal{W}^s$  inherits a natural Hilbert space structure, and the map  $u \mapsto w_u$  is an isometry, up to the constant  $C_s$ . In the next lemma, we provide a crucial relation between the Hardy integrals of  $u \in \mathcal{D}^s$  and of its extension  $w_u \in \mathcal{W}^s$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $w_u$  be the Caffarelli-Silvestre extension of  $u \in \mathcal{D}^s$ . Then*

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^{1-2s} \frac{|w_u|^2}{|x|^2 + y^2} dx dy \leq \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^{2s}} dx, \tag{10}$$

where the positive constant  $\gamma$  does not depend on  $u$ .

*Proof.* By Musina and Nazarov<sup>22</sup>, Theorem 1, there exists a constant  $\hat{c} > 0$ , possibly depending on  $n, s$  but not on  $u$ , such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|w_u(x, y)|^2}{|x|^2 + y^2} dx \leq \hat{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|^2 + y^2} dx \text{ for any } y > 0. \tag{11}$$

Since

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^{1-2s} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|^2 + y^2} dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x)|^2 dx \int_0^\infty \frac{y^{1-2s}}{|x|^2 + y^2} dy = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(s)\Gamma(1-s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{|x|^{2s}} dx,$$

the conclusion follows immediately, with  $\gamma = \hat{c} \Gamma(s)\Gamma(1-s)/2$ . □

*Remark 2.2.* By Musina and Nazarov<sup>22</sup>, Theorem 2, we know that (11) holds with  $\hat{c} = 1$ , provided that  $n \geq 4$  or  $n = 3$  and  $0 < s \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . In this case, we obtain the estimate

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^{1-2s} \frac{|w_u|^2}{|x|^2 + y^2} dx dy \leq \frac{\pi}{2 \sin(\pi s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^{2s}} dx \text{ for any } u \in \mathcal{D}^s. \tag{12}$$

We conjecture that (12) holds with a sharp constant, at least for  $n \geq 3$ . The lowest dimensional case  $n = 2$  looks more obscure. Finally, it would be of interest to investigate whether (10) holds in case  $n = 1, s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ .

### 3 | PERTURBING SYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS

Let  $n = km$  with  $k \geq 2, m \geq 1$ , and write  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as the Cartesian product of  $m$  copies of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . It is convenient to denote by  $\mathbb{R}_j^k$  the  $j$ th copy of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , so that  $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}_1^k \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}_m^k$ . The variable in  $\mathbb{R}_j^k$  is  $x_j$ ; its polar coordinates are  $r_j = |x_j|, \sigma_j \in \mathbb{S}_j^{k-1}$ , where  $\mathbb{S}_j^{k-1}$  is the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}_j^k$ .

In the next crucial lemma, we take a proper closed subgroup  $G_k$  of  $O(k)$  and an eigenfunction  $\phi$  for the Laplace-Beltrami operator on  $\mathbb{S}^{k-1}$ , solving

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_\sigma \phi = \mu \phi \\ \phi \in H_{G_k}^1(\mathbb{S}^{k-1}) \end{cases}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{k-1}} |\phi|^2 d\sigma = 1, \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{k-1}} \phi d\sigma = 0, \tag{13}$$

for some eigenvalue  $\mu > 0$ , where  $H_{G_k}^1(\mathbb{S}^{k-1})$  is the space of  $G_k$ -invariant functions in  $H^1(\mathbb{S}^{k-1})$ . In particular, one can take

$$\mu = \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{k-1}} |\nabla_\sigma \phi|^2 d\sigma}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{k-1}} |\phi|^2 d\sigma} : \phi \in H_{G_k}^1(\mathbb{S}^{k-1}), \int_{\mathbb{S}^{k-1}} \phi d\sigma = 0 \right\}. \tag{14}$$

To shorten notation, we put

$$\phi_j(x) = \phi \left( \frac{x_j}{|x_j|} \right) \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, m, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \ni \mathbb{R}_1^k \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}_m^k.$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\mathbb{R}^n = (\mathbb{R}^k)^m$ , with  $k \geq 2, m \geq 1$ . Assume that  $u \in \mathcal{D}^s$  is radially symmetric in each variable  $x_j$ , that is,  $u(x_1, \dots, x_m) = u(|x_1|, \dots, |x_m|)$ . The function*

$$\tilde{u}(x) = u(x) \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{|x_j|}{|x|} \phi_j(x)$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{D}^s$  and satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-bq} |u|^{q-2} u \tilde{u} \, dx = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-bq} |u|^{q-2} |\tilde{u}|^2 \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-bq} |u|^q \, dx, \tag{15}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \tilde{u}|^2 \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u|^2 \, dx \leq c_\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-2s} |u|^2 \, dx, \tag{16}$$

where  $q \in [2, 2_s^*], b = \frac{n}{q} - \frac{n}{2} + s$  and the constant  $c_\mu$  does not depend on  $u$ .

*Proof.* We start by pointing out the orthogonality relation

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \sum_{j,h=1}^m f_j g_h \phi_j \phi_h \right) V \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m f_j g_j \right) V \, dx, \tag{17}$$

that holds for functions  $V, f_j, g_h$ , each of them satisfying suitable summability assumptions and being radially symmetric in each variable  $x_j, j = 1, \dots, m$ . To prove (17), we first notice that

$$\sum_{h=1}^m f_j g_h \int_{\mathbb{S}_j^{k-1}} \phi_j \phi_h d\sigma_j = \sum_{h=1}^m f_j g_h \delta_{jh} = f_j g_j \quad \text{for any } j = 1, \dots, m,$$

compare with (13). Since  $V f_j g_h$  is radially symmetric in  $x_j$ , we infer that

$$\sum_{h=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V f_j g_h \phi_j \phi_h \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V f_j g_j \, dx,$$

so that (17) follows by taking the sum for  $j = 1, \dots, m$ .

We are now in position to prove the lemma. The first equality in (15) is immediate, because for any index  $j = 1, \dots, m$  the function  $\phi_j = \phi(\sigma_j)$  has null mean on  $\mathbb{S}_j^{k-1}$ , while  $|x_j|^{-bq-1}|u|^q|x_j|$  is radially symmetric in the variable  $x_j \in \mathbb{R}_j^k$ .

The second equality in (15) follows from (17). In fact,  $\sum_j |x_j|^2 = |x|^2$ , and thus,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-bq}|u|^{q-2}|\tilde{u}|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-bq}|u|^q|x|^{-2} \left( \sum_{j,h=1}^m |x_j||x_h|\phi_j\phi_h \right) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-bq}|u|^q dx.$$

Next, let  $w_u = w_u(x, y)$  be the Caffarelli-Silvestre extension of  $u$ . Since  $w_u$  is uniquely determined as the solution of a convex minimization problem, then clearly  $w_u(x, y) = w_u(|x_1|, \dots, |x_m|, y)$  for any  $y > 0$ .

We introduce the following extension  $\tilde{w}$  of  $\tilde{u}$ ,

$$\tilde{w}(x, y) = w_u(x, y) \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{|x_j|}{\sqrt{|x|^2 + y^2}} \phi_j(x).$$

From now on, we simply write  $w$  instead of  $w_u$ . It is also convenient to put

$$\zeta = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, \quad f_j(\zeta) = |\zeta|^{-1}|x_j| \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m, \quad F(\zeta) = \sum_{j=1}^m f_j\phi_j.$$

We claim that

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^{1-2s} (|\nabla\tilde{w}|^2 - |\nabla w|^2) dx dy \leq (m\mu + m + 1 - 2s) \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^{1-2s} |\zeta|^{-2}|w|^2 dx dy. \tag{18}$$

To prove (18), we use (17), (11) and notice that  $\sum_j f_j^2 = |\zeta|^{-2}|x|^2 \leq 1$  to get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^{1-2s} F^2 |\nabla w|^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^{1-2s} |\nabla w|^2 dx; \tag{19}$$

$$- \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} F \partial_y F |w|^2 dx = y \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |w|^2 |\zeta|^{-4} |x|^2 dx \leq \hat{c} y \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^2 |\zeta|^{-2} dx. \tag{20}$$

Since  $\tilde{w} = Fw$ , from (19), we easily infer

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^{1-2s} |\nabla\tilde{w}|^2 dx dy \leq \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^{1-2s} |\nabla w|^2 dx dy + \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^{1-2s} (|w|^2 |\nabla F|^2 + F \nabla F \cdot \nabla |w|^2) dx dy.$$

Since (20) and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem give

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^{1-2s} F \partial_y F |w|^2 dx \right| &\leq \hat{c} \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^{2-2s} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2 + y^2} dx = 0 \\ \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^{1-2s} F \partial_y F |w|^2 dx \right| &\leq \hat{c} \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^{2-2s} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^2 + y^2} dx = 0, \end{aligned}$$

(the summable majorant is  $\frac{|u|^2}{|x|^{2s}}$ ), we can integrate by parts on  $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^{1-2s} (|\nabla \tilde{w}|^2 - |\nabla w|^2) \, dx dy &\leq \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |w|^2 (y^{1-2s} |\nabla F|^2 - \operatorname{div} (y^{1-2s} F \nabla F)) \, dx dy \\ &= - \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |w|^2 F \operatorname{div} (y^{1-2s} \nabla F) \, dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

To go further, we compute

$$-\operatorname{div} (y^{1-2s} \nabla F) = y^{1-2s} \sum_{j=1}^m (-\Delta(f_j \phi_j) - (1 - 2s)y^{-1} \partial_y(f_j \phi_j)) = \sum_{j=1}^m g_j \phi_j,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_j &= -\Delta f_j - (1 - 2s)y^{-1} \partial_y f_j + \mu |x_j|^{-2} f_j \\ &= |\zeta|^{-3} |x_j|^{-1} ((n + 1 - 2s)|x_j|^2 + (\mu - k + 1)|\zeta|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Now, (17) gives

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^{1-2s} (|\nabla \tilde{w}|^2 - |\nabla w|^2) \, dx dy \leq \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^{1-2s} |w|^2 \left( \sum_{j=1}^m f_j g_j \right) \, dx dy.$$

Since

$$\sum_{j=1}^m f_j g_j = \sum_{j=1}^m ((n + 1 - 2s)|x_j|^2 + (\mu - k + 1)|\zeta|^2) |\zeta|^{-4} \leq (m\mu + m + 1 - 2s)|\zeta|^{-2},$$

we readily obtain (18).

By Lemma 2.1, inequality (18) gives  $\tilde{w} \in \mathcal{W}^s$ , thus  $\tilde{u} = \tilde{w}(\cdot, 0) \in \mathcal{D}^s$ . To conclude the proof, we compare the left-hand side of (18) with (8) (with  $\tilde{u}$  instead of  $u$ ) and (9) and estimate the right-hand side by (11).  $\square$

In case  $m = 1$  (hence,  $k = n$ ), we have the following immediate corollary

**Corollary 3.2.** *Assume  $n \geq 2$  and let  $u \in \mathcal{D}^s$  be radially symmetric. Let  $\varphi_\mu \in H^1(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  be a nonconstant eigenfunction of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  relative to the eigenvalue  $\mu > 0$  and satisfying (1). Then the function  $u \varphi_\mu \in \mathcal{D}^s$  satisfies (3), where  $c_\mu$  does not depend on  $u$ .*

## 4 | VARIATIONAL TOOLS

We write  $\mathcal{G} < O(n)$  if  $\mathcal{G}$  is a closed subgroup of the orthogonal group in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and put

$$\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{G}^s = \{u \in \mathcal{D}^s \mid u \circ G \equiv u \text{ for any } G \in \mathcal{G}\}, \quad S_q^{\mathcal{G}, \lambda} = \inf_{\substack{u \in \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{G}^s \\ u \neq 0}} J_\lambda(u). \tag{21}$$

One finds the larger space  $\mathcal{D}^s$  and the smallest constant  $S_q^\lambda$  by choosing the trivial group  $\mathcal{G}$ . The space  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{rad}}^s$  of radial functions in  $\mathcal{D}^s$  and the infimum  $S_q^{\text{rad}, \lambda}$  are recovered by taking  $\mathcal{G} = O(n)$ . Trivially, one has  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{rad}}^s \subseteq \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{G}^s$  and  $S_q^\lambda \leq S_q^{\mathcal{G}, \lambda} \leq S_q^{\text{rad}, \lambda}$ , for any  $\mathcal{G} < O(n)$ .

*Remark 4.1.* Notice that  $u \in \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{G}^s$  if and only if its Caffarelli-Silvestre extension  $w_u(\cdot, y)$  is invariant with respect to the action of the group  $\mathcal{G}$ , for any  $y > 0$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *Assume that (6) is satisfied. Let  $\lambda > -H_s$  and let  $\mathcal{G} < O(n)$ . Then the infimum  $S_q^{\mathcal{G}, \lambda}$  is positive and attained. Moreover, if  $u \in \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{G}^s$  achieves  $S_q^{\mathcal{G}, \lambda}$  then, up to a Lagrange multiplier,  $u$  is nonnegative and solves (5). Finally, for any*

$\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{D}_G^s$  it holds that

$$(q - 1) \frac{Q_\lambda(u)}{\| |x|^{-b} u \|_q^q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-bq} |u|^{q-2} |\tilde{u}|^2 dx \leq Q_\lambda(\tilde{u}) + (q - 2) \frac{Q_\lambda(u)}{\| |x|^{-b} u \|_q^{2q}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-bq} |u|^{q-2} u \tilde{u} dx \right)^2, \tag{22}$$

where

$$Q_\lambda(u) := \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u\|_2^2 + \lambda \| |x|^{-s} u \|_2^2.$$

*Proof.* We already know that  $S_q^{G,\lambda} \geq S_q^\lambda > 0$ . To show that the noncompact minimization problem in (21) has a solution, we follow the outline of the proof of Theorem 0.1 in Gazzini and Musina<sup>25</sup>; see also Musina and Nazarov.<sup>26</sup> By a standard convexity argument, we only need to construct a minimizing sequence that weakly converges to a nontrivial limit.

We take a small number  $\varepsilon_0$  such that

$$0 < \varepsilon_0 < \frac{1}{2} S_q^{G,\lambda}. \tag{23}$$

Hereafter, we denote by  $B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  the open ball of radius  $R > 0$  about the origin. Since the ratio in (7) is invariant with respect to the transforms  $u(x) \mapsto \alpha u(\beta x)$  (with  $\alpha \neq 0, \beta > 0$ ) of the space  $\mathcal{D}_G^s$  onto itself, we can find a minimizing sequence  $u_h$  for  $S_q^{G,\lambda}$  such that

$$\| |x|^{-b} u_h \|_q^q = (S_q^{G,\lambda})^{\frac{q}{q-2}}, \quad Q_\lambda(u_h) = (S_q^{G,\lambda})^{\frac{q}{q-2}} + o(1), \tag{24}$$

$$\varepsilon_0^{\frac{q}{q-2}} \leq \int_{B_2} |x|^{-bq} |u_h|^q dx \leq (2\varepsilon_0)^{\frac{q}{q-2}}, \tag{25}$$

and  $u_h \rightarrow u$  weakly in  $\mathcal{D}^s$  for some  $u \in \mathcal{D}^s$ . We only have to prove that  $u \neq 0$ .

We argue by contradiction. If  $u_h \rightarrow 0$  weakly in  $\mathcal{D}_G^s$ , we can use Rellich theorem to get that  $|x|^{-b} u_h \rightarrow 0$  strongly in  $L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ . So (25) implies

$$\varepsilon_0^{\frac{q}{q-2}} \leq \int_{B_1} |x|^{-bq} |u_h|^q dx + o(1). \tag{26}$$

By Ekeland's variational principle, we can assume that

$$(-\Delta)^s u_h + \lambda |x|^{-2s} u_h - |x|^{-bq} |u_h|^{q-2} u_h \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } (\mathcal{D}_G^s)'. \tag{27}$$

Take a radial function  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B_2)$  such that  $\varphi \equiv 1$  on  $B_1$ . Then  $\varphi^2 u_h$  is a bounded sequence in  $\mathcal{D}_G^s$ . By Musina and Nazarov,<sup>26</sup> Lemma 2.1 there exists a constant  $c > 0$  depending on  $\varphi$  but not on  $h$ , such that

$$\left| \langle (-\Delta)^s \varphi u_h, \varphi u_h \rangle - \langle (-\Delta)^s u_h, \varphi^2 u_h \rangle \right|^2 \leq c \langle (-\Delta)^s u_h, u_h \rangle \|u_h\|_{L^2(B_2)}^2.$$

In particular, thanks to Rellich theorem, we obtain

$$\langle (-\Delta)^s u_h, \varphi^2 u_h \rangle = \langle (-\Delta)^s (\varphi u_h), \varphi u_h \rangle + o(1) = \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} (\varphi u_h)\|_2^2 + o(1),$$

that compared with the definition of  $S_q^{G,\lambda}$  leads to

$$\langle (-\Delta)^s u_h, \varphi^2 u_h \rangle + \lambda \| |x|^{-s} \varphi u_h \|_2^2 \geq S_q^{G,\lambda} \| |x|^{-b} \varphi u_h \|_q^2 + o(1). \tag{28}$$



On the other hand, (27), Hölder's inequality and (25) give

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (-\Delta)^s u_h, \varphi^2 u_h \rangle + \lambda \| |x|^{-s} \varphi u_h \|_2^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-bq} |u_h|^{q-2} |\varphi u_h|^2 dx + o(1) \\ &\leq \left( \int_{B_2} |x|^{-bq} |u_h|^q dx \right)^{\frac{q-2}{q}} \| |x|^{-b} \varphi u_h \|_q^2 \leq 2\varepsilon_0 \| |x|^{-b} \varphi u_h \|_q^2 + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Taking (28) into account, we see that

$$S_q^{G,\lambda} \| |x|^{-b} \varphi u_h \|_q^2 \leq 2\varepsilon_0 \| |x|^{-b} \varphi u_h \|_q^2 + o(1),$$

which implies  $\| |x|^{-b} \varphi u_h \|_q = o(1)$  by (23). Since  $\varphi \equiv 1$  on  $B_1$ , we infer from (26)

$$\varepsilon_0^{\frac{q}{q-2}} \leq \int_{B_1} |x|^{-bq} |u_h|^q dx + o(1) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-bq} |\varphi u_h|^q dx + o(1) = o(1),$$

a contradiction. Therefore,  $S_q^{G,\lambda}$  is achieved by some function  $u \in D_G^s$ .

Since  $Q_\lambda(v) > Q_\lambda(|v|)$  for sign-changing functions  $v \in D^s$ , see Musina and Nazarov,<sup>27</sup> Theorem 6, we can assume that  $u$  is nonnegative.

Next, for any  $G \in O(n)$ , we have  $J_\lambda(u \circ G) = J_\lambda(u)$ . As a consequence of the principle of symmetric criticality,<sup>28</sup> we have that  $D_G^s$  is a natural constraint for  $J_\lambda$ , and thus,  $u$  is a critical point for  $J_\lambda$  on the whole  $D^s$ . So  $u$  solves the fractional differential equation in (5), up to a Lagrange multiplier.

Finally, the proof of (22) is a simple computation, based on the fact that the function  $f(t) = J_\lambda(u + t\tilde{u})$  attains its minimum value at  $t = 0$ ; hence,  $f'(0) = 0, f''(0) \geq 0$ . □

By taking  $\mathcal{G} = \{ \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^n} \}$  and then  $\mathcal{G} = O(n)$  in Lemma 4.2, we immediately obtain the next existence result.

**Corollary 4.3.** *Assume that (6) is satisfied. If  $\lambda > -H_s$ , then the infimum  $S_q^\lambda$  in (7) and the infimum*

$$S_q^{rad,\lambda} = \inf_{\substack{u \in D_{rad}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ u \neq 0}} J_\lambda(u)$$

are attained by nonnegative solutions to (5).

*Remark 4.4.* Clearly, Lemma 4.2 and Corollary 4.3 hold also in case  $n = 1, 0 < s < \frac{1}{2}$ , with no changes in the proof.

In general, solutions achieving the infima  $S_q^{G,\lambda}$  for different groups  $\mathcal{G} < O(n)$  can coincide. To obtain distinct solutions, we will use a special class of groups in  $O(n)$ .

We need to introduce some notation. Recall that  $n = km$ . To any rotation  $G \in O(k)$  and any permutation  $P \in S_m$ , we associate the rotation

$$PG \in O(n) : (x_1, \dots, x_m) \mapsto P(Gx_1, \dots, Gx_m), \quad x_j \in \mathbb{R}_j^k.$$

Further, to any subgroup  $\mathcal{G}_k < O(k)$ , we associate the following group of rotations in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k = \{ PG \mid P \in S_m, G \in \mathcal{G}_k \} < O(n). \tag{29}$$

Let  $u \in D_{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_k}^s$ . Then  $u$  is  $\mathcal{G}_k$ -invariant in each variable  $x_j$  and is invariant with respect to any permutation of the  $m$ -tuple of vectors  $(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ .

We will say that functions in  $D_{O(k)}^s$  are  $k$ -radially symmetric (in Nazarov,<sup>6</sup> they are called  $(k, 0)$ -radial; see also Kolonitskii and Nazarov<sup>4</sup> and Nazarov<sup>7</sup>). Notice that a  $k$ -radially symmetric function depends only on  $|x_1|, \dots, |x_m|$  and is invariant with respect to permutations of its variables.

Clearly,  $n$ -radially symmetric functions are radial.

**Lemma 4.5** (Basic Lemma). *Let  $n = km$  with  $k \geq 2$  and  $m \geq 1$ , and let  $G_k$  be a proper closed subgroup of  $O(k)$ . If  $\lambda > 0$  is large enough, then no extremal for  $S_q^{\tilde{G}_k, \lambda}$  can be  $k$ -radially symmetric.*

*Proof.* Let  $u \in D_{\tilde{G}_k}^s$  be a  $k$ -radially symmetric function achieving the best constant  $S_q^{\tilde{G}_k, \lambda}$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ . We take  $\tilde{u}$  as in Lemma 3.1, where  $\phi$  is given by (13) while  $\mu$  is defined in (14). Clearly  $\tilde{u} \in D_{\tilde{G}_k}^s$ , so that formula (22) in Lemma 4.2 applies.

Using (15) we can rewrite (22) as follows:

$$(q - 1)Q_\lambda(u) \leq Q_\lambda(\tilde{u}) \leq Q_\lambda(u) + c_\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-2s} |u|^2 \, dx$$

(the last inequality follows by (16)), ie,

$$(q - 2)Q_\lambda(u) \leq c_\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-2s} |u|^2 \, dx.$$

Now, we use the Hardy inequality to estimate  $Q_\lambda(u) > (H_s + \lambda) \| |x|^{-s} u \|_2^2$ . We infer that  $(q - 2)(H_s + \lambda) < c_\mu$ . We proved that if  $\lambda \geq -H_s + \frac{c_\mu}{q-2}$ , then a  $k$ -radially symmetric function cannot provide the constant  $S_q^{\tilde{G}_k, \lambda}$ . □

## 5 | PROOFS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.5, with  $m = 1$ ,  $k = n$  (so that  $k$ -radially symmetric functions are radially symmetric) and  $G = \{\text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}\}$ . □

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* We identify  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $(\mathbb{R}^2)^m$ ,  $m \geq 1$  and introduce the polar coordinates  $x_j = (r_j, \sigma_j)$  for points in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Following Nazarov,<sup>6</sup> for any integer  $t > 1$ , we denote by  $G_2^t < O(2)$  the group generated by a rotation of  $\frac{2\pi}{t}$  and by  $\tilde{G}_2^t$  the corresponding subgroup of  $O(n)$ ; see (29). Then we denote by  $u_t$  the nonnegative solution to (5) solving the minimization problem

$$J_\lambda(u_t) = \min_{u \in D_{\tilde{G}_2^t}^s} J_\lambda(u),$$

compare with Lemma 4.2. We prove that for any pair of distinct integers  $t, T$ , the functions  $u_T, u_t$  cannot coincide up to rotations, provided that  $\lambda$  is large enough.

First, we face the case when  $T = ht$ ,  $h > 1$ , is a multiple of the integer  $t$ . Recall Lemma 4.5 and find  $\lambda > 0$  large, so that  $u_T$  is not  $k$ -radially symmetric. We introduce the function

$$v_t((r_1, \sigma_1), \dots, (r_m, \sigma_m)) = u_T\left(\left(r_1, \frac{1}{h}\sigma_1\right), \dots, \left(r_m, \frac{1}{h}\sigma_m\right)\right).$$

Easily,  $v_t \in D_{\tilde{G}_2^t}^s$  and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-2s} |v_t|^2 \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-2s} |u_T|^2 \, dx, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-bq} |v_t|^q \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-bq} |u_T|^q \, dx.$$

Let  $w_T = w_{u_T}$  be the Caffarelli-Silvestre extension of  $u_T$  and consider the function

$$\tilde{w}_t((r_1, \sigma_1), \dots, (r_m, \sigma_m), y) = w_T\left(\left(r_1, \frac{1}{h}\sigma_1\right), \dots, \left(r_m, \frac{1}{h}\sigma_m\right), y\right).$$

We have  $\tilde{w}_t(x, 0) = v_t(x)$ . Moreover, from the formula

$$|\nabla w|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^m \left( |\partial_{r_j} w|^2 + \frac{1}{r_j^2} |\partial_{\sigma_j} w|^2 \right) + |\partial_y w|^2$$

and taking into account the relation  $\partial_{\sigma_j} \tilde{w}_t = \frac{1}{h} \partial_{\sigma_j} w_T$ , we get

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^{1-2s} |\nabla \tilde{w}_t|^2 \, dx dy < \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^{1-2s} |\nabla w_T|^2 \, dx dy, \tag{30}$$

because  $w_T(\cdot, y)$  cannot be  $k$ -radially symmetric, and thus,  $\partial_{\sigma_j} w_T \neq 0$ .

Formulae (8) with  $\tilde{u}_t$  instead of  $u$ , (30) and (9) give

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v_t|^2 \, dx &\leq C_s \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^{1-2s} |\nabla \tilde{w}_t|^2 \, dx dy \\ &< C_s \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^{1-2s} |\nabla w_T|^2 \, dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u_T|^2 \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

and we infer that

$$J_\lambda(u_t) \leq J_\lambda(v_t) < J_\lambda(u_T) \tag{31}$$

for  $\lambda$  large enough. Thus, the statement is proved for  $T = ht$ .

In the case of general distinct integers  $T, t$ , we define  $\hat{t}$  as the least common multiple of the pair  $T, t$ . If  $u_T = u_t \circ G$  for some rotation  $G \in O(n)$ , then  $u_t$  is  $\tilde{G}_2^{\hat{t}}$ -invariant. But this implies  $J_\lambda(u_t) \leq J_\lambda(u_t) = J_\lambda(u_T)$  that is impossible for  $\lambda$  large enough by (31). The proof is complete.  $\square$

Before the proof of Theorem 1.3, we make a remark.

*Remark 5.1.* Notice that if  $n$  has  $\ell$  distinct divisors, then it is easy to obtain  $\ell$  distinct solutions for  $\lambda$  large enough. Let  $1 < k_j < n, j = 1, \dots, \ell - 2$ , be the distinct nontrivial divisors of  $n$ , so that  $\mathbb{R}^n = (\mathbb{R}^{k_j})^{\frac{n}{k_j}}$ . Thanks to Lemmata 4.2 and 4.5, for  $\lambda$  large the  $\ell$  solutions achieving the best constants  $S_q^{G,\lambda}$  for  $\mathcal{G} = \{\text{Id}\}, \mathcal{G} = \tilde{O}(k_j)$  and  $\mathcal{G} = O(n)$  are distinct modulo rotations.

To manage a general case, including prime dimensions, some extra argument is needed.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Take any  $u \in D^s$  and its Caffarelli-Silvestre extension  $w_u$ . We denote by  $u^*$  and  $w^*(\cdot, y)$  the symmetrization along spheres of  $u$  and  $w_u(\cdot, y)$ , respectively. By Kawohl,<sup>16</sup> Theorem 2.31, such symmetrization diminishes the  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -norm of  $\nabla_x w$ . Therefore, we get

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^{1-2s} |\nabla w^*|^2 \, dx dy \leq \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} y^{1-2s} |\nabla w_u|^2 \, dx dy.$$

Since evidently the spherical symmetrization keeps weighted norms,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-2s} |u^*|^2 \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-2s} |u|^2 \, dx, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-bq} |u^*|^q \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^{-bq} |u|^q \, dx,$$

formulae (8) and (9) imply  $J_\lambda(u^*) \leq J_\lambda(u)$ . Therefore, if  $U$  achieves  $S_q^\lambda$ , then it is axisymmetric; i.e., up to rotations,  $U(x) = U(|x|, \vartheta)$  where  $\vartheta = \cos^{-1}(x_n/|x|)$  is the angle between  $x$  and the axis  $Ox_n$ . Moreover, if  $U$  is not radial (that holds for  $\lambda$  large enough), then it is strictly monotone with respect to  $\vartheta$ .

Now, we define four groups such that corresponding minimizers are different for  $\lambda$  large enough in arbitrary dimension. Besides full group  $O(n)$  and the trivial group, they are  $O(n - 1) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  (modulo rotations, corresponding functions depending only on  $|x|$  and  $|\cos(\vartheta)|$ ) and the symmetry group of the right simplex.

By Lemma 4.5, minimizers corresponding to the last three groups cannot be radial for  $\lambda$  large enough. Since the global minimizer is axisymmetric and monotone with respect to  $\vartheta$ , it cannot be invariant with respect to the last two groups. Similarly, the minimizer generated by  $O(n - 1) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  is monotone with respect to  $\vartheta$  in both half-spaces, and so it cannot be invariant with respect to the simplex group.  $\square$

## 6 | CONCLUSIONS

We furnished a powerful tool that can be applied to a large class of variational equations driven by the fractional Laplace operator  $(-\Delta)^s$  of order  $s \in (0, 1)$ . One of the main steps is Lemma 3.1 that allows to compare the  $L^2$  norms of  $(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u$  and  $(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}(u\varphi_\mu)$ , where  $u$  is a given function of  $k$  variables  $x_j \in \mathbb{R}^m$  depending only on  $|x_j|$ , and  $\varphi_\mu$  is a suitably normalized eigenfunction of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^{mk}$ .

Then we take as model a nonlocal variational equation related to the fractional Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality to illustrate how Lemma 3.1 can be used in order to obtain symmetry breaking and multiplicity phenomena.

Differently from the local case  $s = 1$ , an efficient Emden-Fowler transform is not available and positive radial solutions to (5) are not explicitly known; their uniqueness (up to dilations) is an open question as well that makes the problem more challenging.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

R.M. was partially supported by PRID project *VARPROGE*.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

This work does not have any conflicts of interest

## ORCID

Roberta Musina  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4835-8004>

Alexander I. Nazarov  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9174-7000>

## REFERENCES

- Gazzini M, Musina R. Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequalities: symmetry and breaking symmetry of extremal functions. *Commun Contemp Math*. 2009;11(6):993-1007.
- Smets D, Willem M, Su J. Non-radial ground states for the Hénon equation. *Commun Contemp Math*. 2002;4(3):467-480.
- Caldirolì P, Musina R. Symmetry breaking of extremals for the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities in a non-Hilbertian setting. *Milan J Math*. 2013;81(2):421-430.
- Kolonitskii SB, Nazarov AI. Multiplicity of solutions to the Dirichlet problem for generalized Hénon equation, *Probl. Mat. Analiza* 35 (2007), 91–110 (Russian); English transl. *J Math Sci (NY)*. 2007;144(6):4624-4644.
- Musina R. Partial differential equations—existence and multiplicity results for a weighted  $p$ -Laplace equation involving Hardy potentials and critical nonlinearities. *Atti Accad Naz Lincei Rend Lincei Mat Appl*. 2009;20(2):127-143.
- Nazarov AI. On solutions of the Dirichlet problem for an equation involving the  $p$ -Laplacian in a spherical layer, *Proc. St.Petersburg Math. Soc.* 10 (2004), 33–62 (Russian); English transl. *AMS Transl Series*. 2005;2(214):29-57.
- Nazarov AI. Multiplicity of positive solutions of a quasilinear equation generated by the Il'in-Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequality, *ZNS POMI*, 444 (2016), 98–109 (Russian); English transl. *J Math Sci (NY)*. 2017;224(N3):448-455.
- Scheglova AP. Multiplicity of solutions to a boundary-value problem with nonlinear Neumann condition, *Probl. Mat. Analiza*, 30 (2005), 121–144 (Russian); English transl. *J Math Sci (NY)*. 2005;128(5):3306-3333.
- Bhakta M, Musina R. Entire solutions for a class of variational problems involving the biharmonic operator and Rellich potentials. *Nonlinear Anal*. 2012;75(9):3836-3848.
- Caldirolì P, Cora G. Entire solutions for a class of fourth-order semilinear elliptic equations with weights. *Mediterr J Math*. 2016;13(2):657-675.
- Dyda B, Kuznetsov A, Kwaśnicki M. Fractional Laplace operator and Meijer G-function. *Constr Approx*. 2017;45(3):427-448.
- Grafakos L, Teschl G. On Fourier transforms of radial functions and distributions. *J Fourier Anal Appl*. 2013;19(1):167-179.
- Cotsiolis A, Tavoularis NK. Best constants for Sobolev inequalities for higher order fractional derivatives. *J Math Anal Appl*. 2004;295(1):225-236.
- Herbst IW. Spectral theory of the operator  $(p^2 + m^2)^{1/2} - Ze^2/r$ . *Comm Math Phys*. 1977;53(3):285-294.
- Dipierro S, Montoro L, Peral I, Sciunzi B. Qualitative properties of positive solutions to nonlocal critical problems involving the Hardy-Leray potential. *Calc Var Partial Differ Equ*. 2016;55(4):99, 29 pp.
- Kawohl B. *Rearrangements and Convexity of Level Sets in PDE*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Berlin: Springer-Verlag; 1150;1985.
- Catrina F, Wang Z-Q. On the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities: sharp constants, existence (and nonexistence), and symmetry of extremal functions. *Comm Pure Appl Math*. 2001;54(2):229-258.
- Dolbeault J, Esteban MJ, Loss M. Rigidity versus symmetry breaking via nonlinear flows on cylinders and Euclidean spaces. *Invent Math*. 2016;206(2):397-440.

19. Dolbeault J, Esteban MJ, Tarantello G. The role of Onofri type inequalities in the symmetry properties of extremals for Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities, in two space dimensions. *Ann Sc Norm Super Pisa Cl Sci* 5. 2008;7(2):313-341.
20. Felli V, Schneider M. Perturbation results of critical elliptic equations of Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg type. *J Diff Equ*. 2003;191(1):121-142.
21. Caffarelli L, Silvestre L. An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian. *Comm Part Diff Eqs*. 2007;32(7-9):1245-1260.
22. Musina R, Nazarov AI. A weighted estimate for generalized harmonic extensions. *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, to appear. Preprint arXiv:1903.02649.
23. Ustinov NS. Multiplicity of positive solutions to the boundary-value problems for fractional Laplacians, ZNS POMI, 459 (2017), 104-126 (Russian); English transl. *J Math Sci (NY)*. 2019;236(4):446-460.
24. Musina R, Nazarov AI. On fractional Laplacians 2. *Ann Inst H Poincaré Anal Non Linéaire*. 2016;33(6):1667-1673.
25. Gazzini M, Musina R. On a Sobolev-type inequality related to the weighted  $p$ -Laplace operator. *J Math Anal Appl*. 2009;352(1):99-111.
26. Musina R, Nazarov AI. Fractional Hardy-Sobolev inequalities on half spaces. *Nonlinear Anal*. 2019;178:32-40.
27. Musina R, Nazarov AI. On the Sobolev and Hardy constants for the fractional Navier Laplacian. *Nonlinear Anal*. 2015;121:123-129.
28. Palais RS. The principle of symmetric criticality. *Comm Math Phys*. 1979;69(1):19-30.

**How to cite this article:** Musina R, Nazarov AI. A tool for symmetry breaking and multiplicity in some nonlocal problems. *Math Meth Appl Sci*. 2020;43:9345-9357. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.6220>